

Chromatic cohomology of finite groups 4

Neil Strickland

December 4, 2023

- ▶ Recall: for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$. We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$, where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class. We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$, where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds: the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

- ▶ **Recall:** for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$.
 We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$,
 where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class.
 We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$,
 where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds:
 the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where
 $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known
 example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

- ▶ Recall: for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$. We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$, where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class. We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$, where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds: the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

- ▶ Recall: for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$. We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$, where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class. We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$, where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds: the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

- ▶ Recall: for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$. We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$, where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class. We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$, where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds: the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

- ▶ Recall: for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$. We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$, where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class. We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$, where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds: the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

- ▶ Recall: for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$. We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$, where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class. We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$, where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds: the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

- ▶ Recall: for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$. We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$, where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class. We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$, where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds: the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

- ▶ Recall: for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$. We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$, where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class. We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$, where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds: the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

- ▶ Recall: for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$. We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$, where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class. We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$, where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds: the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

- ▶ Recall: for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$. We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$, where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class. We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$, where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds: the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

- ▶ Recall: for a finite groupoid G we put $M^*(G) = \text{Map}(\pi_0(G), \mathbb{Q})$. We define $\theta: M^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by $\theta(h) = \sum_{i < r} |G(a_i, a_i)|^{-1} h(a_i)$, where $\{a_i \mid i < r\}$ contains one representative of each isomorphism class. We then define $\langle f, g \rangle_G = \theta(fg)$. This is a perfect pairing on $M^*(G)$.
- ▶ The HKR theorem says $L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M^*(\Lambda G)$, where $\Lambda G = [\Theta^*, G] = [\mathbb{Z}_p^n, G]$ is again a finite groupoid.
- ▶ We therefore have $\theta: L \otimes_{E^0} E^0(BG) \rightarrow L$ giving a perfect pairing.
- ▶ **Theorem:** this comes from a map $\theta: E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0$ which also gives a perfect pairing (at least when $E^0(BG)$ is a free module over E^0).
- ▶ This is like Poincaré duality for oriented manifolds: the map θ is like the map $u \mapsto \langle u, [M] \rangle$ from $H^d(M)$ to \mathbb{Z} .
- ▶ It is also like the map $\theta: R(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\theta([V]) = \dim(V^G)$, where $R(G)$ is the complex representation ring. This also gives a perfect pairing.
- ▶ The above theorem (due to Greenlees and Sadofsky) was the first known example of chromatic ambidexterity; there is now a more general theory.
- ▶ The proof of the theorem uses transfers and Tate spectra.

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.

This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,

and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.

- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$, and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$. We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$, giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$, so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$ by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets.
This induces a map $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]$,
and also a map $f^t: \mathbb{Z}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]$ given by $f^t([y]) = \sum_{f(x)=y} [x]$.
- ▶ The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X_+$ is a kind of refinement of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$,
and we again have an easy map $f: \Sigma^\infty X_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
We also want a map $f^T: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V = V \cup \{\infty\}$.
- ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1 + \|v\|^2)}$,
giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
- ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$,
so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
- ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$
by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
- ▶ This is completely natural and so is compatible with any group actions.
- ▶ In the world of spectra we have a negative sphere S^{-V} and we can take
the smash product with this to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ Take $f = (G/H \rightarrow G/G = 1)$ and apply $EG_+ \wedge_G (-)$ to f^t and use
 $EG/H \simeq BH$ to get a map $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ (the *transfer*).

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\#: K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\#: K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\#: K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\# : K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\# : K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\# : K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\# : K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\# : K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\# : K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other.
Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\# : K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\# : K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K =$ Morava K -theory, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\#: K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

- ▶ Let M be an abelian group with G -action, and let H be a subgroup of G .
- ▶ There is an evident inclusion $\text{res}_H^G: M^G \rightarrow M^H$.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\text{tr}_H^G: M^H \rightarrow M^G$ given by $\text{tr}_H^G(m) = \sum_{t \in T} tm$, where T is any subset of G containing one element of every H -coset.
- ▶ Now let E be an even periodic ring spectrum.
- ▶ The inclusion $H \rightarrow G$ gives a map $BH \rightarrow BG$ and thus a ring map $E^0(BG) \rightarrow E^0(BH)$, called res_H^G .
- ▶ The transfer $\Sigma^\infty BG_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty BH_+$ gives a map $E^0(BH) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, called tr_H^G ; this is $E^0(BG)$ -linear.
- ▶ Formal properties of these maps are similar to those of the maps $M^G \rightarrow M^H \rightarrow M^G$ mentioned above.
- ▶ Put $\Delta = \{(g, g) \mid g \in G\} \leq G^2$ and $u = \text{tr}_\Delta^{G^2}(1) \in E^0(BG^2)$.
- ▶ Take $E = K = \text{Morava } K\text{-theory}$, so $K^*(BG)$ and $K_*(BG)$ are finitely generated free modules and dual to each other. Then u is adjoint to a map $u^\# : K_*(BG) \rightarrow K^{-*}(BG)$.
- ▶ This arises from a map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ of spectra, whose cofibre is called the Tate spectrum $t_G(K)$.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Greenlees-Sadofsky): $t_G(K) = 0$.

Sketch proof of Tate vanishing

- ▶ Claim: the cofibre $t_G(K)$ of the map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ is zero.
- ▶ First suppose $|G| = p$, so G has a faithful one-dimensional complex representation L with Euler class $x \in K^0(BG)$, and $K^*(BG) = K^*[x]/x^{p^n}$.
- ▶ The unit sphere $S(\infty L)$ is contractible and has free G -action so we can take $EG = S(\infty L)$. Thus, the reduced suspension $\tilde{E}G$ is the same as $S^{\infty L} = \lim_{\rightarrow k} S^{kL}$, where $S^{kL} \simeq S^{2k}$ is the one-point compactification of nL .
- ▶ It is not hard to check that $G_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(G_+, \tilde{E}G) = EG_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(EG_+, \tilde{E}G) = 0$.
Using this one can identify $t_G(K)$ with $(\tilde{E}G \wedge F(EG_+, K))^G$.
- ▶ Using $\tilde{E}G = \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{kL}$ and $S^{kL} \wedge F(EG_+, K) = F(S^{-kL} \wedge EG_+, K)$ we get $t_G(K) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} F(BG^{-kL}, K)$, where BG^{-kL} is the Thom spectrum.
- ▶ Using the fact that the Euler class of kL is x^k , we find that $\pi_*(t_G(K)) = K^{-*}(BG)[x^{-1}] = (K^*[x]/x^{p^n})[x^{-1}] = 0$ as required.
- ▶ For general G : combine fairly similar arguments with an induction on $|G|$.
- ▶ Conclusion: $K_*(BG)$ maps isomorphically to $K^*(BG)$, which is dual to $K_*(BG)$, so $K^*(BG)$ is self-dual.
- ▶ By rearranging the argument slightly: the K -local spectrum $L_K \Sigma^\infty BG_+$ is self-dual, and $E^*(BG)$ is self-dual provided that it is a free E^* -module.

Sketch proof of Tate vanishing

- ▶ **Claim:** the cofibre $t_G(K)$ of the map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ is zero.
- ▶ First suppose $|G| = p$, so G has a faithful one-dimensional complex representation L with Euler class $x \in K^0(BG)$, and $K^*(BG) = K^*[x]/x^{p^n}$.
- ▶ The unit sphere $S(\infty L)$ is contractible and has free G -action so we can take $EG = S(\infty L)$. Thus, the reduced suspension $\tilde{E}G$ is the same as $S^{\infty L} = \lim_{\rightarrow k} S^{kL}$, where $S^{kL} \simeq S^{2k}$ is the one-point compactification of nL .
- ▶ It is not hard to check that $G_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(G_+, \tilde{E}G) = EG_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(EG_+, \tilde{E}G) = 0$.
Using this one can identify $t_G(K)$ with $(\tilde{E}G \wedge F(EG_+, K))^G$.
- ▶ Using $\tilde{E}G = \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{kL}$ and $S^{kL} \wedge F(EG_+, K) = F(S^{-kL} \wedge EG_+, K)$ we get $t_G(K) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} F(BG^{-kL}, K)$, where BG^{-kL} is the Thom spectrum.
- ▶ Using the fact that the Euler class of kL is x^k , we find that $\pi_*(t_G(K)) = K^{-*}(BG)[x^{-1}] = (K^*[x]/x^{p^n})[x^{-1}] = 0$ as required.
- ▶ For general G : combine fairly similar arguments with an induction on $|G|$.
- ▶ Conclusion: $K_*(BG)$ maps isomorphically to $K^*(BG)$, which is dual to $K_*(BG)$, so $K^*(BG)$ is self-dual.
- ▶ By rearranging the argument slightly: the K -local spectrum $L_K \Sigma^\infty BG_+$ is self-dual, and $E^*(BG)$ is self-dual provided that it is a free E^* -module.

Sketch proof of Tate vanishing

- ▶ Claim: the cofibre $t_G(K)$ of the map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ is zero.
- ▶ First suppose $|G| = p$, so G has a faithful one-dimensional complex representation L with Euler class $x \in K^0(BG)$, and $K^*(BG) = K^*[x]/x^{p^n}$.
- ▶ The unit sphere $S(\infty L)$ is contractible and has free G -action so we can take $EG = S(\infty L)$. Thus, the reduced suspension $\tilde{E}G$ is the same as $S^{\infty L} = \lim_{\rightarrow k} S^{kL}$, where $S^{kL} \simeq S^{2k}$ is the one-point compactification of nL .
- ▶ It is not hard to check that $G_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(G_+, \tilde{E}G) = EG_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(EG_+, \tilde{E}G) = 0$.
Using this one can identify $t_G(K)$ with $(\tilde{E}G \wedge F(EG_+, K))^G$.
- ▶ Using $\tilde{E}G = \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{kL}$ and $S^{kL} \wedge F(EG_+, K) = F(S^{-kL} \wedge EG_+, K)$ we get $t_G(K) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} F(BG^{-kL}, K)$, where BG^{-kL} is the Thom spectrum.
- ▶ Using the fact that the Euler class of kL is x^k , we find that $\pi_*(t_G(K)) = K^{-*}(BG)[x^{-1}] = (K^*[x]/x^{p^n})[x^{-1}] = 0$ as required.
- ▶ For general G : combine fairly similar arguments with an induction on $|G|$.
- ▶ Conclusion: $K_*(BG)$ maps isomorphically to $K^*(BG)$, which is dual to $K_*(BG)$, so $K^*(BG)$ is self-dual.
- ▶ By rearranging the argument slightly: the K -local spectrum $L_K \Sigma^\infty BG_+$ is self-dual, and $E^*(BG)$ is self-dual provided that it is a free E^* -module.

Sketch proof of Tate vanishing

- ▶ Claim: the cofibre $t_G(K)$ of the map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ is zero.
- ▶ First suppose $|G| = p$, so G has a faithful one-dimensional complex representation L with Euler class $x \in K^0(BG)$, and $K^*(BG) = K^*[x]/x^{p^n}$.
- ▶ The unit sphere $S(\infty L)$ is contractible and has free G -action so we can take $EG = S(\infty L)$. Thus, the reduced suspension $\tilde{E}G$ is the same as $S^{\infty L} = \lim_{\rightarrow k} S^{kL}$, where $S^{kL} \simeq S^{2k}$ is the one-point compactification of nL .
- ▶ It is not hard to check that $G_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(G_+, \tilde{E}G) = EG_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(EG_+, \tilde{E}G) = 0$.
Using this one can identify $t_G(K)$ with $(\tilde{E}G \wedge F(EG_+, K))^G$.
- ▶ Using $\tilde{E}G = \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{kL}$ and $S^{kL} \wedge F(EG_+, K) = F(S^{-kL} \wedge EG_+, K)$ we get $t_G(K) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} F(BG^{-kL}, K)$, where BG^{-kL} is the Thom spectrum.
- ▶ Using the fact that the Euler class of kL is x^k , we find that $\pi_*(t_G(K)) = K^{-*}(BG)[x^{-1}] = (K^*[x]/x^{p^n})[x^{-1}] = 0$ as required.
- ▶ For general G : combine fairly similar arguments with an induction on $|G|$.
- ▶ Conclusion: $K_*(BG)$ maps isomorphically to $K^*(BG)$, which is dual to $K_*(BG)$, so $K^*(BG)$ is self-dual.
- ▶ By rearranging the argument slightly: the K -local spectrum $L_K \Sigma^\infty BG_+$ is self-dual, and $E^*(BG)$ is self-dual provided that it is a free E^* -module.

Sketch proof of Tate vanishing

- ▶ Claim: the cofibre $t_G(K)$ of the map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ is zero.
- ▶ First suppose $|G| = p$, so G has a faithful one-dimensional complex representation L with Euler class $x \in K^0(BG)$, and $K^*(BG) = K^*[x]/x^{p^n}$.
- ▶ The unit sphere $S(\infty L)$ is contractible and has free G -action so we can take $EG = S(\infty L)$. Thus, the reduced suspension $\tilde{E}G$ is the same as $S^{\infty L} = \lim_{\rightarrow k} S^{kL}$, where $S^{kL} \simeq S^{2k}$ is the one-point compactification of nL .
- ▶ It is not hard to check that $G_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(G_+, \tilde{E}G) = EG_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(EG_+, \tilde{E}G) = 0$.
Using this one can identify $t_G(K)$ with $(\tilde{E}G \wedge F(EG_+, K))^G$.
- ▶ Using $\tilde{E}G = \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{kL}$ and $S^{kL} \wedge F(EG_+, K) = F(S^{-kL} \wedge EG_+, K)$ we get $t_G(K) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} F(BG^{-kL}, K)$, where BG^{-kL} is the Thom spectrum.
- ▶ Using the fact that the Euler class of kL is x^k , we find that $\pi_*(t_G(K)) = K^{-*}(BG)[x^{-1}] = (K^*[x]/x^{p^n})[x^{-1}] = 0$ as required.
- ▶ For general G : combine fairly similar arguments with an induction on $|G|$.
- ▶ Conclusion: $K_*(BG)$ maps isomorphically to $K^*(BG)$, which is dual to $K_*(BG)$, so $K^*(BG)$ is self-dual.
- ▶ By rearranging the argument slightly: the K -local spectrum $L_K \Sigma^\infty BG_+$ is self-dual, and $E^*(BG)$ is self-dual provided that it is a free E^* -module.

Sketch proof of Tate vanishing

- ▶ Claim: the cofibre $t_G(K)$ of the map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ is zero.
- ▶ First suppose $|G| = p$, so G has a faithful one-dimensional complex representation L with Euler class $x \in K^0(BG)$, and $K^*(BG) = K^*[x]/x^{p^n}$.
- ▶ The unit sphere $S(\infty L)$ is contractible and has free G -action so we can take $EG = S(\infty L)$. Thus, the reduced suspension $\tilde{E}G$ is the same as $S^{\infty L} = \lim_{\rightarrow k} S^{kL}$, where $S^{kL} \simeq S^{2k}$ is the one-point compactification of nL .
- ▶ It is not hard to check that $G_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(G_+, \tilde{E}G) = EG_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(EG_+, \tilde{E}G) = 0$.
Using this one can identify $t_G(K)$ with $(\tilde{E}G \wedge F(EG_+, K))^G$.
- ▶ Using $\tilde{E}G = \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{kL}$ and $S^{kL} \wedge F(EG_+, K) = F(S^{-kL} \wedge EG_+, K)$ we get $t_G(K) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} F(BG^{-kL}, K)$, where BG^{-kL} is the Thom spectrum.
- ▶ Using the fact that the Euler class of kL is x^k , we find that $\pi_*(t_G(K)) = K^{-*}(BG)[x^{-1}] = (K^*[x]/x^{p^n})[x^{-1}] = 0$ as required.
- ▶ For general G : combine fairly similar arguments with an induction on $|G|$.
- ▶ Conclusion: $K_*(BG)$ maps isomorphically to $K^*(BG)$, which is dual to $K_*(BG)$, so $K^*(BG)$ is self-dual.
- ▶ By rearranging the argument slightly: the K -local spectrum $L_K \Sigma^\infty BG_+$ is self-dual, and $E^*(BG)$ is self-dual provided that it is a free E^* -module.

Sketch proof of Tate vanishing

- ▶ Claim: the cofibre $t_G(K)$ of the map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ is zero.
- ▶ First suppose $|G| = p$, so G has a faithful one-dimensional complex representation L with Euler class $x \in K^0(BG)$, and $K^*(BG) = K^*[x]/x^{p^n}$.
- ▶ The unit sphere $S(\infty L)$ is contractible and has free G -action so we can take $EG = S(\infty L)$. Thus, the reduced suspension $\tilde{E}G$ is the same as $S^{\infty L} = \lim_{\rightarrow k} S^{kL}$, where $S^{kL} \simeq S^{2k}$ is the one-point compactification of nL .
- ▶ It is not hard to check that $G_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(G_+, \tilde{E}G) = EG_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(EG_+, \tilde{E}G) = 0$.
Using this one can identify $t_G(K)$ with $(\tilde{E}G \wedge F(EG_+, K))^G$.
- ▶ Using $\tilde{E}G = \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{kL}$ and $S^{kL} \wedge F(EG_+, K) = F(S^{-kL} \wedge EG_+, K)$ we get $t_G(K) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} F(BG^{-kL}, K)$, where BG^{-kL} is the Thom spectrum.
- ▶ Using the fact that the Euler class of kL is x^k , we find that $\pi_*(t_G(K)) = K^{-*}(BG)[x^{-1}] = (K^*[x]/x^{p^n})[x^{-1}] = 0$ as required.
- ▶ For general G : combine fairly similar arguments with an induction on $|G|$.
- ▶ Conclusion: $K_*(BG)$ maps isomorphically to $K^*(BG)$, which is dual to $K_*(BG)$, so $K^*(BG)$ is self-dual.
- ▶ By rearranging the argument slightly: the K -local spectrum $L_K \Sigma^\infty BG_+$ is self-dual, and $E^*(BG)$ is self-dual provided that it is a free E^* -module.

Sketch proof of Tate vanishing

- ▶ Claim: the cofibre $t_G(K)$ of the map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ is zero.
- ▶ First suppose $|G| = p$, so G has a faithful one-dimensional complex representation L with Euler class $x \in K^0(BG)$, and $K^*(BG) = K^*[x]/x^{p^n}$.
- ▶ The unit sphere $S(\infty L)$ is contractible and has free G -action so we can take $EG = S(\infty L)$. Thus, the reduced suspension $\tilde{E}G$ is the same as $S^{\infty L} = \lim_{\rightarrow k} S^{kL}$, where $S^{kL} \simeq S^{2k}$ is the one-point compactification of nL .
- ▶ It is not hard to check that $G_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(G_+, \tilde{E}G) = EG_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(EG_+, \tilde{E}G) = 0$.
Using this one can identify $t_G(K)$ with $(\tilde{E}G \wedge F(EG_+, K))^G$.
- ▶ Using $\tilde{E}G = \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{kL}$ and $S^{kL} \wedge F(EG_+, K) = F(S^{-kL} \wedge EG_+, K)$ we get $t_G(K) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} F(BG^{-kL}, K)$, where BG^{-kL} is the Thom spectrum.
- ▶ Using the fact that the Euler class of kL is x^k , we find that $\pi_*(t_G(K)) = K^{-*}(BG)[x^{-1}] = (K^*[x]/x^{p^n})[x^{-1}] = 0$ as required.
- ▶ For general G : combine fairly similar arguments with an induction on $|G|$.
- ▶ Conclusion: $K_*(BG)$ maps isomorphically to $K^*(BG)$, which is dual to $K_*(BG)$, so $K^*(BG)$ is self-dual.
- ▶ By rearranging the argument slightly: the K -local spectrum $L_K \Sigma^\infty BG_+$ is self-dual, and $E^*(BG)$ is self-dual provided that it is a free E^* -module.

Sketch proof of Tate vanishing

- ▶ Claim: the cofibre $t_G(K)$ of the map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ is zero.
- ▶ First suppose $|G| = p$, so G has a faithful one-dimensional complex representation L with Euler class $x \in K^0(BG)$, and $K^*(BG) = K^*[x]/x^{p^n}$.
- ▶ The unit sphere $S(\infty L)$ is contractible and has free G -action so we can take $EG = S(\infty L)$. Thus, the reduced suspension $\tilde{E}G$ is the same as $S^{\infty L} = \lim_{\rightarrow k} S^{kL}$, where $S^{kL} \simeq S^{2k}$ is the one-point compactification of nL .
- ▶ It is not hard to check that $G_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(G_+, \tilde{E}G) = EG_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(EG_+, \tilde{E}G) = 0$.
Using this one can identify $t_G(K)$ with $(\tilde{E}G \wedge F(EG_+, K))^G$.
- ▶ Using $\tilde{E}G = \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{kL}$ and $S^{kL} \wedge F(EG_+, K) = F(S^{-kL} \wedge EG_+, K)$ we get $t_G(K) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} F(BG^{-kL}, K)$, where BG^{-kL} is the Thom spectrum.
- ▶ Using the fact that the Euler class of kL is x^k , we find that $\pi_*(t_G(K)) = K^{-*}(BG)[x^{-1}] = (K^*[x]/x^{p^n})[x^{-1}] = 0$ as required.
- ▶ For general G : combine fairly similar arguments with an induction on $|G|$.
- ▶ Conclusion: $K_*(BG)$ maps isomorphically to $K^*(BG)$, which is dual to $K_*(BG)$, so $K^*(BG)$ is self-dual.
- ▶ By rearranging the argument slightly: the K -local spectrum $L_K \Sigma^\infty BG_+$ is self-dual, and $E^*(BG)$ is self-dual provided that it is a free E^* -module.

Sketch proof of Tate vanishing

- ▶ Claim: the cofibre $t_G(K)$ of the map $K \wedge BG_+ \rightarrow F(BG_+, K)$ is zero.
- ▶ First suppose $|G| = p$, so G has a faithful one-dimensional complex representation L with Euler class $x \in K^0(BG)$, and $K^*(BG) = K^*[x]/x^{p^n}$.
- ▶ The unit sphere $S(\infty L)$ is contractible and has free G -action so we can take $EG = S(\infty L)$. Thus, the reduced suspension $\tilde{E}G$ is the same as $S^{\infty L} = \lim_{\rightarrow k} S^{kL}$, where $S^{kL} \simeq S^{2k}$ is the one-point compactification of nL .
- ▶ It is not hard to check that $G_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(G_+, \tilde{E}G) = EG_+ \wedge \tilde{E}G = F(EG_+, \tilde{E}G) = 0$.
Using this one can identify $t_G(K)$ with $(\tilde{E}G \wedge F(EG_+, K))^G$.
- ▶ Using $\tilde{E}G = \lim_{\rightarrow} S^{kL}$ and $S^{kL} \wedge F(EG_+, K) = F(S^{-kL} \wedge EG_+, K)$ we get $t_G(K) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} F(BG^{-kL}, K)$, where BG^{-kL} is the Thom spectrum.
- ▶ Using the fact that the Euler class of kL is x^k , we find that $\pi_*(t_G(K)) = K^{-*}(BG)[x^{-1}] = (K^*[x]/x^{p^n})[x^{-1}] = 0$ as required.
- ▶ For general G : combine fairly similar arguments with an induction on $|G|$.
- ▶ Conclusion: $K_*(BG)$ maps isomorphically to $K^*(BG)$, which is dual to $K_*(BG)$, so $K^*(BG)$ is self-dual.
- ▶ By rearranging the argument slightly: the K -local spectrum $L_K \Sigma^\infty BG_+$ is self-dual, and $E^*(BG)$ is self-dual provided that it is a free E^* -module.

More about duality

- ▶ We have shown that $K^*(BG)$ is naturally self-dual when G is a finite group. There is an easy generalisation to groupoids.
- ▶ Any functor $\alpha: G \rightarrow H$ induces $\alpha^*: K^*(BH) \rightarrow K^*(BG)$. As everything is self-dual, there is a unique $\alpha_! : K^*(BG) \rightarrow K^*(BH)$ adjoint to α^* , i.e. $\langle \alpha_!(a), b \rangle_H = \langle a, \alpha^*(b) \rangle_G$ for $a \in K^*(BG)$ and $b \in K^*(BH)$.
- ▶ If α is an inclusion of groups, then $\alpha_!$ is just the transfer.
- ▶ Given a homotopy pullback square of groupoids as shown on the left, we have a commutative diagram as shown on the right.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ K & \xrightarrow{\delta} & L \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & K^*(BH) \\ \beta_! \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_! \\ K^*(BK) & \xleftarrow{\delta^*} & K^*(BL) \end{array}$$

This generalises the classical Mackey property of transfers.

- ▶ Recall $u = \text{tr}_{\Delta}^{G^2}(1) \in K^0(BG^2) = K^0(BG) \otimes_{K^0} K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ From the duality theorem it follows that there is a unique *Frobenius form* $\theta: K^0(BG) \rightarrow K^0$ such that $(\theta \otimes 1)(u) = 1$ in $K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ Using the Mackey property: $\langle u, v \rangle_G = \theta(uv)$.
- ▶ There are similar statements for E^* when $E^0(BG)$ is free.

More about duality

- ▶ We have shown that $K^*(BG)$ is naturally self-dual when G is a finite group. There is an easy generalisation to groupoids.
- ▶ Any functor $\alpha: G \rightarrow H$ induces $\alpha^*: K^*(BH) \rightarrow K^*(BG)$. As everything is self-dual, there is a unique $\alpha_! : K^*(BG) \rightarrow K^*(BH)$ adjoint to α^* , i.e. $\langle \alpha_!(a), b \rangle_H = \langle a, \alpha^*(b) \rangle_G$ for $a \in K^*(BG)$ and $b \in K^*(BH)$.
- ▶ If α is an inclusion of groups, then $\alpha_!$ is just the transfer.
- ▶ Given a homotopy pullback square of groupoids as shown on the left, we have a commutative diagram as shown on the right.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H \\
 \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 K & \xrightarrow{\delta} & L
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & K^*(BH) \\
 \beta_! \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_! \\
 K^*(BK) & \xleftarrow{\delta^*} & K^*(BL)
 \end{array}$$

This generalises the classical Mackey property of transfers.

- ▶ Recall $u = \text{tr}_{\Delta}^{G^2}(1) \in K^0(BG^2) = K^0(BG) \otimes_{K^0} K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ From the duality theorem it follows that there is a unique *Frobenius form* $\theta: K^0(BG) \rightarrow K^0$ such that $(\theta \otimes 1)(u) = 1$ in $K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ Using the Mackey property: $\langle u, v \rangle_G = \theta(uv)$.
- ▶ There are similar statements for E^* when $E^0(BG)$ is free.

- ▶ We have shown that $K^*(BG)$ is naturally self-dual when G is a finite group. There is an easy generalisation to groupoids.
- ▶ Any functor $\alpha: G \rightarrow H$ induces $\alpha^*: K^*(BH) \rightarrow K^*(BG)$. As everything is self-dual, there is a unique $\alpha_! : K^*(BG) \rightarrow K^*(BH)$ adjoint to α^* , i.e. $\langle \alpha_!(a), b \rangle_H = \langle a, \alpha^*(b) \rangle_G$ for $a \in K^*(BG)$ and $b \in K^*(BH)$.
- ▶ If α is an inclusion of groups, then $\alpha_!$ is just the transfer.
- ▶ Given a homotopy pullback square of groupoids as shown on the left, we have a commutative diagram as shown on the right.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H \\
 \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 K & \xrightarrow{\delta} & L
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & K^*(BH) \\
 \beta_! \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_! \\
 K^*(BK) & \xleftarrow{\delta^*} & K^*(BL)
 \end{array}$$

This generalises the classical Mackey property of transfers.

- ▶ Recall $u = \text{tr}_{\Delta}^{G^2}(1) \in K^0(BG^2) = K^0(BG) \otimes_{K^0} K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ From the duality theorem it follows that there is a unique *Frobenius form* $\theta: K^0(BG) \rightarrow K^0$ such that $(\theta \otimes 1)(u) = 1$ in $K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ Using the Mackey property: $\langle u, v \rangle_G = \theta(uv)$.
- ▶ There are similar statements for E^* when $E^0(BG)$ is free.

- ▶ We have shown that $K^*(BG)$ is naturally self-dual when G is a finite group. There is an easy generalisation to groupoids.
- ▶ Any functor $\alpha: G \rightarrow H$ induces $\alpha^*: K^*(BH) \rightarrow K^*(BG)$. As everything is self-dual, there is a unique $\alpha_!: K^*(BG) \rightarrow K^*(BH)$ adjoint to α^* , i.e. $\langle \alpha_!(a), b \rangle_H = \langle a, \alpha^*(b) \rangle_G$ for $a \in K^*(BG)$ and $b \in K^*(BH)$.
- ▶ If α is an inclusion of groups, then $\alpha_!$ is just the transfer.
- ▶ Given a homotopy pullback square of groupoids as shown on the left, we have a commutative diagram as shown on the right.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H \\
 \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 K & \xrightarrow{\delta} & L
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & K^*(BH) \\
 \beta_! \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_! \\
 K^*(BK) & \xleftarrow{\delta^*} & K^*(BL)
 \end{array}$$

This generalises the classical Mackey property of transfers.

- ▶ Recall $u = \text{tr}_{\Delta}^{G^2}(1) \in K^0(BG^2) = K^0(BG) \otimes_{K^0} K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ From the duality theorem it follows that there is a unique *Frobenius form* $\theta: K^0(BG) \rightarrow K^0$ such that $(\theta \otimes 1)(u) = 1$ in $K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ Using the Mackey property: $\langle u, v \rangle_G = \theta(uv)$.
- ▶ There are similar statements for E^* when $E^0(BG)$ is free.

- ▶ We have shown that $K^*(BG)$ is naturally self-dual when G is a finite group. There is an easy generalisation to groupoids.
- ▶ Any functor $\alpha: G \rightarrow H$ induces $\alpha^*: K^*(BH) \rightarrow K^*(BG)$. As everything is self-dual, there is a unique $\alpha_!: K^*(BG) \rightarrow K^*(BH)$ adjoint to α^* , i.e. $\langle \alpha_!(a), b \rangle_H = \langle a, \alpha^*(b) \rangle_G$ for $a \in K^*(BG)$ and $b \in K^*(BH)$.
- ▶ If α is an inclusion of groups, then $\alpha_!$ is just the transfer.
- ▶ Given a homotopy pullback square of groupoids as shown on the left, we have a commutative diagram as shown on the right.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H \\
 \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 K & \xrightarrow{\delta} & L
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & K^*(BH) \\
 \beta_! \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_! \\
 K^*(BK) & \xleftarrow{\delta^*} & K^*(BL)
 \end{array}$$

This generalises the classical Mackey property of transfers.

- ▶ Recall $u = \text{tr}_{\Delta}^{G^2}(1) \in K^0(BG^2) = K^0(BG) \otimes_{K^0} K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ From the duality theorem it follows that there is a unique *Frobenius form* $\theta: K^0(BG) \rightarrow K^0$ such that $(\theta \otimes 1)(u) = 1$ in $K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ Using the Mackey property: $\langle u, v \rangle_G = \theta(uv)$.
- ▶ There are similar statements for E^* when $E^0(BG)$ is free.

- ▶ We have shown that $K^*(BG)$ is naturally self-dual when G is a finite group. There is an easy generalisation to groupoids.
- ▶ Any functor $\alpha: G \rightarrow H$ induces $\alpha^*: K^*(BH) \rightarrow K^*(BG)$. As everything is self-dual, there is a unique $\alpha_!: K^*(BG) \rightarrow K^*(BH)$ adjoint to α^* , i.e. $\langle \alpha_!(a), b \rangle_H = \langle a, \alpha^*(b) \rangle_G$ for $a \in K^*(BG)$ and $b \in K^*(BH)$.
- ▶ If α is an inclusion of groups, then $\alpha_!$ is just the transfer.
- ▶ Given a homotopy pullback square of groupoids as shown on the left, we have a commutative diagram as shown on the right.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H \\
 \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 K & \xrightarrow{\delta} & L
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & K^*(BH) \\
 \beta_! \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_! \\
 K^*(BK) & \xleftarrow{\delta^*} & K^*(BL)
 \end{array}$$

This generalises the classical Mackey property of transfers.

- ▶ Recall $u = \text{tr}_{\Delta}^{G^2}(1) \in K^0(BG^2) = K^0(BG) \otimes_{K^0} K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ From the duality theorem it follows that there is a unique *Frobenius form* $\theta: K^0(BG) \rightarrow K^0$ such that $(\theta \otimes 1)(u) = 1$ in $K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ Using the Mackey property: $\langle u, v \rangle_G = \theta(uv)$.
- ▶ There are similar statements for E^* when $E^0(BG)$ is free.

- ▶ We have shown that $K^*(BG)$ is naturally self-dual when G is a finite group. There is an easy generalisation to groupoids.
- ▶ Any functor $\alpha: G \rightarrow H$ induces $\alpha^*: K^*(BH) \rightarrow K^*(BG)$. As everything is self-dual, there is a unique $\alpha_!: K^*(BG) \rightarrow K^*(BH)$ adjoint to α^* , i.e. $\langle \alpha_!(a), b \rangle_H = \langle a, \alpha^*(b) \rangle_G$ for $a \in K^*(BG)$ and $b \in K^*(BH)$.
- ▶ If α is an inclusion of groups, then $\alpha_!$ is just the transfer.
- ▶ Given a homotopy pullback square of groupoids as shown on the left, we have a commutative diagram as shown on the right.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H \\
 \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 K & \xrightarrow{\delta} & L
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & K^*(BH) \\
 \beta_! \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_! \\
 K^*(BK) & \xleftarrow{\delta^*} & K^*(BL)
 \end{array}$$

This generalises the classical Mackey property of transfers.

- ▶ Recall $u = \text{tr}_{\Delta}^{G^2}(1) \in K^0(BG^2) = K^0(BG) \otimes_{K^0} K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ From the duality theorem it follows that there is a unique *Frobenius form* $\theta: K^0(BG) \rightarrow K^0$ such that $(\theta \otimes 1)(u) = 1$ in $K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ Using the Mackey property: $\langle u, v \rangle_G = \theta(uv)$.
- ▶ There are similar statements for E^* when $E^0(BG)$ is free.

- ▶ We have shown that $K^*(BG)$ is naturally self-dual when G is a finite group. There is an easy generalisation to groupoids.
- ▶ Any functor $\alpha: G \rightarrow H$ induces $\alpha^*: K^*(BH) \rightarrow K^*(BG)$. As everything is self-dual, there is a unique $\alpha_!: K^*(BG) \rightarrow K^*(BH)$ adjoint to α^* , i.e. $\langle \alpha_!(a), b \rangle_H = \langle a, \alpha^*(b) \rangle_G$ for $a \in K^*(BG)$ and $b \in K^*(BH)$.
- ▶ If α is an inclusion of groups, then $\alpha_!$ is just the transfer.
- ▶ Given a homotopy pullback square of groupoids as shown on the left, we have a commutative diagram as shown on the right.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H \\
 \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 K & \xrightarrow{\delta} & L
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & K^*(BH) \\
 \beta_! \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_! \\
 K^*(BK) & \xleftarrow{\delta^*} & K^*(BL)
 \end{array}$$

This generalises the classical Mackey property of transfers.

- ▶ Recall $u = \text{tr}_{\Delta}^{G^2}(1) \in K^0(BG^2) = K^0(BG) \otimes_{K^0} K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ From the duality theorem it follows that there is a unique *Frobenius form* $\theta: K^0(BG) \rightarrow K^0$ such that $(\theta \otimes 1)(u) = 1$ in $K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ Using the Mackey property: $\langle u, v \rangle_G = \theta(uv)$.
- ▶ There are similar statements for E^* when $E^0(BG)$ is free.

- ▶ We have shown that $K^*(BG)$ is naturally self-dual when G is a finite group. There is an easy generalisation to groupoids.
- ▶ Any functor $\alpha: G \rightarrow H$ induces $\alpha^*: K^*(BH) \rightarrow K^*(BG)$. As everything is self-dual, there is a unique $\alpha_!: K^*(BG) \rightarrow K^*(BH)$ adjoint to α^* , i.e. $\langle \alpha_!(a), b \rangle_H = \langle a, \alpha^*(b) \rangle_G$ for $a \in K^*(BG)$ and $b \in K^*(BH)$.
- ▶ If α is an inclusion of groups, then $\alpha_!$ is just the transfer.
- ▶ Given a homotopy pullback square of groupoids as shown on the left, we have a commutative diagram as shown on the right.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & H \\
 \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\
 K & \xrightarrow{\delta} & L
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 K^*(BG) & \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} & K^*(BH) \\
 \beta_! \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_! \\
 K^*(BK) & \xleftarrow{\delta^*} & K^*(BL)
 \end{array}$$

This generalises the classical Mackey property of transfers.

- ▶ Recall $u = \text{tr}_{\Delta}^{G^2}(1) \in K^0(BG^2) = K^0(BG) \otimes_{K^0} K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ From the duality theorem it follows that there is a unique *Frobenius form* $\theta: K^0(BG) \rightarrow K^0$ such that $(\theta \otimes 1)(u) = 1$ in $K^0(BG)$.
- ▶ Using the Mackey property: $\langle u, v \rangle_G = \theta(uv)$.
- ▶ There are similar statements for E^* when $E^0(BG)$ is free.

Duality in the abelian case

- ▶ Let E be Morava E -theory.
- ▶ There is a power series $\log_F(x) = \sum_{k>0} m_k x^k$ with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$ and $\log_F(x +_F y) = \log_F(x) + \log_F(y)$.
- ▶ The series $d \log_F(x) = \sum_k k m_k x^{k-1} dx$ actually lies in $E^0[[x]].dx$.
- ▶ Given any $f(x) \in E^0[[x]]$ we can expand $f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x)$ in positive and negative powers of x , and define $\rho_m(f(x)) = \text{res}(f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x))$ to be the coefficient of $x^{-1}dx$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** the Frobenius form $\theta: E^0(BC_{p^m}) = E^0[[x]]/[p^m]_F(x) \rightarrow E^0$ is induced by ρ_m .
- ▶ For a general finite abelian group A we can decompose A as a product of cyclic groups and thus determine the Frobenius form.
- ▶ **Open problem:** do this more naturally in terms of higher-dimensional residues and local cohomology.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Hopkins-Lurie): for all k the space $B^k A = K(k, A)$ has $E^*(B^k A)$ naturally self-dual (but it is trivial for $k > n$).
- ▶ **Open problem:** give a residue formula for $\theta: E^0(B^k A) \rightarrow E^0$.

- ▶ Let E be Morava E -theory.
- ▶ There is a power series $\log_F(x) = \sum_{k>0} m_k x^k$ with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$ and $\log_F(x +_F y) = \log_F(x) + \log_F(y)$.
- ▶ The series $d \log_F(x) = \sum_k k m_k x^{k-1} dx$ actually lies in $E^0[[x]].dx$.
- ▶ Given any $f(x) \in E^0[[x]]$ we can expand $f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x)$ in positive and negative powers of x , and define $\rho_m(f(x)) = \text{res}(f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x))$ to be the coefficient of $x^{-1}dx$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** the Frobenius form $\theta: E^0(BC_{p^m}) = E^0[[x]]/[p^m]_F(x) \rightarrow E^0$ is induced by ρ_m .
- ▶ For a general finite abelian group A we can decompose A as a product of cyclic groups and thus determine the Frobenius form.
- ▶ **Open problem:** do this more naturally in terms of higher-dimensional residues and local cohomology.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Hopkins-Lurie): for all k the space $B^k A = K(k, A)$ has $E^*(B^k A)$ naturally self-dual (but it is trivial for $k > n$).
- ▶ **Open problem:** give a residue formula for $\theta: E^0(B^k A) \rightarrow E^0$.

- ▶ Let E be Morava E -theory.
- ▶ There is a power series $\log_F(x) = \sum_{k>0} m_k x^k$ with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$ and $\log_F(x +_F y) = \log_F(x) + \log_F(y)$.
- ▶ The series $d \log_F(x) = \sum_k k m_k x^{k-1} dx$ actually lies in $E^0[[x]].dx$.
- ▶ Given any $f(x) \in E^0[[x]]$ we can expand $f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x)$ in positive and negative powers of x , and define $\rho_m(f(x)) = \text{res}(f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x))$ to be the coefficient of $x^{-1}dx$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** the Frobenius form $\theta: E^0(BC_{p^m}) = E^0[[x]]/[p^m]_F(x) \rightarrow E^0$ is induced by ρ_m .
- ▶ For a general finite abelian group A we can decompose A as a product of cyclic groups and thus determine the Frobenius form.
- ▶ **Open problem:** do this more naturally in terms of higher-dimensional residues and local cohomology.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Hopkins-Lurie): for all k the space $B^k A = K(k, A)$ has $E^*(B^k A)$ naturally self-dual (but it is trivial for $k > n$).
- ▶ **Open problem:** give a residue formula for $\theta: E^0(B^k A) \rightarrow E^0$.

- ▶ Let E be Morava E -theory.
- ▶ There is a power series $\log_F(x) = \sum_{k>0} m_k x^k$ with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$ and $\log_F(x +_F y) = \log_F(x) + \log_F(y)$.
- ▶ The series $d \log_F(x) = \sum_k k m_k x^{k-1} dx$ actually lies in $E^0[[x]].dx$.
- ▶ Given any $f(x) \in E^0[[x]]$ we can expand $f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x)$ in positive and negative powers of x , and define $\rho_m(f(x)) = \text{res}(f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x))$ to be the coefficient of $x^{-1}dx$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** the Frobenius form $\theta: E^0(BC_{p^m}) = E^0[[x]]/[p^m]_F(x) \rightarrow E^0$ is induced by ρ_m .
- ▶ For a general finite abelian group A we can decompose A as a product of cyclic groups and thus determine the Frobenius form.
- ▶ **Open problem:** do this more naturally in terms of higher-dimensional residues and local cohomology.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Hopkins-Lurie): for all k the space $B^k A = K(k, A)$ has $E^*(B^k A)$ naturally self-dual (but it is trivial for $k > n$).
- ▶ **Open problem:** give a residue formula for $\theta: E^0(B^k A) \rightarrow E^0$.

Duality in the abelian case

- ▶ Let E be Morava E -theory.
- ▶ There is a power series $\log_F(x) = \sum_{k>0} m_k x^k$ with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$ and $\log_F(x +_F y) = \log_F(x) + \log_F(y)$.
- ▶ The series $d \log_F(x) = \sum_k k m_k x^{k-1} dx$ actually lies in $E^0[[x]].dx$.
- ▶ Given any $f(x) \in E^0[[x]]$ we can expand $f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x)$ in positive and negative powers of x , and define $\rho_m(f(x)) = \text{res}(f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x))$ to be the coefficient of $x^{-1}dx$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** the Frobenius form $\theta: E^0(BC_{p^m}) = E^0[[x]]/[p^m]_F(x) \rightarrow E^0$ is induced by ρ_m .
- ▶ For a general finite abelian group A we can decompose A as a product of cyclic groups and thus determine the Frobenius form.
- ▶ **Open problem:** do this more naturally in terms of higher-dimensional residues and local cohomology.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Hopkins-Lurie): for all k the space $B^k A = K(k, A)$ has $E^*(B^k A)$ naturally self-dual (but it is trivial for $k > n$).
- ▶ **Open problem:** give a residue formula for $\theta: E^0(B^k A) \rightarrow E^0$.

- ▶ Let E be Morava E -theory.
- ▶ There is a power series $\log_F(x) = \sum_{k>0} m_k x^k$ with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$ and $\log_F(x +_F y) = \log_F(x) + \log_F(y)$.
- ▶ The series $d \log_F(x) = \sum_k k m_k x^{k-1} dx$ actually lies in $E^0[[x]].dx$.
- ▶ Given any $f(x) \in E^0[[x]]$ we can expand $f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x)$ in positive and negative powers of x , and define $\rho_m(f(x)) = \text{res}(f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x))$ to be the coefficient of $x^{-1}dx$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** the Frobenius form $\theta: E^0(BC_{p^m}) = E^0[[x]]/[p^m]_F(x) \rightarrow E^0$ is induced by ρ_m .
- ▶ For a general finite abelian group A we can decompose A as a product of cyclic groups and thus determine the Frobenius form.
- ▶ **Open problem:** do this more naturally in terms of higher-dimensional residues and local cohomology.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Hopkins-Lurie): for all k the space $B^k A = K(k, A)$ has $E^*(B^k A)$ naturally self-dual (but it is trivial for $k > n$).
- ▶ **Open problem:** give a residue formula for $\theta: E^0(B^k A) \rightarrow E^0$.

Duality in the abelian case

- ▶ Let E be Morava E -theory.
- ▶ There is a power series $\log_F(x) = \sum_{k>0} m_k x^k$ with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$ and $\log_F(x +_F y) = \log_F(x) + \log_F(y)$.
- ▶ The series $d \log_F(x) = \sum_k k m_k x^{k-1} dx$ actually lies in $E^0[[x]].dx$.
- ▶ Given any $f(x) \in E^0[[x]]$ we can expand $f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x)$ in positive and negative powers of x , and define $\rho_m(f(x)) = \text{res}(f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x))$ to be the coefficient of $x^{-1}dx$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** the Frobenius form $\theta: E^0(BC_{p^m}) = E^0[[x]]/[p^m]_F(x) \rightarrow E^0$ is induced by ρ_m .
- ▶ For a general finite abelian group A we can decompose A as a product of cyclic groups and thus determine the Frobenius form.
- ▶ **Open problem:** do this more naturally in terms of higher-dimensional residues and local cohomology.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Hopkins-Lurie): for all k the space $B^k A = K(k, A)$ has $E^*(B^k A)$ naturally self-dual (but it is trivial for $k > n$).
- ▶ **Open problem:** give a residue formula for $\theta: E^0(B^k A) \rightarrow E^0$.

- ▶ Let E be Morava E -theory.
- ▶ There is a power series $\log_F(x) = \sum_{k>0} m_k x^k$ with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$ and $\log_F(x +_F y) = \log_F(x) + \log_F(y)$.
- ▶ The series $d \log_F(x) = \sum_k k m_k x^{k-1} dx$ actually lies in $E^0[[x]].dx$.
- ▶ Given any $f(x) \in E^0[[x]]$ we can expand $f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x)$ in positive and negative powers of x , and define $\rho_m(f(x)) = \text{res}(f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x))$ to be the coefficient of $x^{-1}dx$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** the Frobenius form $\theta: E^0(BC_{p^m}) = E^0[[x]]/[p^m]_F(x) \rightarrow E^0$ is induced by ρ_m .
- ▶ For a general finite abelian group A we can decompose A as a product of cyclic groups and thus determine the Frobenius form.
- ▶ **Open problem:** do this more naturally in terms of higher-dimensional residues and local cohomology.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Hopkins-Lurie): for all k the space $B^k A = K(k, A)$ has $E^*(B^k A)$ naturally self-dual (but it is trivial for $k > n$).
- ▶ **Open problem:** give a residue formula for $\theta: E^0(B^k A) \rightarrow E^0$.

- ▶ Let E be Morava E -theory.
- ▶ There is a power series $\log_F(x) = \sum_{k>0} m_k x^k$ with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$ and $\log_F(x +_F y) = \log_F(x) + \log_F(y)$.
- ▶ The series $d \log_F(x) = \sum_k k m_k x^{k-1} dx$ actually lies in $E^0[[x]].dx$.
- ▶ Given any $f(x) \in E^0[[x]]$ we can expand $f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x)$ in positive and negative powers of x , and define $\rho_m(f(x)) = \text{res}(f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x))$ to be the coefficient of $x^{-1}dx$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** the Frobenius form $\theta: E^0(BC_{p^m}) = E^0[[x]]/[p^m]_F(x) \rightarrow E^0$ is induced by ρ_m .
- ▶ For a general finite abelian group A we can decompose A as a product of cyclic groups and thus determine the Frobenius form.
- ▶ **Open problem:** do this more naturally in terms of higher-dimensional residues and local cohomology.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Hopkins-Lurie): for all k the space $B^k A = K(k, A)$ has $E^*(B^k A)$ naturally self-dual (but it is trivial for $k > n$).
- ▶ **Open problem:** give a residue formula for $\theta: E^0(B^k A) \rightarrow E^0$.

- ▶ Let E be Morava E -theory.
- ▶ There is a power series $\log_F(x) = \sum_{k>0} m_k x^k$ with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_k \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes E^0$ and $\log_F(x +_F y) = \log_F(x) + \log_F(y)$.
- ▶ The series $d \log_F(x) = \sum_k k m_k x^{k-1} dx$ actually lies in $E^0[[x]].dx$.
- ▶ Given any $f(x) \in E^0[[x]]$ we can expand $f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x)$ in positive and negative powers of x , and define $\rho_m(f(x)) = \text{res}(f(x)\omega/[p^m]_F(x))$ to be the coefficient of $x^{-1}dx$.
- ▶ **Theorem:** the Frobenius form $\theta: E^0(BC_{p^m}) = E^0[[x]]/[p^m]_F(x) \rightarrow E^0$ is induced by ρ_m .
- ▶ For a general finite abelian group A we can decompose A as a product of cyclic groups and thus determine the Frobenius form.
- ▶ **Open problem:** do this more naturally in terms of higher-dimensional residues and local cohomology.
- ▶ **Theorem** (Hopkins-Lurie): for all k the space $B^k A = K(k, A)$ has $E^*(B^k A)$ naturally self-dual (but it is trivial for $k > n$).
- ▶ **Open problem:** give a residue formula for $\theta: E^0(B^k A) \rightarrow E^0$.

Semirings with λ -operations

- ▶ The representation semiring $R_+(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of complex representations.
- ▶ This has addition $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ and multiplication $[V][W] = [V \otimes W]$ but no subtraction.
- ▶ There are also operations λ^k sending $[V]$ to $[\Lambda^k V]$, where $\Lambda^k V$ is the k 'th exterior power of V .
- ▶ We also have a ring $R(G)$ of virtual representations, which is the group completion of $R_+(G)$.
- ▶ If h is the number of conjugacy classes then h is also the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations. These form a basis giving $R_+(G) \simeq \mathbb{N}^h$ and $R(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^h$ additively.

- ▶ The scheme

$\text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Div}_k^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{G}^k / \Sigma_k = \text{spf}(E^0(\coprod_k BU(k)))$
is a semiring object in the category of schemes, with λ -operations.

- ▶ For divisors $D = \sum_{i < r} [a_i]$ and $E = \sum_{j < s} [b_j]$ we have $DE = \sum_{i,j} [a_i + b_j]$ and $\lambda^k D = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k < r} [a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_k}]$.
- ▶ The λ operations on $R_+(G)$ induce Adams operations on $R(G)$, e.g. $\psi^2(x) = x^2 - 2\lambda^2(x)$ and $\psi^3(x) = x^3 - 3x\lambda^2(x) + 3\lambda^3(x)$.
These are ring maps with $\psi^k \psi^m = \psi^{km}$.

Semirings with λ -operations

- ▶ The representation semiring $R_+(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of complex representations.
- ▶ This has addition $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ and multiplication $[V][W] = [V \otimes W]$ but no subtraction.
- ▶ There are also operations λ^k sending $[V]$ to $[\Lambda^k V]$, where $\Lambda^k V$ is the k 'th exterior power of V .
- ▶ We also have a ring $R(G)$ of virtual representations, which is the group completion of $R_+(G)$.
- ▶ If h is the number of conjugacy classes then h is also the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations. These form a basis giving $R_+(G) \simeq \mathbb{N}^h$ and $R(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^h$ additively.
- ▶ The scheme $\text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Div}_k^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{G}^k / \Sigma_k = \text{spf}(E^0(\coprod_k BU(k)))$ is a semiring object in the category of schemes, with λ -operations.
- ▶ For divisors $D = \sum_{i < r} [a_i]$ and $E = \sum_{j < s} [b_j]$ we have $DE = \sum_{i,j} [a_i + b_j]$ and $\lambda^k D = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k < r} [a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_k}]$.
- ▶ The λ operations on $R_+(G)$ induce Adams operations on $R(G)$, e.g. $\psi^2(x) = x^2 - 2\lambda^2(x)$ and $\psi^3(x) = x^3 - 3x\lambda^2(x) + 3\lambda^3(x)$. These are ring maps with $\psi^k \psi^m = \psi^{km}$.

Semirings with λ -operations

- ▶ The representation semiring $R_+(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of complex representations.
- ▶ This has addition $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ and multiplication $[V][W] = [V \otimes W]$ but no subtraction.
- ▶ There are also operations λ^k sending $[V]$ to $[\Lambda^k V]$, where $\Lambda^k V$ is the k 'th exterior power of V .
- ▶ We also have a ring $R(G)$ of virtual representations, which is the group completion of $R_+(G)$.
- ▶ If h is the number of conjugacy classes then h is also the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations. These form a basis giving $R_+(G) \simeq \mathbb{N}^h$ and $R(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^h$ additively.
- ▶ The scheme $\text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Div}_k^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{G}^k / \Sigma_k = \text{spf}(E^0(\coprod_k BU(k)))$ is a semiring object in the category of schemes, with λ -operations.
- ▶ For divisors $D = \sum_{i < r} [a_i]$ and $E = \sum_{j < s} [b_j]$ we have $DE = \sum_{i,j} [a_i + b_j]$ and $\lambda^k D = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k < r} [a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_k}]$.
- ▶ The λ operations on $R_+(G)$ induce Adams operations on $R(G)$, e.g. $\psi^2(x) = x^2 - 2\lambda^2(x)$ and $\psi^3(x) = x^3 - 3x\lambda^2(x) + 3\lambda^3(x)$. These are ring maps with $\psi^k \psi^m = \psi^{km}$.

Semirings with λ -operations

- ▶ The representation semiring $R_+(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of complex representations.
- ▶ This has addition $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ and multiplication $[V][W] = [V \otimes W]$ but no subtraction.
- ▶ There are also operations λ^k sending $[V]$ to $[\Lambda^k V]$, where $\Lambda^k V$ is the k 'th exterior power of V .
- ▶ We also have a ring $R(G)$ of virtual representations, which is the group completion of $R_+(G)$.
- ▶ If h is the number of conjugacy classes then h is also the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations. These form a basis giving $R_+(G) \simeq \mathbb{N}^h$ and $R(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^h$ additively.
- ▶ The scheme $\text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Div}_k^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{G}^k / \Sigma_k = \text{spf}(E^0(\coprod_k BU(k)))$ is a semiring object in the category of schemes, with λ -operations.
- ▶ For divisors $D = \sum_{i < r} [a_i]$ and $E = \sum_{j < s} [b_j]$ we have $DE = \sum_{i,j} [a_i + b_j]$ and $\lambda^k D = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k < r} [a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_k}]$.
- ▶ The λ operations on $R_+(G)$ induce Adams operations on $R(G)$, e.g. $\psi^2(x) = x^2 - 2\lambda^2(x)$ and $\psi^3(x) = x^3 - 3x\lambda^2(x) + 3\lambda^3(x)$. These are ring maps with $\psi^k \psi^m = \psi^{km}$.

Semirings with λ -operations

- ▶ The representation semiring $R_+(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of complex representations.
- ▶ This has addition $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ and multiplication $[V][W] = [V \otimes W]$ but no subtraction.
- ▶ There are also operations λ^k sending $[V]$ to $[\Lambda^k V]$, where $\Lambda^k V$ is the k 'th exterior power of V .
- ▶ We also have a ring $R(G)$ of virtual representations, which is the group completion of $R_+(G)$.

▶ If h is the number of conjugacy classes then h is also the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations. These form a basis giving $R_+(G) \simeq \mathbb{N}^h$ and $R(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^h$ additively.

▶ The scheme

$\text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Div}_k^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{G}^k / \Sigma_k = \text{spf}(E^0(\coprod_k BU(k)))$
is a semiring object in the category of schemes, with λ -operations.

▶ For divisors $D = \sum_{i < r} [a_i]$ and $E = \sum_{j < s} [b_j]$ we have $DE = \sum_{i,j} [a_i + b_j]$ and $\lambda^k D = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k < r} [a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_k}]$.

▶ The λ operations on $R_+(G)$ induce Adams operations on $R(G)$, e.g. $\psi^2(x) = x^2 - 2\lambda^2(x)$ and $\psi^3(x) = x^3 - 3x\lambda^2(x) + 3\lambda^3(x)$.
These are ring maps with $\psi^k \psi^m = \psi^{km}$.

Semirings with λ -operations

- ▶ The representation semiring $R_+(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of complex representations.
- ▶ This has addition $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ and multiplication $[V][W] = [V \otimes W]$ but no subtraction.
- ▶ There are also operations λ^k sending $[V]$ to $[\Lambda^k V]$, where $\Lambda^k V$ is the k 'th exterior power of V .
- ▶ We also have a ring $R(G)$ of virtual representations, which is the group completion of $R_+(G)$.
- ▶ If h is the number of conjugacy classes then h is also the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations. These form a basis giving $R_+(G) \simeq \mathbb{N}^h$ and $R(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^h$ additively.

- ▶ The scheme

$\text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Div}_k^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{G}^k / \Sigma_k = \text{spf}(E^0(\coprod_k BU(k)))$
is a semiring object in the category of schemes, with λ -operations.

- ▶ For divisors $D = \sum_{i < r} [a_i]$ and $E = \sum_{j < s} [b_j]$ we have $DE = \sum_{i,j} [a_i + b_j]$ and $\lambda^k D = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k < r} [a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_k}]$.
- ▶ The λ operations on $R_+(G)$ induce Adams operations on $R(G)$, e.g. $\psi^2(x) = x^2 - 2\lambda^2(x)$ and $\psi^3(x) = x^3 - 3x\lambda^2(x) + 3\lambda^3(x)$. These are ring maps with $\psi^k \psi^m = \psi^{km}$.

Semirings with λ -operations

- ▶ The representation semiring $R_+(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of complex representations.
- ▶ This has addition $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ and multiplication $[V][W] = [V \otimes W]$ but no subtraction.
- ▶ There are also operations λ^k sending $[V]$ to $[\Lambda^k V]$, where $\Lambda^k V$ is the k 'th exterior power of V .
- ▶ We also have a ring $R(G)$ of virtual representations, which is the group completion of $R_+(G)$.
- ▶ If h is the number of conjugacy classes then h is also the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations. These form a basis giving $R_+(G) \simeq \mathbb{N}^h$ and $R(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^h$ additively.

- ▶ The scheme

$\text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Div}_k^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{G}^k / \Sigma_k = \text{spf}(E^0(\coprod_k BU(k)))$
is a semiring object in the category of schemes, with λ -operations.

- ▶ For divisors $D = \sum_{i < r} [a_i]$ and $E = \sum_{j < s} [b_j]$ we have $DE = \sum_{i,j} [a_i + b_j]$ and $\lambda^k D = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k < r} [a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_k}]$.
- ▶ The λ operations on $R_+(G)$ induce Adams operations on $R(G)$, e.g. $\psi^2(x) = x^2 - 2\lambda^2(x)$ and $\psi^3(x) = x^3 - 3x\lambda^2(x) + 3\lambda^3(x)$. These are ring maps with $\psi^k \psi^m = \psi^{km}$.

Semirings with λ -operations

- ▶ The representation semiring $R_+(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of complex representations.
- ▶ This has addition $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ and multiplication $[V][W] = [V \otimes W]$ but no subtraction.
- ▶ There are also operations λ^k sending $[V]$ to $[\Lambda^k V]$, where $\Lambda^k V$ is the k 'th exterior power of V .
- ▶ We also have a ring $R(G)$ of virtual representations, which is the group completion of $R_+(G)$.
- ▶ If h is the number of conjugacy classes then h is also the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations. These form a basis giving $R_+(G) \simeq \mathbb{N}^h$ and $R(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^h$ additively.

- ▶ The scheme

$\text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Div}_k^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{G}^k / \Sigma_k = \text{spf}(E^0(\coprod_k BU(k)))$
is a semiring object in the category of schemes, with λ -operations.

- ▶ For divisors $D = \sum_{i < r} [a_i]$ and $E = \sum_{j < s} [b_j]$ we have $DE = \sum_{i,j} [a_i + b_j]$ and $\lambda^k D = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k < r} [a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_k}]$.
- ▶ The λ operations on $R_+(G)$ induce Adams operations on $R(G)$, e.g. $\psi^2(x) = x^2 - 2\lambda^2(x)$ and $\psi^3(x) = x^3 - 3x\lambda^2(x) + 3\lambda^3(x)$. These are ring maps with $\psi^k \psi^m = \psi^{km}$.

Semirings with λ -operations

- ▶ The representation semiring $R_+(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of complex representations.
- ▶ This has addition $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ and multiplication $[V][W] = [V \otimes W]$ but no subtraction.
- ▶ There are also operations λ^k sending $[V]$ to $[\Lambda^k V]$, where $\Lambda^k V$ is the k 'th exterior power of V .
- ▶ We also have a ring $R(G)$ of virtual representations, which is the group completion of $R_+(G)$.
- ▶ If h is the number of conjugacy classes then h is also the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations. These form a basis giving $R_+(G) \simeq \mathbb{N}^h$ and $R(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^h$ additively.

- ▶ The scheme

$\text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Div}_k^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{G}^k / \Sigma_k = \text{spf}(E^0(\coprod_k BU(k)))$
is a semiring object in the category of schemes, with λ -operations.

- ▶ For divisors $D = \sum_{i < r} [a_i]$ and $E = \sum_{j < s} [b_j]$ we have $DE = \sum_{i,j} [a_i + b_j]$ and $\lambda^k D = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k < r} [a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_k}]$.
- ▶ The λ operations on $R_+(G)$ induce Adams operations on $R(G)$, e.g. $\psi^2(x) = x^2 - 2\lambda^2(x)$ and $\psi^3(x) = x^3 - 3x\lambda^2(x) + 3\lambda^3(x)$.
These are ring maps with $\psi^k \psi^m = \psi^{km}$.

Semirings with λ -operations

- ▶ The representation semiring $R_+(G)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of complex representations.
- ▶ This has addition $[V] + [W] = [V \oplus W]$ and multiplication $[V][W] = [V \otimes W]$ but no subtraction.
- ▶ There are also operations λ^k sending $[V]$ to $[\Lambda^k V]$, where $\Lambda^k V$ is the k 'th exterior power of V .
- ▶ We also have a ring $R(G)$ of virtual representations, which is the group completion of $R_+(G)$.
- ▶ If h is the number of conjugacy classes then h is also the number of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations. These form a basis giving $R_+(G) \simeq \mathbb{N}^h$ and $R(G) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^h$ additively.

- ▶ The scheme

$\text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \text{Div}_k^+(\mathbb{G}) = \coprod_{k \geq 0} \mathbb{G}^k / \Sigma_k = \text{spf}(E^0(\coprod_k BU(k)))$
is a semiring object in the category of schemes, with λ -operations.

- ▶ For divisors $D = \sum_{i < r} [a_i]$ and $E = \sum_{j < s} [b_j]$ we have $DE = \sum_{i,j} [a_i + b_j]$ and $\lambda^k D = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k < r} [a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_k}]$.
- ▶ The λ operations on $R_+(G)$ induce Adams operations on $R(G)$, e.g. $\psi^2(x) = x^2 - 2\lambda^2(x)$ and $\psi^3(x) = x^3 - 3x\lambda^2(x) + 3\lambda^3(x)$. These are ring maps with $\psi^k \psi^m = \psi^{km}$.

Chern approximations

- ▶ We define $\text{Ch}(G)$ to be the scheme of morphism $R_+(G) \rightarrow \text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G})$ of λ -semirings, and $C(E, G) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ch}(G)}$.
- ▶ If we understand everything about $R_+(G)$ then we can write down a presentation of $C(E, G)$ by generators and relations, partly determined by the formal group law. But it is easier to work with schemes where possible.
- ▶ Like $E^0(BG)$, the ring $C(E, G)$ is finitely generated as an E^0 -module.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\alpha_G: C(E, G) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, whose image is the subring generated by all Chern classes of all representations.
- ▶ There may be a kernel in general, consisting of relations between Chern classes that do not follow automatically from representation theory.
- ▶ If the chromatic height n is one, then α_G is an isomorphism for all G . This is because Morava E -theory at height one is the p -completion of KU and so is very close to representation theory.
- ▶ If G is abelian, or is a general linear group over a finite field of characteristic not equal to p , then α_G is iso.
However, this fails for the symmetric group Σ_6 when $p = n = 2$.
It also fails for certain central extensions $C_p \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_p^{2d}$ with $d > 1$.

Chern approximations

- ▶ We define $\text{Ch}(G)$ to be the scheme of morphism $R_+(G) \rightarrow \text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G})$ of λ -semirings, and $C(E, G) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ch}(G)}$.
- ▶ If we understand everything about $R_+(G)$ then we can write down a presentation of $C(E, G)$ by generators and relations, partly determined by the formal group law. But it is easier to work with schemes where possible.
- ▶ Like $E^0(BG)$, the ring $C(E, G)$ is finitely generated as an E^0 -module.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\alpha_G: C(E, G) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, whose image is the subring generated by all Chern classes of all representations.
- ▶ There may be a kernel in general, consisting of relations between Chern classes that do not follow automatically from representation theory.
- ▶ If the chromatic height n is one, then α_G is an isomorphism for all G . This is because Morava E -theory at height one is the p -completion of KU and so is very close to representation theory.
- ▶ If G is abelian, or is a general linear group over a finite field of characteristic not equal to p , then α_G is iso.
However, this fails for the symmetric group Σ_6 when $p = n = 2$.
It also fails for certain central extensions $C_p \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_p^{2d}$ with $d > 1$.

Chern approximations

- ▶ We define $\text{Ch}(G)$ to be the scheme of morphism $R_+(G) \rightarrow \text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G})$ of λ -semirings, and $C(E, G) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ch}(G)}$.
- ▶ If we understand everything about $R_+(G)$ then we can write down a presentation of $C(E, G)$ by generators and relations, partly determined by the formal group law. But it is easier to work with schemes where possible.
- ▶ Like $E^0(BG)$, the ring $C(E, G)$ is finitely generated as an E^0 -module.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\alpha_G: C(E, G) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, whose image is the subring generated by all Chern classes of all representations.
- ▶ There may be a kernel in general, consisting of relations between Chern classes that do not follow automatically from representation theory.
- ▶ If the chromatic height n is one, then α_G is an isomorphism for all G . This is because Morava E -theory at height one is the p -completion of KU and so is very close to representation theory.
- ▶ If G is abelian, or is a general linear group over a finite field of characteristic not equal to p , then α_G is iso.
However, this fails for the symmetric group Σ_6 when $p = n = 2$.
It also fails for certain central extensions $C_p \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_p^{2d}$ with $d > 1$.

Chern approximations

- ▶ We define $\text{Ch}(G)$ to be the scheme of morphism $R_+(G) \rightarrow \text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G})$ of λ -semirings, and $C(E, G) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ch}(G)}$.
- ▶ If we understand everything about $R_+(G)$ then we can write down a presentation of $C(E, G)$ by generators and relations, partly determined by the formal group law. But it is easier to work with schemes where possible.
- ▶ Like $E^0(BG)$, the ring $C(E, G)$ is finitely generated as an E^0 -module.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\alpha_G: C(E, G) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, whose image is the subring generated by all Chern classes of all representations.
- ▶ There may be a kernel in general, consisting of relations between Chern classes that do not follow automatically from representation theory.
- ▶ If the chromatic height n is one, then α_G is an isomorphism for all G . This is because Morava E -theory at height one is the p -completion of KU and so is very close to representation theory.
- ▶ If G is abelian, or is a general linear group over a finite field of characteristic not equal to p , then α_G is iso.
However, this fails for the symmetric group Σ_6 when $p = n = 2$.
It also fails for certain central extensions $C_p \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_p^{2d}$ with $d > 1$.

Chern approximations

- ▶ We define $\text{Ch}(G)$ to be the scheme of morphism $R_+(G) \rightarrow \text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G})$ of λ -semirings, and $C(E, G) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ch}(G)}$.
- ▶ If we understand everything about $R_+(G)$ then we can write down a presentation of $C(E, G)$ by generators and relations, partly determined by the formal group law. But it is easier to work with schemes where possible.
- ▶ Like $E^0(BG)$, the ring $C(E, G)$ is finitely generated as an E^0 -module.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\alpha_G: C(E, G) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, whose image is the subring generated by all Chern classes of all representations.
- ▶ There may be a kernel in general, consisting of relations between Chern classes that do not follow automatically from representation theory.
- ▶ If the chromatic height n is one, then α_G is an isomorphism for all G . This is because Morava E -theory at height one is the p -completion of KU and so is very close to representation theory.
- ▶ If G is abelian, or is a general linear group over a finite field of characteristic not equal to p , then α_G is iso. However, this fails for the symmetric group Σ_6 when $p = n = 2$. It also fails for certain central extensions $C_p \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_p^{2d}$ with $d > 1$.

Chern approximations

- ▶ We define $\text{Ch}(G)$ to be the scheme of morphism $R_+(G) \rightarrow \text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G})$ of λ -semirings, and $C(E, G) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ch}(G)}$.
- ▶ If we understand everything about $R_+(G)$ then we can write down a presentation of $C(E, G)$ by generators and relations, partly determined by the formal group law. But it is easier to work with schemes where possible.
- ▶ Like $E^0(BG)$, the ring $C(E, G)$ is finitely generated as an E^0 -module.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\alpha_G: C(E, G) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, whose image is the subring generated by all Chern classes of all representations.
- ▶ There may be a kernel in general, consisting of relations between Chern classes that do not follow automatically from representation theory.
- ▶ If the chromatic height n is one, then α_G is an isomorphism for all G . This is because Morava E -theory at height one is the p -completion of KU and so is very close to representation theory.
- ▶ If G is abelian, or is a general linear group over a finite field of characteristic not equal to p , then α_G is iso. However, this fails for the symmetric group Σ_6 when $p = n = 2$. It also fails for certain central extensions $C_p \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_p^{2d}$ with $d > 1$.

Chern approximations

- ▶ We define $\text{Ch}(G)$ to be the scheme of morphism $R_+(G) \rightarrow \text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G})$ of λ -semirings, and $C(E, G) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ch}(G)}$.
- ▶ If we understand everything about $R_+(G)$ then we can write down a presentation of $C(E, G)$ by generators and relations, partly determined by the formal group law. But it is easier to work with schemes where possible.
- ▶ Like $E^0(BG)$, the ring $C(E, G)$ is finitely generated as an E^0 -module.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\alpha_G: C(E, G) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, whose image is the subring generated by all Chern classes of all representations.
- ▶ There may be a kernel in general, consisting of relations between Chern classes that do not follow automatically from representation theory.
- ▶ If the chromatic height n is one, then α_G is an isomorphism for all G . This is because Morava E -theory at height one is the p -completion of KU and so is very close to representation theory.
- ▶ If G is abelian, or is a general linear group over a finite field of characteristic not equal to p , then α_G is iso. However, this fails for the symmetric group Σ_6 when $p = n = 2$. It also fails for certain central extensions $C_p \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_p^{2d}$ with $d > 1$.

Chern approximations

- ▶ We define $\text{Ch}(G)$ to be the scheme of morphism $R_+(G) \rightarrow \text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G})$ of λ -semirings, and $C(E, G) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ch}(G)}$.
- ▶ If we understand everything about $R_+(G)$ then we can write down a presentation of $C(E, G)$ by generators and relations, partly determined by the formal group law. But it is easier to work with schemes where possible.
- ▶ Like $E^0(BG)$, the ring $C(E, G)$ is finitely generated as an E^0 -module.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\alpha_G: C(E, G) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, whose image is the subring generated by all Chern classes of all representations.
- ▶ There may be a kernel in general, consisting of relations between Chern classes that do not follow automatically from representation theory.
- ▶ If the chromatic height n is one, then α_G is an isomorphism for all G . This is because Morava E -theory at height one is the p -completion of KU and so is very close to representation theory.
- ▶ If G is abelian, or is a general linear group over a finite field of characteristic not equal to p , then α_G is iso.

However, this fails for the symmetric group Σ_6 when $p = n = 2$.

It also fails for certain central extensions $C_p \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_p^{2d}$ with $d > 1$.

Chern approximations

- ▶ We define $\text{Ch}(G)$ to be the scheme of morphism $R_+(G) \rightarrow \text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G})$ of λ -semirings, and $C(E, G) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ch}(G)}$.
- ▶ If we understand everything about $R_+(G)$ then we can write down a presentation of $C(E, G)$ by generators and relations, partly determined by the formal group law. But it is easier to work with schemes where possible.
- ▶ Like $E^0(BG)$, the ring $C(E, G)$ is finitely generated as an E^0 -module.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\alpha_G: C(E, G) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, whose image is the subring generated by all Chern classes of all representations.
- ▶ There may be a kernel in general, consisting of relations between Chern classes that do not follow automatically from representation theory.
- ▶ If the chromatic height n is one, then α_G is an isomorphism for all G . This is because Morava E -theory at height one is the p -completion of KU and so is very close to representation theory.
- ▶ If G is abelian, or is a general linear group over a finite field of characteristic not equal to p , then α_G is iso. However, this fails for the symmetric group Σ_6 when $p = n = 2$. It also fails for certain central extensions $C_p \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_p^{2d}$ with $d > 1$.

Chern approximations

- ▶ We define $\text{Ch}(G)$ to be the scheme of morphism $R_+(G) \rightarrow \text{Div}^+(\mathbb{G})$ of λ -semirings, and $C(E, G) = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Ch}(G)}$.
- ▶ If we understand everything about $R_+(G)$ then we can write down a presentation of $C(E, G)$ by generators and relations, partly determined by the formal group law. But it is easier to work with schemes where possible.
- ▶ Like $E^0(BG)$, the ring $C(E, G)$ is finitely generated as an E^0 -module.
- ▶ There is a natural map $\alpha_G: C(E, G) \rightarrow E^0(BG)$, whose image is the subring generated by all Chern classes of all representations.
- ▶ There may be a kernel in general, consisting of relations between Chern classes that do not follow automatically from representation theory.
- ▶ If the chromatic height n is one, then α_G is an isomorphism for all G . This is because Morava E -theory at height one is the p -completion of KU and so is very close to representation theory.
- ▶ If G is abelian, or is a general linear group over a finite field of characteristic not equal to p , then α_G is iso.
However, this fails for the symmetric group Σ_6 when $p = n = 2$.
It also fails for certain central extensions $C_p \rightarrow G \rightarrow C_p^{2d}$ with $d > 1$.

The group $G = \Sigma_4$ with $n = p = 2$

The character table of Σ_4 is as follows:

class	size	1	ϵ	σ	ρ	$\epsilon\rho$
1^4	1	1	1	2	3	3
$1^2 2$	6	1	-1	0	1	-1
2^2	3	1	1	2	-1	-1
13	8	1	1	-1	0	0
4	6	1	-1	0	-1	1

The ring structure, Adams operations and λ -operations are described in the following table.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 \epsilon^2 = 1 & \psi^k(\epsilon) = \epsilon^k & \lambda^2(\sigma) = \epsilon \\
 \epsilon\sigma = \sigma & \psi^2(\sigma) = 1 - \epsilon + \sigma & \lambda^2(\rho) = \epsilon\rho \\
 \sigma^2 = 1 + \epsilon + \sigma & \psi^3(\sigma) = 1 + \epsilon & \lambda^3(\rho) = \epsilon \\
 \sigma\rho = \rho + \epsilon\rho & \psi^2(\rho) = 1 + \sigma + \rho - \epsilon\rho & \\
 \rho^2 = 1 + \sigma + \rho + \epsilon\rho & \psi^3(\rho) = 1 + \epsilon - \sigma + \rho. &
 \end{array}$$

$\text{Ch}(\Sigma_4)$ is the scheme of pairs $(d, D) \in \mathbb{G} \times \text{Div}_3^+(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$2d = 0 \quad \lambda^3(D) = [0] \quad \psi^{-1}(D) = D \quad \psi^2(D) + D = 2[0] + [d] + [d]D$$

The group $G = \Sigma_4$ with $n = p = 2$

The character table of Σ_4 is as follows:

class	size	1	ϵ	σ	ρ	$\epsilon\rho$
1^4	1	1	1	2	3	3
$1^2 2$	6	1	-1	0	1	-1
2^2	3	1	1	2	-1	-1
13	8	1	1	-1	0	0
4	6	1	-1	0	-1	1

The ring structure, Adams operations and λ -operations are described in the following table.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 \epsilon^2 = 1 & \psi^k(\epsilon) = \epsilon^k & \lambda^2(\sigma) = \epsilon \\
 \epsilon\sigma = \sigma & \psi^2(\sigma) = 1 - \epsilon + \sigma & \lambda^2(\rho) = \epsilon\rho \\
 \sigma^2 = 1 + \epsilon + \sigma & \psi^3(\sigma) = 1 + \epsilon & \lambda^3(\rho) = \epsilon \\
 \sigma\rho = \rho + \epsilon\rho & \psi^2(\rho) = 1 + \sigma + \rho - \epsilon\rho & \\
 \rho^2 = 1 + \sigma + \rho + \epsilon\rho & \psi^3(\rho) = 1 + \epsilon - \sigma + \rho. &
 \end{array}$$

$\text{Ch}(\Sigma_4)$ is the scheme of pairs $(d, D) \in \mathbb{G} \times \text{Div}_3^+(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$2d = 0 \quad \lambda^3(D) = [0] \quad \psi^{-1}(D) = D \quad \psi^2(D) + D = 2[0] + [d] + [d]D$$

The group $G = \Sigma_4$ with $n = p = 2$

The character table of Σ_4 is as follows:

class	size	1	ϵ	σ	ρ	$\epsilon\rho$
1^4	1	1	1	2	3	3
$1^2 2$	6	1	-1	0	1	-1
2^2	3	1	1	2	-1	-1
13	8	1	1	-1	0	0
4	6	1	-1	0	-1	1

The ring structure, Adams operations and λ -operations are described in the following table.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 \epsilon^2 = 1 & \psi^k(\epsilon) = \epsilon^k & \lambda^2(\sigma) = \epsilon \\
 \epsilon\sigma = \sigma & \psi^2(\sigma) = 1 - \epsilon + \sigma & \lambda^2(\rho) = \epsilon\rho \\
 \sigma^2 = 1 + \epsilon + \sigma & \psi^3(\sigma) = 1 + \epsilon & \lambda^3(\rho) = \epsilon \\
 \sigma\rho = \rho + \epsilon\rho & \psi^2(\rho) = 1 + \sigma + \rho - \epsilon\rho & \\
 \rho^2 = 1 + \sigma + \rho + \epsilon\rho & \psi^3(\rho) = 1 + \epsilon - \sigma + \rho. &
 \end{array}$$

$\text{Ch}(\Sigma_4)$ is the scheme of pairs $(d, D) \in \mathbb{G} \times \text{Div}_3^+(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$2d = 0 \quad \lambda^3(D) = [0] \quad \psi^{-1}(D) = D \quad \psi^2(D) + D = 2[0] + [d] + [d]D$$

The group $G = \Sigma_4$ with $n = p = 2$

$\text{Ch}(\Sigma_4)$ is the scheme of pairs $(d, D) \in \mathbb{G} \times \text{Div}_3^+(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$2d = 0 \quad \lambda^3(D) = [0] \quad \psi^{-1}(D) = D \quad \psi^2(D) + D = 2[0] + [d] + [d]D$$

The formal group law for $n = p = 2$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} [2](x) &= x^4 \\ [-1](x) &= x + x^4 + x^{10} + x^{16} + x^{22} \pmod{x^{32}} \\ x +_F y &= x + y + x^2 y^2 \pmod{x^4 y^4}. \end{aligned}$$

$K^0(B\Sigma_4) = C(K, \Sigma_4) = \mathbb{F}_2[w, c_2, c_3]/J$ where

$$J = (w^4, c_3^2, c_2 c_3, c_2^4 + w^2 c_2^3 + w c_2^2 + w^2 c_3, w c_2^3 + w^2 c_2 + w c_3).$$

The following 17 monomials form a basis for this ring over \mathbb{F}_2 :

1	c_2	c_2^2	c_2^3	c_3
w	$w c_2$	$w c_2^2$		$w c_3$
w^2	$w^2 c_2$	$w^2 c_2^2$		$w^2 c_3$
w^3	$w^3 c_2$	$w^3 c_2^2$		$w^3 c_3$

The group $G = \Sigma_4$ with $n = p = 2$

$\text{Ch}(\Sigma_4)$ is the scheme of pairs $(d, D) \in \mathbb{G} \times \text{Div}_3^+(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$2d = 0 \quad \lambda^3(D) = [0] \quad \psi^{-1}(D) = D \quad \psi^2(D) + D = 2[0] + [d] + [d]D$$

The formal group law for $n = p = 2$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} [2](x) &= x^4 \\ [-1](x) &= x + x^4 + x^{10} + x^{16} + x^{22} \pmod{x^{32}} \\ x +_F y &= x + y + x^2 y^2 \pmod{x^4 y^4}. \end{aligned}$$

$K^0(B\Sigma_4) = C(K, \Sigma_4) = \mathbb{F}_2[w, c_2, c_3]/J$ where

$$J = (w^4, c_3^2, c_2 c_3, c_2^4 + w^2 c_2^3 + w c_2^2 + w^2 c_3, w c_2^3 + w^2 c_2 + w c_3).$$

The following 17 monomials form a basis for this ring over \mathbb{F}_2 :

1	c_2	c_2^2	c_2^3	c_3
w	$w c_2$	$w c_2^2$		$w c_3$
w^2	$w^2 c_2$	$w^2 c_2^2$		$w^2 c_3$
w^3	$w^3 c_2$	$w^3 c_2^2$		$w^3 c_3$

The group $G = \Sigma_4$ with $n = p = 2$

$\text{Ch}(\Sigma_4)$ is the scheme of pairs $(d, D) \in \mathbb{G} \times \text{Div}_3^+(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$2d = 0 \quad \lambda^3(D) = [0] \quad \psi^{-1}(D) = D \quad \psi^2(D) + D = 2[0] + [d] + [d]D$$

The formal group law for $n = p = 2$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} [2](x) &= x^4 \\ [-1](x) &= x + x^4 + x^{10} + x^{16} + x^{22} \pmod{x^{32}} \\ x +_F y &= x + y + x^2 y^2 \pmod{x^4 y^4}. \end{aligned}$$

$K^0(B\Sigma_4) = C(K, \Sigma_4) = \mathbb{F}_2[w, c_2, c_3]/J$ where

$$J = (w^4, c_3^2, c_2 c_3, c_2^4 + w^2 c_2^3 + w c_2^2 + w^2 c_3, w c_2^3 + w^2 c_2 + w c_3).$$

The following 17 monomials form a basis for this ring over \mathbb{F}_2 :

1	c_2	c_2^2	c_2^3	c_3
w	$w c_2$	$w c_2^2$		$w c_3$
w^2	$w^2 c_2$	$w^2 c_2^2$		$w^2 c_3$
w^3	$w^3 c_2$	$w^3 c_2^2$		$w^3 c_3$

The group $G = \Sigma_4$ with $n = p = 2$

$\text{Ch}(\Sigma_4)$ is the scheme of pairs $(d, D) \in \mathbb{G} \times \text{Div}_3^+(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$2d = 0 \quad \lambda^3(D) = [0] \quad \psi^{-1}(D) = D \quad \psi^2(D) + D = 2[0] + [d] + [d]D$$

The formal group law for $n = p = 2$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} [2](x) &= x^4 \\ [-1](x) &= x + x^4 + x^{10} + x^{16} + x^{22} \pmod{x^{32}} \\ x +_F y &= x + y + x^2 y^2 \pmod{x^4 y^4}. \end{aligned}$$

$K^0(B\Sigma_4) = C(K, \Sigma_4) = \mathbb{F}_2[w, c_2, c_3]/J$ where

$$J = (w^4, c_3^2, c_2 c_3, c_2^4 + w^2 c_2^3 + w c_2^2 + w^2 c_3, w c_2^3 + w^2 c_2 + w c_3).$$

The following 17 monomials form a basis for this ring over \mathbb{F}_2 :

1	c_2	c_2^2	c_2^3	c_3
w	$w c_2$	$w c_2^2$		$w c_3$
w^2	$w^2 c_2$	$w^2 c_2^2$		$w^2 c_3$
w^3	$w^3 c_2$	$w^3 c_2^2$		$w^3 c_3$

The group $G = \Sigma_4$ with $n = p = 2$

$\text{Ch}(\Sigma_4)$ is the scheme of pairs $(d, D) \in \mathbb{G} \times \text{Div}_3^+(\mathbb{G})$ such that

$$2d = 0 \quad \lambda^3(D) = [0] \quad \psi^{-1}(D) = D \quad \psi^2(D) + D = 2[0] + [d] + [d]D$$

The formal group law for $n = p = 2$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} [2](x) &= x^4 \\ [-1](x) &= x + x^4 + x^{10} + x^{16} + x^{22} \pmod{x^{32}} \\ x +_F y &= x + y + x^2y^2 \pmod{x^4y^4}. \end{aligned}$$

$K^0(B\Sigma_4) = C(K, \Sigma_4) = \mathbb{F}_2[w, c_2, c_3]/J$ where

$$J = (w^4, c_3^2, c_2c_3, c_2^4 + w^2c_2^3 + wc_2^2 + w^2c_3, wc_2^3 + w^2c_2 + wc_3).$$

The following 17 monomials form a basis for this ring over \mathbb{F}_2 :

1	c_2	c_2^2	c_2^3	c_3
w	wc_2	wc_2^2		wc_3
w^2	w^2c_2	$w^2c_2^2$		w^2c_3
w^3	w^3c_2	$w^3c_2^2$		w^3c_3