# MAS61015 ALGEBRAIC TOPOLOGY — PROBLEM SHEET 9 — Solutions

Please hand in Exercises 2 and 9 by the Wednesday lecture of Week 3. I would prefer paper, but if that is not possible for some reason, then you can send me a scan by email.

**Exercise 1.** Write down all isomorphism classes of abelian groups of order 2, 4 and 8. Write down all isomorphism classes of abelian groups of order 6, 10, 15.

**Solution:** Any finite abelian group can be expressed as a direct sum of terms  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k$  with p prime and k > 0. From this we obtain the following lists:

- Order 2:  $\mathbb{Z}/2$
- Order 4:  $\mathbb{Z}/4$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ .
- Order 8:  $\mathbb{Z}/8$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ .

Next, if p and q are distinct primes, the only way to make an abelian group of order pq is  $\mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q$ . (You might ask about  $\mathbb{Z}/pq$ , but that is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/p \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q$ , by the Chinese Remainder Theorem.) Thus, for orders 6, 10 and 15 we just have  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/5$ .

#### Exercise 2.

(a) If there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}/4 \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}/2 \to 0,$$

what are the possible isomorphism types for A? If you think that A could be  $\mathbb{Z}/10$ , for example, you should give explicit maps  $\mathbb{Z}/4 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/10 \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z}/2$  and check that they are well-defined and give a short exact sequence. Optional extra: If there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}/4 \xrightarrow{\beta} B \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{\delta} C \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z}/2 \to 0,$$

what are the possible isomorphism types for B and C? (There are many possibilities.)

(b) Show that if there is an exact sequence  $0 \to A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z} \to 0$  then  $B \cong A \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . You should start by showing that there is a homomorphism  $\sigma \colon \mathbb{Z} \to B$  such that  $\beta \sigma = 1$ .

### Solution:

(a) Given a short exact sequence  $U \to V \to W$  of finite abelian groups, we always have |V| = |U|.|W|. Thus, in this problem we have |A| = 8, so A is isomorphic to  $A_0 = \mathbb{Z}/8$  or  $A_1 = \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$  or  $A_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ . However, every element  $x \in A_2$  has 2x = 0, so there cannot be an injective homomorphism  $\alpha \colon \mathbb{Z}/4 \to A_2$ , so A cannot be isomorphic to  $A_2$ . This just leaves  $A_0$  and  $A_1$ . Both of these cases can occur, because there are short exact sequences

$$\mathbb{Z}/4 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \mathbb{Z}/8 \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \mathbb{Z}/2 \qquad \qquad \mathbb{Z}/4 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \mathbb{Z}/2$$

given by

 $\begin{aligned} \alpha_0(k \pmod{4}) &= 2k \pmod{8} & \beta_0(k \pmod{8}) &= k \pmod{2} \\ \alpha_1(k \pmod{4}) &= (k \pmod{4}, 0) & \beta_1(k \pmod{4}, m \pmod{2}) &= m \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$ 

We now consider the second exact sequence. We'll put  $U = \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$  for brevity, so |U| = 8. Let P, Q and R be the images of  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ , or equivalently the kernels of  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\epsilon$ . The exact sequence can then be separated into short exact sequences as follows:

$$\mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbb{Z}/4 \to P \qquad P \to B \to Q \qquad Q \to U \to R \qquad R \to C \to \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

It is easy to see that the first of these forces P to be  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ . From the other short exact sequences we obtain

$$|B| = |P||Q| = 2|Q| \qquad 8 = |U| = |Q||R| \qquad |C| = 2|R|$$

From the middle equation we see that the pair (|Q|, |R|) is either (1, 8) or (2, 4) or (4, 2) or (8, 1). In the (1, 8) case we have Q = 0 so the first two short exact sequences give  $B = P = \mathbb{Z}/2$  and  $R = U = \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ , so the last short exact sequence looks like  $\mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \to C \to \mathbb{Z}/2$ . From this one can check that C is isomorphic to one of the groups  $V_0 = \mathbb{Z}/8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$  or  $V_1 = \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/4$  or  $V_2 = \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ . The (8, 1) case is similar, and we find that  $C = \mathbb{Z}/2$  and B is  $V_0$ ,  $V_1$  or  $V_2$ .

Now suppose instead that (|Q|, |R|) = (2, 4), so (|B|, |C|) = (4, 8). In this case it turns out that B can be either of the groups  $\mathbb{Z}/4$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$  of order 4, and C can be any of the groups  $\mathbb{Z}/8$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$  of order 8, and there are no further constraints. The situation is similar if (|Q|, |R|) = (4, 2). (b) Suppose we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

Exactness means in particular that  $\beta$  is surjective, so we can choose  $b_0 \in B$  with  $\beta(b_0) = 1$ . We can then define  $\phi: A \oplus \mathbb{Z} \to B$  by  $\phi(a, n) = \alpha(a) + n b_0$ .

We claim that  $\phi$  is an isomorphism, or equivalently, that it is both injective and surjective.

To prove that  $\phi$  is injective, suppose that we have (a, n) with  $\phi(a, n) = 0$ ; it will be enough to show that (a, n) = (0, 0). The equation  $\phi(a, n) = 0$  means that  $\alpha(a) + n b_0 = 0$ . Applying  $\beta$  gives  $\beta \alpha(a) + n \beta(b_0) = 0$ . Exactness implies that  $\beta \alpha = 0$ , and we know that  $\beta(b_0) = 1$ , so we get n = 0. Using this, the equation  $\phi(a, n) = 0$  becomes  $\alpha(a) = 0$ , but exactness also implies that  $\alpha$  is injective, so we must have a = 0, as required.

To prove that  $\phi$  is surjective, consider an arbitrary element  $b \in B$ . Put  $n = \beta(b) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $b' = b - n b_0$ . We have  $\beta(b') = \beta(b) - n\beta(b_0) = n - n.1 = 0$ , so  $b' \in \ker(\beta)$ . However, exactness means that  $\ker(\beta) = \operatorname{img}(\alpha)$ , so we can find  $a \in A$  with  $b' = \alpha(a)$ . As  $b' = b - n b_0$ , this can be rearranged to give  $b = \alpha(a) + n b_0 = \phi(a, n)$ . This proves that b lies in the image of  $\phi$ , as required.

#### Exercise 3.

- (a) Let  $\phi: A \to B$  be a homomorphism between Abelian groups. Show that  $\phi(na) = n\phi(a)$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (Start with the case  $n \ge 0$  and use induction.)
- (b) Let B be an Abelian group, and let  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}^2 \to B$  be a homomorphism. Show that there are elements  $u, v \in B$  such that  $\phi(n, m) = nu + mv$  for all  $(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ .
- (c) List all the homomorphisms from  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/9$ . How many of them are surjective?
- (d) Prove that there is no homomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/12$  such that  $\phi(1) = 1$ .
- (e) How much can you say about homomorphisms from  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/m$  for arbitrary natural numbers n, m?

## Solution:

(a) Let  $\phi: A \to B$  be a homomorphism between Abelian groups, so

$$\phi(a+a') = \phi(a) + \phi(a')$$

for all  $a, a' \in A$ ; we need to show that  $\phi(na) = n\phi(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The element na is effectively defined by recursion: we have 0.a = 0 and 1.a = a and na = a + (n - 1)a for n > 1. For negative n, we use the above procedure to define |n|a and then we put na = -(|n|a).

By putting a = a' = 0 in the displayed equation, we see that  $\phi(0) = \phi(0) + \phi(0)$ ; after subtracting  $\phi(0)$  from both sides, we conclude that  $\phi(0) = 0$ , which is the case n = 0 of the claim. The case n = 1 is obvious. For n > 1 we may assume inductively that  $\phi((n - 1)a) = (n - 1)\phi(a)$ . By putting a' = (n - 1)a in the displayed equation, we find that  $\phi(a + (n - 1)a) = \phi(a) + (n - 1)\phi(a)$ , or equivalently  $\phi(na) = n\phi(a)$ . This proves the claim for all  $n \ge 0$ .

Next, put a = c and a' = -c in the displayed equation to get  $0 = \phi(0) = \phi(c) + \phi(-c)$ , which implies that  $\phi(-c) = -\phi(c)$  for all  $c \in A$ . Now, if n < 0 we can put c = |n|a to find that

$$\phi(na) = \phi(-c) = -\phi(c) = -\phi(|n|a) = -|n|\phi(a) = n\phi(a),$$

as claimed.

(b) Let  $\phi \colon \mathbb{Z}^2 \to B$  be a homomorphism of Abelian groups. Put  $u = \phi(1,0) \in B$  and  $v = \phi(0,1) \in B$ . For any  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  we then have (n,m) = (n,0) + (0,m) = n(1,0) + m(0,1), so

$$\phi(n,m) = n\phi(1,0) + m\phi(0,1) = nu + mv,$$

as required.

(c) The group  $B := \mathbb{Z}/9$  consists of the elements  $(u \mod 9)$  for  $u = 0, 1, \ldots, 8$ . Thus for any pair of integers  $u, v \in \{0, \ldots, 8\}$  we have a homomorphism  $\phi_{uv} : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to B$  defined by

$$\phi_{uv}(n,m) = (nu + mv \bmod 9).$$

This gives  $9^2 = 81$  different homomorphisms, and part (b) tells us that this is a complete list.

Suppose that u is not divisible by 3; I claim that there is an integer n such that  $nu = 1 \pmod{9}$ . Indeed, as the only factors of 9 are 1, 3 and  $3^2 = 9$ , we see that u and 9 have no common divisors other than 1, so by the theory of gcd's we see that un + 9m = 1 for some integers n, m, or in other words  $nu = 1 - 9m = 1 \pmod{9}$  as claimed. We now see that  $\phi uv(kn, 0) = (kun \mod 9) = (k \mod 9)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and this implies that  $\phi_{uv}$  is surjective. Similarly, if v is not divisible by 3 then  $\phi_{uv}$  is surjective.

Now define

$$A = \{(3m \mod 9) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \{(0 \mod 9), (3 \mod 9), (6 \mod 9)\} \subset \mathbb{Z}/9$$

this is easily seen to be a subgroup of B, with precisely three elements. Suppose that u and v are divisible by 3, say u = 3u' and v = 3v'. Then  $\phi_{uv}(n, m) = (3(nu' + mv') \mod 9) \in A$ . This shows that only elements in A can be hit by  $\phi_{uv}$ , so in particular the element  $(1 \mod 9)$  is not in the image of  $\phi_{uv}$ , so  $\phi_{uv}$  is not surjective.

The conclusion is that  $\phi_{uv}$  is surjective iff at least one of u and v is not divisible by 3. Looking at this the other way around, we see that  $\phi_{uv}$  is not surjective iff u and v both lie in the set  $\{0,3,6\}$ , which gives  $3^2 = 9$  possibilities for the pair (u, v). This leaves 81 - 9 = 72 pairs (u, v) for which  $\phi_{uv}$  is surjective.

- (d) Let  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/12$  be a homomorphism. Put  $a = (1 \mod 4) \in \mathbb{Z}/4$ , so  $4a = (4 \mod 4) = 0$ . Put  $b = \phi(a) \in \mathbb{Z}/12$ ; then  $4b = \phi(4a) = \phi(0) = 0$ . However,  $4(1 \mod 12) = (4 \mod 12) \neq 0$ , so (as claimed) we cannot have  $b = (1 \mod 12)$ .
- (e) Let n and m be natural numbers with greatest common divisor d. We then have n = pd and m = qd for certain coprime numbers p, q, and we can choose integers x, y such that px + qy = 1.

For each  $u \in \{0, 1, \ldots, d-1\}$  we can define  $\phi_u \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/m$  by  $\phi_u(k) = (kqu \mod m)$ . We then have

$$\phi_u(ni) = (niqu \bmod m) = (pdiqu \bmod m) = (pium \bmod m) = 0$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\phi_u(n\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$ . This gives a well-defined map  $\overline{\phi}_u : \mathbb{Z}/n \to \mathbb{Z}/m$  with

$$\phi_u(k \mod n) = \phi_u(k) = (kqu \mod m)$$

for all k.

Note that  $\overline{\phi}_u(1 \mod n) = (qu \mod m)$ . The numbers  $(0, q, \ldots, q(d-1))$  are all different and they all lie in the range from 0 to m-1 = qd-1, so no two of them are congruent modulo m. It follows that the maps  $\overline{\phi}_0, \ldots, \overline{\phi}_{d-1}$  are all different.

Finally, I claim that this is a complete list of *all* the homomorphisms from  $\mathbb{Z}/n$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/m$ . To see this, let  $\psi : \mathbb{Z}/n \to \mathbb{Z}/m$  be a homomorphism. We then have  $\psi(1 \mod n) = (v \mod m)$  for some  $v \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ . It follows that

$$(nv \bmod m) = n\psi(1 \bmod n) = \psi(n \bmod n) = \psi(0) = 0$$

so nv is divisible by m. We thus have nv = mw for some w, or equivalently pvd = qwd or pv = qw. Put u = wx + yv; we find that qu = qwx + qyv = pvx + qyv = (px + qy)v = v. Thus  $\psi(1 \mod n) = (qu \mod m)$ , and we deduce that  $\psi(k \mod n) = (kqu \mod m)$  for all k, so  $\psi = \overline{\phi}_u$ . We have thus found all the homomorphisms, as claimed.

**Exercise 4.** What is the minimum number of generators for  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$ ? What is the minimum number of generators for  $\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$ ? Is  $\mathbb{Q}$  a finitely generated abelian group?

**Solution:** The group  $A = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$  has elements 0 and a = (1,0) and b = (0,1) and c = (1,1), which satisfy 2a = 2b = 2c = 0. As 2a = 0 we see that the subgroup generated by a is just  $\{0, a\}$ , so in particular it is not the whole group. Similarly, the subgroup generated by b is  $\{0, b\}$ , and the subgroup generated by c is just  $\{0, c\}$ , so no single element generates the whole group. On the other hand, as c = a + b we see that a and b together generate the group. Thus, the minimum possible number of generators is 2.

Now consider instead the group  $B = \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3$ . This is certainly generated by the elements a = (1,0) and b = (0,1), so two generators is enough. However, we actually only need one generator. Indeed, as 2 and 3 are coprime, the Chinese Remainder Theorem tells us that  $B \simeq \mathbb{Z}/6$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}/6$  only needs one generator. Explicitly, if we take c = (1,1), and remember to work mod 2 in the first factor and mod 3 in the second factor, we get:

$$0c = (0,0) 1c = (1,1) 2c = (0,2)$$

$$3c = (1,0)$$
  $4c = (0,1)$   $5c = (1,2)$ 

From this we see that  $B = \{kc \mid 0 \le k < 6\}$ , so c generates B.

Finally, we claim that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not a finitely generated abelian group. Indeed, suppose we have a finite list of rational numbers  $q_1, \ldots, q_n$ , and we let U denote the group that they generate; we must show that this is not all of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We can write  $q_i$  as  $a_i/b_i$  for some integers  $a_i, b_i$  with  $b_i > 0$ . Put  $b = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n$ . We then see that  $bq_i$  is an integer for all i. If  $u \in U$  then  $u = m_1 q_1 + \cdots + m_n q_n$  for some integers  $m_i$ , so  $bu = \sum_i m_i (bq_i)$ , so  $bu \in \mathbb{Z}$ . On the other hand, we can choose a prime number p that does not divide b, and then we find that  $b \cdot p^{-1} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $p^{-1} \notin U$ . This shows that U is not all of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , as required.

**Exercise 5.** Let p and q be coprime integers, and let  $\phi \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/p$  be the homomorphism  $\phi(n) = (nq \mod p)$ . Prove that  $\phi$  is surjective. Prove also that the only homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}/q$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/p$  is the zero homomorphism

**Solution:** Let p and q be coprime, so px + qy = 1 for some integers x, y. Define  $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/p$  by  $\phi(n) = (nq \mod p)$ . For any element  $(k \mod p) \in \mathbb{Z}/p$ , we have  $\phi(ky) = (kyq \mod p)$  but  $yq = 1 - px = 1 \pmod{p}$  so  $kyq = k \pmod{p}$ , so  $(k \mod p) = \phi(ky)$ . This proves that  $\phi$  is surjective.

Now let  $\psi: \mathbb{Z}/q \to \mathbb{Z}/p$  be a homomorphism. The equation 1 = px + qy implies that  $1 = qy \pmod{p}$  so k = qky(mod p) for all k, so  $\psi(k \mod p) = \psi(qky \mod p) = q\psi(ky \mod p)$ . However,  $\psi(ky \mod p) \in \mathbb{Z}/q$  and qb = 0 for all  $b \in \mathbb{Z}/q$  so  $\psi(k \mod p) = 0$ . This holds for all k, so  $\psi = 0$  as claimed.

**Exercise 6.** Let A be a finite Abelian group, and let B be a free Abelian group. Prove that if  $\phi: A \to B$  is a homomorphism, then  $\phi = 0$ .

**Solution:** Let A be a finite Abelian group (of order n say), and let B be a free Abelian group (so  $B = \mathbb{Z}[D]$  for some set D).

Let u be a nonzero element of B; I claim that nu is also nonzero. To see this, write u in the form  $n_1[d_1] + \ldots + n_r[d_r]$ for some elements  $d_1, \ldots, d_r \in D$  and some integers  $n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If any two of the elements  $d_i$  are actually the same, then we can collect the corresponding terms together (e.g. 2[d] + 3[d'] + 4[d] = 6[d] + 3[d']), and if any coefficient  $n_i$  is zero then we can omit the corresponding term. After performing these processes as many times as possible, we get an expression  $u = m_1[e_1] + \ldots + m_s[e_s]$  where  $m_i \neq 0$  for all i and the elements  $e_1, \ldots, e_s \in D$  are all distinct. (If we allowed the possibility u = 0 then we might end up with the case s = 0 with no terms at all on the right hand side, but we are assuming that  $u \neq 0$  so we must have  $s \geq 1$ ). We now have  $nu = nm_1[e_1] + \ldots + nm_s[e_s]$ . Each coefficient  $nm_i$  is nonzero and the  $e_i$ 's are all distinct, so no cancellation can occur. It follows that  $nu \neq 0$ , as claimed.

Now let  $\phi: A \to B$  be a homomorphism. If  $a \in A$  then Lagrange's theorem tells us that the order of a divides n = |A|, so na = 0. We thus have  $n\phi(a) = \phi(na) = \phi(0) = 0$ . By the previous paragraph, this can only happen if  $\phi(a) = 0$ . Thus  $\phi(a) = 0$  for all a, in other words  $\phi = 0$ .

**Exercise 7.** Suppose we have two sets D and E each with precisely two elements, say  $D = \{p, q\}$  and  $E = \{r, s\}$ . Define a function  $\psi \colon D \to \mathbb{Z}[E]$  by

$$\psi(p) = 3[r] + [s] \qquad \qquad \psi(q) = 5[r] + 2[s]$$

and let  $\phi: \mathbb{Z}[D] \to \mathbb{Z}[E]$  be the linear extension of  $\psi$ . What is  $\phi([p] - [q])$ ? What is  $\phi(n[p] + m[q])$ ? Now define a map  $\zeta \colon E \to \mathbb{Z}[D]$  by

$$\zeta(r) = 2[p] - [q]$$
  $\zeta(s) = -5[p] + 3[q]$ 

and let  $\xi$  be the linear extension of  $\zeta$ . What is  $\xi\phi([p])$ ? Extend this calculation to show that  $\xi = \phi^{-1}$ .

**Solution:** First, we have

$$\phi([p] - [q]) = \psi(p) - \psi(q) = 3[r] + [s] - 5[r] - 2[s] = -2[r] - [s].$$

More generally, we have

$$\phi(n[p] + m[q]) = n\psi(p) + m\psi(q) = 3n[r] + n[s] + 5m[r] + 2m[s] = (3n + 5m)[r] + (n + 2m)[s].$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \phi[p] &= \xi(3[r] + [s]) \\ &= 3\zeta(r) + \zeta(s) \\ &= 6[p] - 3[q] - 5[p] + 3[q] = [p]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{split} \xi \phi[q] &= \xi(5[r] + 2[s]) \\ &= 5 \zeta(r) + 2 \zeta(s) \\ &= 10[p] - 5[q] - 10[p] + 6[q] = [q] \end{split}$$

As  $\xi \phi$  is a homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}[D] \to \mathbb{Z}[D]$ , we deduce that

$$\xi \phi(n[p] + m[q]) = n\xi \phi[p] + m\xi \phi[q] = n[p] + m[q].$$

As every element  $u \in \mathbb{Z}[D]$  has the form n[p] + m[q] for some n, m, we deduce that  $\xi \phi(u) = u$  for all u, so  $\xi \phi$  is the identity map.

In the other direction, we have

$$\begin{split} \phi \xi[r] &= 2\phi[p] - \phi[q] = 6[r] + 2[s] - 5[r] - 2[s] = [r] \\ \phi \xi[s] &= -5\phi[p] + 3\phi[q] = -15[r] - 5[s] + 15[r] + 6[s] = [s]. \end{split}$$

We deduce in the same way that  $\phi \xi \colon \mathbb{Z}[E] \to \mathbb{Z}[E]$  is the identity map, so  $\xi = \phi^{-1}$ .

**Exercise 8.** Let  $\phi \colon \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/12$  be the homomorphism defined by

$$\phi(n,m) = (3n, (2n + 4m \mod 12)).$$

Give an isomorphism  $\psi \colon \mathbb{Z} \to \ker(\phi)$ .

**Solution:** Define  $\psi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}^2$  by  $\psi(k) = (0, 3k)$ . We have  $\phi\psi(k) = \phi(0, 3k) = (0, (12k \mod 12)) = (0, 0)$ , so  $\psi(k) \in \ker(\phi)$  for all k. We can thus regard  $\psi$  as a homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \to \ker(\phi)$ . It is clearly injective (ie if  $j \neq k$  then  $(0, 3j) \neq (0, 3k)$ ). Now suppose  $(n, m) \in \ker(\phi)$ , so  $(3n, (2n + 4m \mod 12)) = (0, 0) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/12$ . This means that  $3n = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and 2n + 4m is divisible by 12. The first equation implies that n = 0, so 4m is divisible by 12, say 4m = 12k for some k. This gives m = 3k so  $(n, m) = (0, 3k) = \psi(k)$ , proving that our homorphism  $\psi: \mathbb{Z} \to \ker(\phi)$  is surjective. It is thus an isomorphism, as claimed.

**Exercise 9.** Consider the following sequences of abelian groups and homomorphisms. The degrees are indicated by the top row, so for example  $B_0 = \mathbb{Z}/6$  and  $B_1 = B_2 = \mathbb{Z}/4$ .

For each sequence, decide whether it is a chain complex. If it is a chain complex, find the homology, and decide whether the sequence is exact.

(Here notation like  $\mathbb{Z}/n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z}/m$  refers to the map  $f: \mathbb{Z}/n \to \mathbb{Z}/m$  given by  $f(i \pmod{n}) = pi \pmod{m}$ . You should think about the conditions on n, m and p that are needed to make this well-defined.)

**Solution:** First, the map  $\mathbb{Z}/n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z}/m$  is well-defined if and only if pn is divisible by m.

- (a) Here  $d_1$  is the map  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{6} \mathbb{Z}$ , and all other differentials are zero. As there is only one nonzero differential, it is clear that the composite of any two differentials is zero, so we have a chain complex. For  $i \neq 0, 1$  we have  $A_i = 0$ so  $H_i A = 0$ . The map  $d_1$  is injective, so  $Z_1 A = 0$  so  $H_1 A = 0$ . However, we have  $Z_0 = \ker(0: \mathbb{Z} \to 0) = \mathbb{Z}$ and  $B_0 = \operatorname{img}(6: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}) = 6\mathbb{Z}$  so  $H_0 A = \mathbb{Z}/6$ . As  $H_* A \neq 0$ , this is not an exact sequence.
- (b) The only composite that could possibly be nonzero is  $d_1d_2: \mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/6$ . This is multiplication by  $3 \times 2 = 6$ , and so is zero in  $\mathbb{Z}/6$ . Thus, we have a chain complex. The cycles and boundaries are as follows:

$$Z_0 B = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \qquad Z_1 B = \{0, 2\} \qquad Z_2 B = \{0, 2\} B_0 B = \{0, 3\} \qquad B_1 B = \{0, 2\} \qquad B_2 B = \{0\}.$$

Taking the quotients gives  $H_0B = \mathbb{Z}/3$  and  $H_1B = 0$  and  $H_2B = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . In particular, the homology is nontrivial so the sequence is not exact.

- (c) Here the composite of any two adjacent maps is  $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{4} \mathbb{Z}$ , which is nonzero. Thus, the sequence is not a chain complex, and the homology is not defined.
- (d) Here the image of every map is  $\{0, 2\}$ , and this is the same as the kernel of the next map. Thus, we have a chain complex whose homology is zero, or in other words, an exact sequence.
- (e) Here, whenever we have two adjacent maps, one of them is zero, so the composite is zero. This means that we have a chain complex. The cycles and boundaries are as follows:

$$Z_0 E = \mathbb{Z} \qquad Z_1 E = 0 \qquad Z_2 E = \mathbb{Z} \qquad Z_3 E = 0 \qquad Z_4 E = \mathbb{Z} \cdots$$
$$B_0 E = 2\mathbb{Z} \qquad B_1 E = 0 \qquad B_2 E = 2\mathbb{Z} \qquad B_3 E = 0 \qquad B_4 E = 2\mathbb{Z} \cdots$$

This gives  $H_{2k}E = \mathbb{Z}/2$  (for  $k \ge 0$ ) and  $H_{2k+1}E = 0$  (for all k). It is also clear that  $H_{2k}E = 0$  when k < 0. (f) This is the same as (e) except that we have an additional homology group of  $H_{-1}F = \mathbb{Z}$ .