

Ambidexterity 2

Neil Strickland

May 26, 2023

First hints of recursion

- ▶ Consider \mathcal{C} with all finite (co)limits and functors $c: G \rightarrow 1$ and $q: G \rightarrow H$.
- ▶ Say G is contractible iff ($G \neq \emptyset$ and $|G(x, y)| = 1$ for all x and y) iff $c: G \rightarrow 1$ is an equivalence.
- ▶ If so, the map $\rho = (c_*(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{p_a} C_a \xrightarrow{i_a} c_!(\mathcal{C}))$ is independent of $a \in \text{obj}(G)$ and is an isomorphism; so $c_! \simeq c_*$. (This is level -2 .)
- ▶ All comma categories $(q \downarrow b)$ are contractible iff q is an equivalence; if so, there is a canonical isomorphism $q_! \simeq q_*$.
- ▶ If G is empty then there is a unique object in \mathcal{C}_G which is sent by $c_!$ and c_* to the initial and terminal objects of \mathcal{C} ; so there is a unique morphism $\nu: c_! \rightarrow c_*$. This is an isomorphism iff \mathcal{C} is pointed. (This is level -1 .)
- ▶ All comma categories $(q \downarrow b)$ are (empty or contractible) iff q is full and faithful; if so, and \mathcal{C} is pointed, there is a canonical isomorphism $q_! \simeq q_*$.
- ▶ Suppose that G is a finite set and \mathcal{C} is pointed. Given $C \in \mathcal{C}_G = \prod_{x \in G} \mathcal{C}$ define $\nu_{xy}: C_x \rightarrow C_y$ to be 1 if $x = y$ and 0 if $x \neq y$. These give $\nu: c_!(\mathcal{C}) = \bigoplus_x C_x \rightarrow \prod_x C_x = c_*(\mathcal{C})$. For all such maps to be iso, we need \mathcal{C} to be semiadditive. (This is level 0.)
- ▶ All comma categories are (equivalent to) finite sets iff q is faithful. If so, and \mathcal{C} is semiadditive, then $q_! \simeq q_*$.

- ▶ Suppose that \mathcal{C} is semiadditive so we have ambidexterity at level 0.
- ▶ Given a finite family of morphisms $f_i: C \rightarrow C'$ in \mathcal{C} , we want to consider $\sum_i f_i: C \rightarrow C'$.
- ▶ This is

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & \prod_i C & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nu} & \bigoplus_i C \\
 & & \Pi_i f_i \downarrow & & \downarrow \bigoplus_i f_i \\
 & & \prod_i C' & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nu} & \bigoplus_i C' & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & C'
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ or, regarding $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ as a morphism $f: c^*(C) \rightarrow c^*(C')$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C & \xrightarrow{\eta} & c_*(c^*(C)) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nu} & c_!(c^*(C)) \\
 & & c_*(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow c_!(f) \\
 & & c_*(c^*(C')) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\nu} & c_!(c^*(C')) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & C'
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Now let G be any finite groupoid, and consider $C \in \mathcal{C}_G$. We want to define $\nu: c_!(C) \rightarrow c_*(C)$ to give potential ambidexterity at level 1.
- ▶ This will use the maps $\nu_{xy} = \sum_{u \in G(x,y)} u_*: C_x \rightarrow C_y$ for $x, y \in \text{obj}(G)$.
- ▶ To form this sum, we are using ambidexterity at level 0.

- ▶ ∞ -categories are a generalisation of categories/topological categories/model categories.
- ▶ We will not give details but only heuristic ideas.
- ▶ Given a space X and points $a, b \in X$, put $PX(a, b) = \{u: [0, 1] \rightarrow X \mid u(0) = a, u(1) = b\}$.
- ▶ Given $v \in PX(b, c)$ and $u \in PX(a, b)$ define $v \circ u \in PX(a, c)$ by $(v \circ u)(t) = u(2t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1/2$ and $v(2t - 1)$ for $1/2 \leq t \leq 1$.
- ▶ This is not a category because composition is not associative. If we put $\Pi X(a, b) = \pi_0(PX(a, b)) = \{ \text{pinned homotopy classes of paths from } a \text{ to } b \}$ then we get a category: the path groupoid of X .
- ▶ A map u from the n -simplex Δ_n to X gives points $a_i = u(e_i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$, and paths $u_{ij} \in PX(a_i, a_j)$ for $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, plus evidence that u_{ik} is pinned-homotopic to $u_{jk} \circ u_{ij}$.
- ▶ Let $(PX)_n$ be the (discrete) set of all continuous maps $\Delta_n \rightarrow X$. These sets, equipped with appropriate structure maps, form an ∞ -category. Using this: topological spaces are equivalent to ∞ -groupoids.

- ▶ Let \mathcal{C} be an ordinary category.
 - ▶ An n -simplex of \mathcal{C} is a system of objects c_i for $0 \leq i \leq n$, together with morphisms $u_{ij}: c_i \rightarrow c_j$ for $i \leq j$, such that $u_{ik} = u_{jk} \circ u_{ij}$ for all $i \leq j \leq k$.
 - ▶ We write $(NC)_n$ for the set of all n -simplices of \mathcal{C} .
 - ▶ These sets, equipped with appropriate structure maps, form an ∞ -category. We do not distinguish this from \mathcal{C} .
- ▶ Let \mathcal{C} be a topological category, so each $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$ is a space.
 - ▶ An n -simplex of \mathcal{C} is a system of objects c_i for $0 \leq i \leq n$, together with continuous maps $h_{ij}: [0, 1]^{j-i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(c_i, c_j)$ for $i < j$, subject to some axioms.
 - ▶ From these we extract maps $u_{ij} = h(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathcal{C}(c_i, c_j)$, together with homotopies $u_{ik} \simeq u_{jk} \circ u_{ij}$, and higher homotopies proving the compatibilities of the lower homotopies.
 - ▶ We write $(NC)_n$ for the set of all n -simplices of \mathcal{C} .
 - ▶ These sets, equipped with appropriate structure maps, form an ∞ -category. We do not distinguish this from \mathcal{C} .
- ▶ The above two definitions are compatible: if $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$ is always discrete, then any continuous map $[0, 1]^{j-i-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(c_i, c_j)$ is necessarily constant.
- ▶ In particular: the category of spaces and the category of orthogonal spectra are topological categories, and so give ∞ -categories.
- ▶ Another construction in the same spirit gives an ∞ -category for any Quillen model category.

Let \mathcal{C} be an ∞ -category.

- ▶ There is a set \mathcal{C}_0 of objects, and a set \mathcal{C}_1 of morphisms, and a set $\mathcal{C}(a, b)_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}_1$ of morphisms from a to b , for any pair of objects a and b .
- ▶ Given $v \in \mathcal{C}(b, c)_0$ and $u \in \mathcal{C}(a, b)_0$, there need not be a unique composite $v \circ u$. However, there is a family of potential composites, indexed by a subset of \mathcal{C}_2 .
- ▶ In fact, there is a contractible space of potential composites. It is central to the philosophy of ∞ -categories that a contractible space of choices is as good as a unique choice.
- ▶ The set $\mathcal{C}(a, b)_0$ should be thought of as the set of vertices of a simplicial set or space $\mathcal{C}(a, b)$. More generally, most sets in the theory can be considered as the set of vertices of a naturally defined space.
- ▶ There is a homotopy category $h\mathcal{C}$ where $\text{obj}(h\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}_0$ and $\text{mor}(h\mathcal{C})$ is an appropriate quotient of \mathcal{C}_1 . This construction generalises that homotopy category of a topological category or model category.
- ▶ A functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ of ∞ -categories consists of compatible maps $F_n: \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.
- ▶ For a space X and a topological category \mathcal{C} considered as ∞ -categories, a functor $X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an X -parametrised family of \mathcal{C} -objects (or *local system*).

(Co)limits in ∞ -categories

- ▶ In an ordinary category \mathcal{C} , an object t is *terminal* if $|\mathcal{C}(a, t)| = 1$ for all $a \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$.
- ▶ In an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , an object t is *terminal* if $\mathcal{C}(a, t)$ is contractible for all $a \in \mathcal{C}_0$.
- ▶ Dually, an object i is *initial* if $\mathcal{C}(i, b)$ is always contractible.
- ▶ In an ordinary category \mathcal{C} , given a diagram $u: I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, a *limit* for u is an initial object in the category $\text{Cones}(u)$ of cones over u .
- ▶ Now suppose we have ∞ -categories I and \mathcal{C} , together with a functor $u: I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. One can define an ∞ -category $\text{Cones}(u)$ by analogy with the ordinary case, and then define a limit to be a terminal object in $\text{Cones}(u)$.
- ▶ We have $h\mathcal{C}(a, \lim_{\leftarrow i} b_i) = h([I, \mathcal{C}](c^*(a), b))$ but $h([I, \mathcal{C}]) \rightarrow [hI, h\mathcal{C}]$ need not be an equivalence.
- ▶ There is a dual treatment for colimits.
- ▶ As in ordinary category theory, in many contexts (co)limits exist for formal reasons.
- ▶ There is a definition of adjunctions in ∞ -category theory. An adjunction $\mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{D}$ induces an adjunction $h\mathcal{C} \rightleftarrows h\mathcal{D}$.

- ▶ Let \mathcal{T} be the category of (compactly generated, weak Hausdorff) spaces.
- ▶ \mathcal{T}_* is the category of spaces $X \in \mathcal{T}$ equipped with a basepoint $0_X \in X$ (sometimes called ∞).
- ▶ $X \vee Y = (X \amalg Y)/(0_X \sim 0_Y)$ and
 $X \wedge Y = (X \times Y)/((x, 0_Y) \sim (0_X, 0_Y) \sim (0_X, y))$.
- ▶ $F(X, Y) = \mathcal{T}_*(X, Y)$ with suitable topology (so
 $F(X, F(Y, Z)) \simeq F(X \wedge Y, Z)$ and $F(X \vee Y, Z) = F(X, Z) \times F(Y, Z)$).
- ▶ Sometimes we need to assume that X has the homotopy type of a CW complex and/or distinguish between weak and strong homotopy equivalences; we will not be careful about this.
- ▶ We regard \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}_* as ∞ -categories by the coherent nerve construction.
- ▶ Put $\mathcal{L} = \{ \text{finite dimensional real inner product spaces} \}$
- ▶ For $V \in \mathcal{L}$ put $S^V = V \cup \{\infty\} \in \mathcal{T}_*$. These satisfy $S^V \wedge S^W \simeq S^{V \oplus W}$.

$\mathcal{L} = \{ \text{finite dimensional real inner product spaces} \}; \mathcal{T}_* = \{ \text{based spaces} \};$
 $S^V = V \cup \{\infty\} \in \mathcal{T}_*; S^V \wedge S^W = S^{V \oplus W}.$

- ▶ An (orthogonal) spectrum is a continuous functor $X: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_*$ equipped with maps $S^V \wedge X(W) \rightarrow X(V \oplus W)$ subject to various axioms.
- ▶ We write \mathcal{S} for the category of spectra, or the corresponding ∞ -category.
- ▶ For $n \geq 0$ we put $\pi_n(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow V} [S^n \wedge S^V, X(V)]$ and $\pi_{-n}(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow V} [S^V, X(\mathbb{R}^n \oplus V)].$
- ▶ A map $f \in \mathcal{S}(X, Y)$ is a weak equivalence iff $\pi_*(f)$ is an isomorphism.
- ▶ A based space T gives a spectrum $\Sigma^\infty T$ with $(\Sigma^\infty T)(V) = S^V \wedge T$. We often write T for $\Sigma^\infty T$.
- ▶ Let $H(V)$ be the free abelian group generated by V with a suitable topology. This gives an Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum H with $\pi_0(H) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_n(H) = 0$ for $n \neq 0$.
- ▶ Put $MO(V) = \{(u, U) \mid u \in U \leq V \oplus V, \dim(U) = \dim(V)\} \cup \{\infty\}$. This gives a spectrum MO with $\pi_n(MO) = \{ \text{cobordism classes of closed } n\text{-manifolds} \}.$

- ▶ A *pairing* from spectra X and Y to Z consists of maps $X(U) \wedge Y(V) \rightarrow Z(U \oplus V)$ subject to various axioms.
- ▶ There is a spectrum $X \wedge Y$ such that such pairings biject with morphisms $X \wedge Y \rightarrow Z$.
- ▶ $\Sigma^\infty T \wedge \Sigma^\infty U = \Sigma^\infty(T \wedge U)$
- ▶ It is easy to define $H(U) \wedge H(V) \rightarrow H(U \oplus V)$ and $MO(U) \wedge MO(V) \rightarrow MO(U \oplus V)$. These give $H \wedge H \rightarrow H$ and $MO \wedge MO \rightarrow MO$, making H and MO into commutative ring spectra.
- ▶ Put $(S^{-A})(V) = \{(f, v) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(A, V), v \in V \ominus f(A)\} \cup \{\infty\}$. This gives a spectrum S^{-A} with $\mathcal{S}(S^{-A}, X) = X(A)$ and $S^{-A} \wedge S^{-B} = S^{-(A \oplus B)}$.
- ▶ For $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ we define $F(X, Y)(V) = \mathcal{S}(S^{-V} \wedge X, Y)$. This gives a spectrum $F(X, Y)$ with $\mathcal{S}(W, F(X, Y)) = \mathcal{S}(W \wedge X, Y)$.
- ▶ There is a natural weak equivalence $S^A \wedge S^{-A} \rightarrow S^0$.
- ▶ We also put $(X \vee Y)(V) = X(V) \vee Y(V)$ and $(X \times Y)(V) = X(V) \times Y(V)$.
- ▶ There is a natural inclusion $X \vee Y \rightarrow X \times Y$, which is a weak equivalence.

Negative spheres and stability

- ▶ There are two versions of suspension: $(\Sigma X)(V) = S^1 \wedge X(V)$ and $(\Sigma' X)(V) = X(\mathbb{R} \oplus V)$
- ▶ There is a natural map $\Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma' X$, which is a weak equivalence.
- ▶ We also put $(\Omega X)(V) = F(S^1, X(V))$ so Ω is right adjoint to Σ giving $\eta: X \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X$ and $\epsilon: \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow X$.
- ▶ By comparing Σ and Σ' we check that η and ϵ are weak equivalences.
- ▶ Thus $\Sigma: h\mathcal{S} \rightarrow h\mathcal{S}$ is an equivalence with inverse Ω .
- ▶ The map $\delta: S^2 \rightarrow S^2 \vee S^2$ gives $\delta^*: \Omega^2 Y \times \Omega^2 Y = F(S^2 \vee S^2, Y) \rightarrow \Omega^2 Y$, making $\Omega^2 Y$ an abelian group object up to homotopy (naturally).
- ▶ Taking $Y = \Sigma^2 X$, we get an abelian group structure on any $X \in h\mathcal{S}$.
- ▶ This gives an abelian group structure on $h\mathcal{S}(W, X)$, making $h\mathcal{S}$ an additive category.
- ▶ Thus, we have ambidexterity at level 0: given a finite set I and $X \in \mathcal{S}(I)$ we have an equivalence $c_!(X) = \bigvee_i X_i \rightarrow \prod_i X_i = c_*(X)$.
- ▶ We now write $\bigoplus_i X_i$ for $\bigvee_i X_i$ or $\prod_i X_i$.

Collapse and transfer

- ▶ Consider a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of finite sets, giving $\Sigma^\infty f_+ : \Sigma^\infty X_+ = \bigoplus_x S^0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_y S^0 = \Sigma^\infty Y_+$.
- ▶ Because hS is additive, we can also define $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$, essentially by $f^t(y) = \sum_{f(x)=y} x$.
- ▶ For a more precise model:
 - ▶ Put $V = \mathbb{R}[X]$, giving $i: X \rightarrow V \subset S^V$.
 - ▶ Put $s(v) = v/\sqrt{2(1+\|v\|^2)}$, giving a homeomorphism from V to an open ball of radius $1/\sqrt{2}$.
 - ▶ Define $\tilde{f}: V \times X \rightarrow V \times Y$ by $\tilde{f}(v, x) = (s(v) + i(x), f(x))$, so \tilde{f} is an open embedding covering f .
 - ▶ Define $c: S^V \wedge Y_+ = (V \times Y) \cup \{\infty\} \rightarrow (V \times X) \cup \{\infty\} = S^V \wedge X_+$ by $c(\tilde{f}(v, x)) = (v, x)$ and $c(v, y) = \infty$ for $(v, y) \notin \text{image}(\tilde{f})$.
 - ▶ We have made this completely natural so it will be compatible with any group actions.
 - ▶ Apply $S^{-V} \wedge \Sigma^\infty(-)$ to get $f^t: \Sigma^\infty Y_+ \rightarrow \Sigma^\infty X_+$.
- ▶ For $f: 2 \rightarrow 1$, the map $c: S^2 \rightarrow S^2 \wedge 2_+ = S^2 \vee S^2$ is just the pinch map.