

# Introduction to chromatic homotopy

Neil Strickland

October 23, 2023

# The Spanier-Whitehead category

- ▶ A *finite spectrum* is an expression  $\Sigma^n X$ , where  $X$  is a based finite simplicial complex, and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (This can be interpreted as a space if  $n \geq 0$ , but not necessarily if  $n < 0$ .) We write  $\mathcal{F}$  for the class of finite spectra.
- ▶ We define  $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma^n X, \Sigma^m Y) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} [\Sigma^{n+k} X, \Sigma^{m+k} Y]$ . This has a natural structure as a (finitely generated) Abelian group. There is a composition rule making  $\mathcal{F}$  an additive category.
- ▶ This is an approximation to the homotopy category of finite complexes, and has a rich and interesting structure.
- ▶ Homology gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_*(H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}), H_*(Y; \mathbb{Q}))$ .
- ▶ The category  $\mathcal{F}$  has formal properties similar to those of  $\text{Vect}_*$ : there are tensor products, duals and adjoints.
- ▶ It is very hard work to calculate  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ , even in simple cases like  $\mathcal{F}(S^d, S^0)$ . This is known for  $d \leq 100$  or so, but not for general  $d$ .
- ▶ There is also a category  $\mathcal{S}$  of all spectra. Any spectrum is a filtered colimit of finite spectra.

# The Spanier-Whitehead category

- ▶ A *finite spectrum* is an expression  $\Sigma^n X$ , where  $X$  is a based finite simplicial complex, and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (This can be interpreted as a space if  $n \geq 0$ , but not necessarily if  $n < 0$ .) We write  $\mathcal{F}$  for the class of finite spectra.
- ▶ We define  $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma^n X, \Sigma^m Y) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} [\Sigma^{n+k} X, \Sigma^{m+k} Y]$ . This has a natural structure as a (finitely generated) Abelian group. There is a composition rule making  $\mathcal{F}$  an additive category.
- ▶ This is an approximation to the homotopy category of finite complexes, and has a rich and interesting structure.
- ▶ Homology gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_*(H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}), H_*(Y; \mathbb{Q}))$ .
- ▶ The category  $\mathcal{F}$  has formal properties similar to those of  $\text{Vect}_*$ : there are tensor products, duals and adjoints.
- ▶ It is very hard work to calculate  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ , even in simple cases like  $\mathcal{F}(S^d, S^0)$ . This is known for  $d \leq 100$  or so, but not for general  $d$ .
- ▶ There is also a category  $\mathcal{S}$  of all spectra. Any spectrum is a filtered colimit of finite spectra.

# The Spanier-Whitehead category

- ▶ A *finite spectrum* is an expression  $\Sigma^n X$ , where  $X$  is a based finite simplicial complex, and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (This can be interpreted as a space if  $n \geq 0$ , but not necessarily if  $n < 0$ .) We write  $\mathcal{F}$  for the class of finite spectra.
- ▶ We define  $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma^n X, \Sigma^m Y) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} [\Sigma^{n+k} X, \Sigma^{m+k} Y]$ . This has a natural structure as a (finitely generated) Abelian group. There is a composition rule making  $\mathcal{F}$  an additive category.
- ▶ This is an approximation to the homotopy category of finite complexes, and has a rich and interesting structure.
- ▶ Homology gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_*(H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}), H_*(Y; \mathbb{Q}))$ .
- ▶ The category  $\mathcal{F}$  has formal properties similar to those of  $\text{Vect}_*$ : there are tensor products, duals and adjoints.
- ▶ It is very hard work to calculate  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ , even in simple cases like  $\mathcal{F}(S^d, S^0)$ . This is known for  $d \leq 100$  or so, but not for general  $d$ .
- ▶ There is also a category  $\mathcal{S}$  of all spectra. Any spectrum is a filtered colimit of finite spectra.

# The Spanier-Whitehead category

- ▶ A *finite spectrum* is an expression  $\Sigma^n X$ , where  $X$  is a based finite simplicial complex, and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (This can be interpreted as a space if  $n \geq 0$ , but not necessarily if  $n < 0$ .) We write  $\mathcal{F}$  for the class of finite spectra.
- ▶ We define  $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma^n X, \Sigma^m Y) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} [\Sigma^{n+k} X, \Sigma^{m+k} Y]$ . This has a natural structure as a (finitely generated) Abelian group. There is a composition rule making  $\mathcal{F}$  an additive category.
- ▶ This is an approximation to the homotopy category of finite complexes, and has a rich and interesting structure.
- ▶ Homology gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_*(H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}), H_*(Y; \mathbb{Q}))$ .
- ▶ The category  $\mathcal{F}$  has formal properties similar to those of  $\text{Vect}_*$ : there are tensor products, duals and adjoints.
- ▶ It is very hard work to calculate  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ , even in simple cases like  $\mathcal{F}(S^d, S^0)$ . This is known for  $d \leq 100$  or so, but not for general  $d$ .
- ▶ There is also a category  $\mathcal{S}$  of all spectra. Any spectrum is a filtered colimit of finite spectra.

# The Spanier-Whitehead category

- ▶ A *finite spectrum* is an expression  $\Sigma^n X$ , where  $X$  is a based finite simplicial complex, and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (This can be interpreted as a space if  $n \geq 0$ , but not necessarily if  $n < 0$ .) We write  $\mathcal{F}$  for the class of finite spectra.
- ▶ We define  $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma^n X, \Sigma^m Y) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} [\Sigma^{n+k} X, \Sigma^{m+k} Y]$ . This has a natural structure as a (finitely generated) Abelian group. There is a composition rule making  $\mathcal{F}$  an additive category.
- ▶ This is an approximation to the homotopy category of finite complexes, and has a rich and interesting structure.
- ▶ Homology gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_*(H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}), H_*(Y; \mathbb{Q}))$ .
- ▶ The category  $\mathcal{F}$  has formal properties similar to those of  $\text{Vect}_*$ : there are tensor products, duals and adjoints.
- ▶ It is very hard work to calculate  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ , even in simple cases like  $\mathcal{F}(S^d, S^0)$ . This is known for  $d \leq 100$  or so, but not for general  $d$ .
- ▶ There is also a category  $\mathcal{S}$  of all spectra. Any spectrum is a filtered colimit of finite spectra.

# The Spanier-Whitehead category

- ▶ A *finite spectrum* is an expression  $\Sigma^n X$ , where  $X$  is a based finite simplicial complex, and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (This can be interpreted as a space if  $n \geq 0$ , but not necessarily if  $n < 0$ .) We write  $\mathcal{F}$  for the class of finite spectra.
- ▶ We define  $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma^n X, \Sigma^m Y) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} [\Sigma^{n+k} X, \Sigma^{m+k} Y]$ . This has a natural structure as a (finitely generated) Abelian group. There is a composition rule making  $\mathcal{F}$  an additive category.
- ▶ This is an approximation to the homotopy category of finite complexes, and has a rich and interesting structure.
- ▶ Homology gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_*(H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}), H_*(Y; \mathbb{Q}))$ .
- ▶ The category  $\mathcal{F}$  has formal properties similar to those of  $\text{Vect}_*$ : there are tensor products, duals and adjoints.
- ▶ It is very hard work to calculate  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ , even in simple cases like  $\mathcal{F}(S^d, S^0)$ . This is known for  $d \leq 100$  or so, but not for general  $d$ .
- ▶ There is also a category  $\mathcal{S}$  of all spectra. Any spectrum is a filtered colimit of finite spectra.

## The Spanier-Whitehead category

- ▶ A *finite spectrum* is an expression  $\Sigma^n X$ , where  $X$  is a based finite simplicial complex, and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (This can be interpreted as a space if  $n \geq 0$ , but not necessarily if  $n < 0$ .) We write  $\mathcal{F}$  for the class of finite spectra.
- ▶ We define  $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma^n X, \Sigma^m Y) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} [\Sigma^{n+k} X, \Sigma^{m+k} Y]$ . This has a natural structure as a (finitely generated) Abelian group. There is a composition rule making  $\mathcal{F}$  an additive category.
- ▶ This is an approximation to the homotopy category of finite complexes, and has a rich and interesting structure.
- ▶ Homology gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_*(H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}), H_*(Y; \mathbb{Q}))$ .
- ▶ The category  $\mathcal{F}$  has formal properties similar to those of  $\text{Vect}_*$ : there are tensor products, duals and adjoints.
- ▶ It is very hard work to calculate  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ , even in simple cases like  $\mathcal{F}(S^d, S^0)$ . This is known for  $d \leq 100$  or so, but not for general  $d$ .
- ▶ There is also a category  $\mathcal{S}$  of all spectra. Any spectrum is a filtered colimit of finite spectra.



# The Spanier-Whitehead category

- ▶ A *finite spectrum* is an expression  $\Sigma^n X$ , where  $X$  is a based finite simplicial complex, and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (This can be interpreted as a space if  $n \geq 0$ , but not necessarily if  $n < 0$ .) We write  $\mathcal{F}$  for the class of finite spectra.
- ▶ We define  $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma^n X, \Sigma^m Y) = \lim_{\rightarrow k} [\Sigma^{n+k} X, \Sigma^{m+k} Y]$ . This has a natural structure as a (finitely generated) Abelian group. There is a composition rule making  $\mathcal{F}$  an additive category.
- ▶ This is an approximation to the homotopy category of finite complexes, and has a rich and interesting structure.
- ▶ Homology gives an isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_*(H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}), H_*(Y; \mathbb{Q}))$ .
- ▶ The category  $\mathcal{F}$  has formal properties similar to those of  $\text{Vect}_*$ : there are tensor products, duals and adjoints.
- ▶ It is very hard work to calculate  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ , even in simple cases like  $\mathcal{F}(S^d, S^0)$ . This is known for  $d \leq 100$  or so, but not for general  $d$ .
- ▶ There is also a category  $\mathcal{S}$  of all spectra. Any spectrum is a filtered colimit of finite spectra.

# The Ravenel Conjectures

- ▶ In 1984, Ravenel made a set of conjectures about the category of spectra.
- ▶ With the exception of the Telescope Conjecture (TC), all the conjectures were proved by Devinatz, Hopkins and Smith.  
This led to a huge body of results in chromatic homotopy theory.
- ▶ It soon became the consensus that TC was probably false, and there was a programme by Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick to disprove it, but they could not complete the argument.
- ▶ A disproof was announced by Burklund, Hahn, Levy and Schlank in 2023.
- ▶ There are invariants  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  of spectra  $X$  (for  $p$  prime and  $n \geq 0$ ) called *Morava K-theory*. These play a central rôle in all the conjectures.
- ▶ Idea: focus on aspects of the category of spectra that are detected by  $K(p, n)$  for a fixed  $(p, n)$ .
- ▶ There are two subtly different versions of this: TC says they are the same.
- ▶ This is easy for  $n = 0$ , true for  $n = 1$  and false for  $n > 1$ .
- ▶ Alternative formulation: TC says that if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  can be written as a filtered colimit of *finite* spectra  $X_\alpha$  with  $K(p, n)_*(X_\alpha) = 0$ .

# The Ravenel Conjectures

- ▶ In 1984, Ravenel made a set of conjectures about the category of spectra.
- ▶ With the exception of the Telescope Conjecture (TC), all the conjectures were proved by Devinatz, Hopkins and Smith.  
This led to a huge body of results in chromatic homotopy theory.
- ▶ It soon became the consensus that TC was probably false, and there was a programme by Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick to disprove it, but they could not complete the argument.
- ▶ A disproof was announced by Burklund, Hahn, Levy and Schlank in 2023.
- ▶ There are invariants  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  of spectra  $X$  (for  $p$  prime and  $n \geq 0$ ) called *Morava K-theory*. These play a central rôle in all the conjectures.
- ▶ Idea: focus on aspects of the category of spectra that are detected by  $K(p, n)$  for a fixed  $(p, n)$ .
- ▶ There are two subtly different versions of this: TC says they are the same.
- ▶ This is easy for  $n = 0$ , true for  $n = 1$  and false for  $n > 1$ .
- ▶ Alternative formulation: TC says that if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  can be written as a filtered colimit of *finite* spectra  $X_\alpha$  with  $K(p, n)_*(X_\alpha) = 0$ .

# The Ravenel Conjectures

- ▶ In 1984, Ravenel made a set of conjectures about the category of spectra.
- ▶ With the exception of the Telescope Conjecture (TC), all the conjectures were proved by Devinatz, Hopkins and Smith.  
This led to a huge body of results in chromatic homotopy theory.
- ▶ It soon became the consensus that TC was probably false, and there was a programme by Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick to disprove it, but they could not complete the argument.
- ▶ A disproof was announced by Burklund, Hahn, Levy and Schlank in 2023.
- ▶ There are invariants  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  of spectra  $X$  (for  $p$  prime and  $n \geq 0$ ) called *Morava K-theory*. These play a central rôle in all the conjectures.
- ▶ Idea: focus on aspects of the category of spectra that are detected by  $K(p, n)$  for a fixed  $(p, n)$ .
- ▶ There are two subtly different versions of this: TC says they are the same.
- ▶ This is easy for  $n = 0$ , true for  $n = 1$  and false for  $n > 1$ .
- ▶ Alternative formulation: TC says that if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  can be written as a filtered colimit of *finite* spectra  $X_\alpha$  with  $K(p, n)_*(X_\alpha) = 0$ .

# The Ravenel Conjectures

- ▶ In 1984, Ravenel made a set of conjectures about the category of spectra.
- ▶ With the exception of the Telescope Conjecture (TC), all the conjectures were proved by Devinatz, Hopkins and Smith.  
This led to a huge body of results in chromatic homotopy theory.
- ▶ It soon became the consensus that TC was probably false, and there was a programme by Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick to disprove it, but they could not complete the argument.
- ▶ A disproof was announced by Burklund, Hahn, Levy and Schlank in 2023.
- ▶ There are invariants  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  of spectra  $X$  (for  $p$  prime and  $n \geq 0$ ) called *Morava K-theory*. These play a central rôle in all the conjectures.
- ▶ Idea: focus on aspects of the category of spectra that are detected by  $K(p, n)$  for a fixed  $(p, n)$ .
- ▶ There are two subtly different versions of this: TC says they are the same.
- ▶ This is easy for  $n = 0$ , true for  $n = 1$  and false for  $n > 1$ .
- ▶ Alternative formulation: TC says that if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  can be written as a filtered colimit of *finite* spectra  $X_\alpha$  with  $K(p, n)_*(X_\alpha) = 0$ .

# The Ravenel Conjectures

- ▶ In 1984, Ravenel made a set of conjectures about the category of spectra.
- ▶ With the exception of the Telescope Conjecture (TC), all the conjectures were proved by Devinatz, Hopkins and Smith.  
This led to a huge body of results in chromatic homotopy theory.
- ▶ It soon became the consensus that TC was probably false, and there was a programme by Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick to disprove it, but they could not complete the argument.
- ▶ A disproof was announced by Burklund, Hahn, Levy and Schlank in 2023.
- ▶ There are invariants  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  of spectra  $X$  (for  $p$  prime and  $n \geq 0$ ) called *Morava K-theory*. These play a central rôle in all the conjectures.
- ▶ Idea: focus on aspects of the category of spectra that are detected by  $K(p, n)$  for a fixed  $(p, n)$ .
- ▶ There are two subtly different versions of this: TC says they are the same.
- ▶ This is easy for  $n = 0$ , true for  $n = 1$  and false for  $n > 1$ .
- ▶ Alternative formulation: TC says that if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  can be written as a filtered colimit of *finite* spectra  $X_\alpha$  with  $K(p, n)_*(X_\alpha) = 0$ .

# The Ravenel Conjectures

- ▶ In 1984, Ravenel made a set of conjectures about the category of spectra.
- ▶ With the exception of the Telescope Conjecture (TC), all the conjectures were proved by Devinatz, Hopkins and Smith.  
This led to a huge body of results in chromatic homotopy theory.
- ▶ It soon became the consensus that TC was probably false, and there was a programme by Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick to disprove it, but they could not complete the argument.
- ▶ A disproof was announced by Burklund, Hahn, Levy and Schlank in 2023.
- ▶ There are invariants  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  of spectra  $X$  (for  $p$  prime and  $n \geq 0$ ) called *Morava K-theory*. These play a central rôle in all the conjectures.
- ▶ Idea: focus on aspects of the category of spectra that are detected by  $K(p, n)$  for a fixed  $(p, n)$ .
- ▶ There are two subtly different versions of this: TC says they are the same.
- ▶ This is easy for  $n = 0$ , true for  $n = 1$  and false for  $n > 1$ .
- ▶ Alternative formulation: TC says that if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  can be written as a filtered colimit of *finite* spectra  $X_\alpha$  with  $K(p, n)_*(X_\alpha) = 0$ .

# The Ravenel Conjectures

- ▶ In 1984, Ravenel made a set of conjectures about the category of spectra.
- ▶ With the exception of the Telescope Conjecture (TC), all the conjectures were proved by Devinatz, Hopkins and Smith.  
This led to a huge body of results in chromatic homotopy theory.
- ▶ It soon became the consensus that TC was probably false, and there was a programme by Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick to disprove it, but they could not complete the argument.
- ▶ A disproof was announced by Burklund, Hahn, Levy and Schlank in 2023.
- ▶ There are invariants  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  of spectra  $X$  (for  $p$  prime and  $n \geq 0$ ) called *Morava K-theory*. These play a central rôle in all the conjectures.
- ▶ Idea: focus on aspects of the category of spectra that are detected by  $K(p, n)$  for a fixed  $(p, n)$ .
- ▶ There are two subtly different versions of this: TC says they are the same.
- ▶ This is easy for  $n = 0$ , true for  $n = 1$  and false for  $n > 1$ .
- ▶ Alternative formulation: TC says that if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  can be written as a filtered colimit of *finite* spectra  $X_\alpha$  with  $K(p, n)_*(X_\alpha) = 0$ .



# The Ravenel Conjectures

- ▶ In 1984, Ravenel made a set of conjectures about the category of spectra.
- ▶ With the exception of the Telescope Conjecture (TC), all the conjectures were proved by Devinatz, Hopkins and Smith.  
This led to a huge body of results in chromatic homotopy theory.
- ▶ It soon became the consensus that TC was probably false, and there was a programme by Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick to disprove it, but they could not complete the argument.
- ▶ A disproof was announced by Burklund, Hahn, Levy and Schlank in 2023.
- ▶ There are invariants  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  of spectra  $X$  (for  $p$  prime and  $n \geq 0$ ) called *Morava K-theory*. These play a central rôle in all the conjectures.
- ▶ Idea: focus on aspects of the category of spectra that are detected by  $K(p, n)$  for a fixed  $(p, n)$ .
- ▶ There are two subtly different versions of this: TC says they are the same.
- ▶ This is easy for  $n = 0$ , true for  $n = 1$  and false for  $n > 1$ .
- ▶ Alternative formulation: TC says that if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  can be written as a filtered colimit of *finite* spectra  $X_\alpha$  with  $K(p, n)_*(X_\alpha) = 0$ .

# The Ravenel Conjectures

- ▶ In 1984, Ravenel made a set of conjectures about the category of spectra.
- ▶ With the exception of the Telescope Conjecture (TC), all the conjectures were proved by Devinatz, Hopkins and Smith.  
This led to a huge body of results in chromatic homotopy theory.
- ▶ It soon became the consensus that TC was probably false, and there was a programme by Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick to disprove it, but they could not complete the argument.
- ▶ A disproof was announced by Burklund, Hahn, Levy and Schlank in 2023.
- ▶ There are invariants  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  of spectra  $X$  (for  $p$  prime and  $n \geq 0$ ) called *Morava K-theory*. These play a central rôle in all the conjectures.
- ▶ Idea: focus on aspects of the category of spectra that are detected by  $K(p, n)$  for a fixed  $(p, n)$ .
- ▶ There are two subtly different versions of this: TC says they are the same.
- ▶ This is easy for  $n = 0$ , true for  $n = 1$  and false for  $n > 1$ .
- ▶ Alternative formulation: TC says that if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  can be written as a filtered colimit of *finite* spectra  $X_\alpha$  with  $K(p, n)_*(X_\alpha) = 0$ .

# The Ravenel Conjectures

- ▶ In 1984, Ravenel made a set of conjectures about the category of spectra.
- ▶ With the exception of the Telescope Conjecture (TC), all the conjectures were proved by Devinatz, Hopkins and Smith.  
This led to a huge body of results in chromatic homotopy theory.
- ▶ It soon became the consensus that TC was probably false, and there was a programme by Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick to disprove it, but they could not complete the argument.
- ▶ A disproof was announced by Burklund, Hahn, Levy and Schlank in 2023.
- ▶ There are invariants  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  of spectra  $X$  (for  $p$  prime and  $n \geq 0$ ) called *Morava K-theory*. These play a central rôle in all the conjectures.
- ▶ Idea: focus on aspects of the category of spectra that are detected by  $K(p, n)$  for a fixed  $(p, n)$ .
- ▶ There are two subtly different versions of this: TC says they are the same.
- ▶ This is easy for  $n = 0$ , true for  $n = 1$  and false for  $n > 1$ .
- ▶ Alternative formulation: TC says that if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $X$  can be written as a filtered colimit of *finite* spectra  $X_\alpha$  with  $K(p, n)_*(X_\alpha) = 0$ .

# Ordinary cohomology

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).



- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).

- ▶ For any space  $X$  we have a cohomology ring  $H^*(X)$
- ▶ For many spaces this can be described explicitly: for example, if  $X = \{ \text{two-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^4 \}$  then  $H^*(X) = \mathbb{Z}[c_1, c_2]/(c_1^3 - 2c_1c_2, c_1^2c_2 - c_2^2)$ .
- ▶ We can also consider the scheme  $X_H = \text{spec}(H^*(X))$ , so  $H^*(X)$  is the ring of functions on  $X_H$ .
- ▶ Now  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  gives  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  (depending only on the homotopy class) and  $(X \amalg Y)_H = X_H \amalg Y_H$  and  $(X \times Y)_H \sim X_H \times Y_H$ .
- ▶ How good an invariant is this?
  - ▶ If  $f_H: X_H \rightarrow Y_H$  is an isomorphism then  $f$  is a homotopy equivalence (subject to mild conditions).
  - ▶ The map  $[X, Y] \rightarrow \text{Schemes}(X_H, Y_H) = \text{Rings}(H^*(Y), H^*(X))$  is typically far from being injective or surjective.
  - ▶ If  $X_H \simeq Y_H$ , that is only weak evidence for  $X \simeq Y$ .
- ▶ How to find better invariants?
  - (a) Use Steenrod operations on  $H^*(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$
  - (b) Use generalised cohomology theories.
- ▶ But (a) is really part of (b).



- ▶ A *generalised cohomology theory* is a contravariant, homotopy invariant functor  $E^* : \text{Spaces} \rightarrow \text{Rings}^*$  with properties similar to  $H^*$ , but  $E^*(1)$  need not be  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It takes work to provide interesting examples.
- ▶ We often work with *even periodic theories* where  $E^1(1) = 0$  and  $E^{-2}(1)$  contains a unit. Here it is natural to focus on  $E^0(X)$ .
- ▶ Given an even periodic theory  $E$  we put  $X_E = \text{spf}(E^0 X)$ .
- ▶ There is an even periodic theory  $KU$  with  $KU^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$  (where  $|u| = -2$ ) and  $KU^0(X)$  is the ring of virtual complex vector bundles on  $X$ .
- ▶ Put  $MP(n) = \{(v, V) \mid v \in V \leq \mathbb{C}^{2n}\}_\infty$  and  $\Sigma^m X = (\mathbb{R}^m \times X)_\infty$  and  $MP^k(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n-k} X, MP(n)]$ .  
This gives an even periodic theory with  $MP^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ .  
This is called *periodic complex cobordism*.
- ▶ The Nilpotence (pre)Theorem of Hopkins-Devnatz-Smith: if  $MP^*(u) = 0$  then  $u^k = 0$  for large  $k$ . This is the most powerful known theorem of the type algebra  $\Rightarrow$  topology.
- ▶ Fix a prime  $p$  and an integer  $n > 0$ . There is then an even periodic theory  $K(p, n)$  with  $K(p, n)^*(1) = \mathbb{F}_p[u, u^{-1}]$ . This is called *Morava K-theory*.
- ▶ The  $K(p, n)$ 's together carry roughly the same information as  $MP$ .

- ▶ A *generalised cohomology theory* is a contravariant, homotopy invariant functor  $E^*: \text{Spaces} \rightarrow \text{Rings}^*$  with properties similar to  $H^*$ , but  $E^*(1)$  need not be  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It takes work to provide interesting examples.
- ▶ We often work with *even periodic theories* where  $E^1(1) = 0$  and  $E^{-2}(1)$  contains a unit. Here it is natural to focus on  $E^0(X)$ .
- ▶ Given an even periodic theory  $E$  we put  $X_E = \text{spf}(E^0 X)$ .
- ▶ There is an even periodic theory  $KU$  with  $KU^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$  (where  $|u| = -2$ ) and  $KU^0(X)$  is the ring of virtual complex vector bundles on  $X$ .
- ▶ Put  $MP(n) = \{(v, V) \mid v \in V \leq \mathbb{C}^{2n}\}_\infty$  and  $\Sigma^m X = (\mathbb{R}^m \times X)_\infty$  and  $MP^k(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n-k} X, MP(n)]$ .  
This gives an even periodic theory with  $MP^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ .  
This is called *periodic complex cobordism*.
- ▶ The Nilpotence (pre)Theorem of Hopkins-Devnatz-Smith: if  $MP^*(u) = 0$  then  $u^k = 0$  for large  $k$ . This is the most powerful known theorem of the type algebra  $\Rightarrow$  topology.
- ▶ Fix a prime  $p$  and an integer  $n > 0$ . There is then an even periodic theory  $K(p, n)$  with  $K(p, n)^*(1) = \mathbb{F}_p[u, u^{-1}]$ . This is called *Morava K-theory*.
- ▶ The  $K(p, n)$ 's together carry roughly the same information as  $MP$ .

- ▶ A *generalised cohomology theory* is a contravariant, homotopy invariant functor  $E^*: \text{Spaces} \rightarrow \text{Rings}^*$  with properties similar to  $H^*$ , but  $E^*(1)$  need not be  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It takes work to provide interesting examples.
- ▶ We often work with *even periodic theories* where  $E^1(1) = 0$  and  $E^{-2}(1)$  contains a unit. Here it is natural to focus on  $E^0(X)$ .
- ▶ Given an even periodic theory  $E$  we put  $X_E = \text{spf}(E^0 X)$ .
- ▶ There is an even periodic theory  $KU$  with  $KU^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$  (where  $|u| = -2$ ) and  $KU^0(X)$  is the ring of virtual complex vector bundles on  $X$ .
- ▶ Put  $MP(n) = \{(v, V) \mid v \in V \leq \mathbb{C}^{2n}\}_\infty$  and  $\Sigma^m X = (\mathbb{R}^m \times X)_\infty$  and  $MP^k(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n-k} X, MP(n)]$ .  
This gives an even periodic theory with  $MP^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ .  
This is called *periodic complex cobordism*.
- ▶ The Nilpotence (pre)Theorem of Hopkins-Devnatz-Smith: if  $MP^*(u) = 0$  then  $u^k = 0$  for large  $k$ . This is the most powerful known theorem of the type algebra  $\Rightarrow$  topology.
- ▶ Fix a prime  $p$  and an integer  $n > 0$ . There is then an even periodic theory  $K(p, n)$  with  $K(p, n)^*(1) = \mathbb{F}_p[u, u^{-1}]$ . This is called *Morava K-theory*.
- ▶ The  $K(p, n)$ 's together carry roughly the same information as  $MP$ .

- ▶ A *generalised cohomology theory* is a contravariant, homotopy invariant functor  $E^*: \text{Spaces} \rightarrow \text{Rings}^*$  with properties similar to  $H^*$ , but  $E^*(1)$  need not be  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It takes work to provide interesting examples.
- ▶ We often work with *even periodic theories* where  $E^1(1) = 0$  and  $E^{-2}(1)$  contains a unit. Here it is natural to focus on  $E^0(X)$ .
- ▶ Given an even periodic theory  $E$  we put  $X_E = \text{spf}(E^0 X)$ .
- ▶ There is an even periodic theory  $KU$  with  $KU^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$  (where  $|u| = -2$ ) and  $KU^0(X)$  is the ring of virtual complex vector bundles on  $X$ .
- ▶ Put  $MP(n) = \{(v, V) \mid v \in V \leq \mathbb{C}^{2n}\}_\infty$  and  $\Sigma^m X = (\mathbb{R}^m \times X)_\infty$  and  $MP^k(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n-k} X, MP(n)]$ .  
This gives an even periodic theory with  $MP^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ .  
This is called *periodic complex cobordism*.
- ▶ The Nilpotence (pre)Theorem of Hopkins-Devnatz-Smith: if  $MP^*(u) = 0$  then  $u^k = 0$  for large  $k$ . This is the most powerful known theorem of the type algebra  $\Rightarrow$  topology.
- ▶ Fix a prime  $p$  and an integer  $n > 0$ . There is then an even periodic theory  $K(p, n)$  with  $K(p, n)^*(1) = \mathbb{F}_p[u, u^{-1}]$ . This is called *Morava K-theory*.
- ▶ The  $K(p, n)$ 's together carry roughly the same information as  $MP$ .

- ▶ A *generalised cohomology theory* is a contravariant, homotopy invariant functor  $E^*: \text{Spaces} \rightarrow \text{Rings}^*$  with properties similar to  $H^*$ , but  $E^*(1)$  need not be  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It takes work to provide interesting examples.
- ▶ We often work with *even periodic theories* where  $E^1(1) = 0$  and  $E^{-2}(1)$  contains a unit. Here it is natural to focus on  $E^0(X)$ .
- ▶ Given an even periodic theory  $E$  we put  $X_E = \text{spf}(E^0 X)$ .
- ▶ There is an even periodic theory  $KU$  with  $KU^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$  (where  $|u| = -2$ ) and  $KU^0(X)$  is the ring of virtual complex vector bundles on  $X$ .
- ▶ Put  $MP(n) = \{(v, V) \mid v \in V \leq \mathbb{C}^{2n}\}_\infty$  and  $\Sigma^m X = (\mathbb{R}^m \times X)_\infty$  and  $MP^k(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n-k} X, MP(n)]$ .  
This gives an even periodic theory with  $MP^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ .  
This is called *periodic complex cobordism*.
- ▶ The Nilpotence (pre)Theorem of Hopkins-Devnatz-Smith: if  $MP^*(u) = 0$  then  $u^k = 0$  for large  $k$ . This is the most powerful known theorem of the type algebra  $\Rightarrow$  topology.
- ▶ Fix a prime  $p$  and an integer  $n > 0$ . There is then an even periodic theory  $K(p, n)$  with  $K(p, n)^*(1) = \mathbb{F}_p[u, u^{-1}]$ . This is called *Morava K-theory*.
- ▶ The  $K(p, n)$ 's together carry roughly the same information as  $MP$ .

- ▶ A *generalised cohomology theory* is a contravariant, homotopy invariant functor  $E^* : \text{Spaces} \rightarrow \text{Rings}^*$  with properties similar to  $H^*$ , but  $E^*(1)$  need not be  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It takes work to provide interesting examples.
- ▶ We often work with *even periodic theories* where  $E^1(1) = 0$  and  $E^{-2}(1)$  contains a unit. Here it is natural to focus on  $E^0(X)$ .
- ▶ Given an even periodic theory  $E$  we put  $X_E = \text{spf}(E^0 X)$ .
- ▶ There is an even periodic theory  $KU$  with  $KU^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$  (where  $|u| = -2$ ) and  $KU^0(X)$  is the ring of virtual complex vector bundles on  $X$ .
- ▶ Put  $MP(n) = \{(v, V) \mid v \in V \leq \mathbb{C}^{2n}\}_\infty$  and  $\Sigma^m X = (\mathbb{R}^m \times X)_\infty$  and  $MP^k(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n-k} X, MP(n)]$ .  
This gives an even periodic theory with  $MP^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ .  
This is called *periodic complex cobordism*.

- ▶ The Nilpotence (pre)Theorem of Hopkins-Devnatz-Smith: if  $MP^*(u) = 0$  then  $u^k = 0$  for large  $k$ . This is the most powerful known theorem of the type algebra  $\Rightarrow$  topology.
- ▶ Fix a prime  $p$  and an integer  $n > 0$ . There is then an even periodic theory  $K(p, n)$  with  $K(p, n)^*(1) = \mathbb{F}_p[u, u^{-1}]$ . This is called *Morava K-theory*.
- ▶ The  $K(p, n)$ 's together carry roughly the same information as  $MP$ .

- ▶ A *generalised cohomology theory* is a contravariant, homotopy invariant functor  $E^*: \text{Spaces} \rightarrow \text{Rings}^*$  with properties similar to  $H^*$ , but  $E^*(1)$  need not be  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It takes work to provide interesting examples.
- ▶ We often work with *even periodic theories* where  $E^1(1) = 0$  and  $E^{-2}(1)$  contains a unit. Here it is natural to focus on  $E^0(X)$ .
- ▶ Given an even periodic theory  $E$  we put  $X_E = \text{spf}(E^0 X)$ .
- ▶ There is an even periodic theory  $KU$  with  $KU^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$  (where  $|u| = -2$ ) and  $KU^0(X)$  is the ring of virtual complex vector bundles on  $X$ .
- ▶ Put  $MP(n) = \{(v, V) \mid v \in V \leq \mathbb{C}^{2n}\}_\infty$  and  $\Sigma^m X = (\mathbb{R}^m \times X)_\infty$  and  $MP^k(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n-k} X, MP(n)]$ .  
This gives an even periodic theory with  $MP^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ .  
This is called *periodic complex cobordism*.
- ▶ The Nilpotence (pre)Theorem of Hopkins-Devnatz-Smith: if  $MP^*(u) = 0$  then  $u^k = 0$  for large  $k$ . This is the most powerful known theorem of the type algebra  $\Rightarrow$  topology.
- ▶ Fix a prime  $p$  and an integer  $n > 0$ . There is then an even periodic theory  $K(p, n)$  with  $K(p, n)^*(1) = \mathbb{F}_p[u, u^{-1}]$ . This is called *Morava K-theory*.
- ▶ The  $K(p, n)$ 's together carry roughly the same information as  $MP$ .

- ▶ A *generalised cohomology theory* is a contravariant, homotopy invariant functor  $E^* : \text{Spaces} \rightarrow \text{Rings}^*$  with properties similar to  $H^*$ , but  $E^*(1)$  need not be  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It takes work to provide interesting examples.
- ▶ We often work with *even periodic theories* where  $E^1(1) = 0$  and  $E^{-2}(1)$  contains a unit. Here it is natural to focus on  $E^0(X)$ .
- ▶ Given an even periodic theory  $E$  we put  $X_E = \text{spf}(E^0 X)$ .
- ▶ There is an even periodic theory  $KU$  with  $KU^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$  (where  $|u| = -2$ ) and  $KU^0(X)$  is the ring of virtual complex vector bundles on  $X$ .
- ▶ Put  $MP(n) = \{(v, V) \mid v \in V \leq \mathbb{C}^{2n}\}_\infty$  and  $\Sigma^m X = (\mathbb{R}^m \times X)_\infty$  and  $MP^k(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n-k} X, MP(n)]$ .  
This gives an even periodic theory with  $MP^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ .  
This is called *periodic complex cobordism*.
- ▶ The Nilpotence (pre)Theorem of Hopkins-Devnatz-Smith: if  $MP^*(u) = 0$  then  $u^k = 0$  for large  $k$ . This is the most powerful known theorem of the type algebra  $\Rightarrow$  topology.
- ▶ Fix a prime  $p$  and an integer  $n > 0$ . There is then an even periodic theory  $K(p, n)$  with  $K(p, n)^*(1) = \mathbb{F}_p[u, u^{-1}]$ . This is called *Morava K-theory*.
- ▶ The  $K(p, n)$ 's together carry roughly the same information as  $MP$ .



- ▶ A *generalised cohomology theory* is a contravariant, homotopy invariant functor  $E^* : \text{Spaces} \rightarrow \text{Rings}^*$  with properties similar to  $H^*$ , but  $E^*(1)$  need not be  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It takes work to provide interesting examples.
- ▶ We often work with *even periodic theories* where  $E^1(1) = 0$  and  $E^{-2}(1)$  contains a unit. Here it is natural to focus on  $E^0(X)$ .
- ▶ Given an even periodic theory  $E$  we put  $X_E = \text{spf}(E^0 X)$ .
- ▶ There is an even periodic theory  $KU$  with  $KU^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[u, u^{-1}]$  (where  $|u| = -2$ ) and  $KU^0(X)$  is the ring of virtual complex vector bundles on  $X$ .
- ▶ Put  $MP(n) = \{(v, V) \mid v \in V \leq \mathbb{C}^{2n}\}_\infty$  and  $\Sigma^m X = (\mathbb{R}^m \times X)_\infty$  and  $MP^k(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n-k} X, MP(n)]$ .  
This gives an even periodic theory with  $MP^*(1) = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots]$ .  
This is called *periodic complex cobordism*.
- ▶ The Nilpotence (pre)Theorem of Hopkins-Devizatz-Smith: if  $MP^*(u) = 0$  then  $u^k = 0$  for large  $k$ . This is the most powerful known theorem of the type algebra  $\Rightarrow$  topology.
- ▶ Fix a prime  $p$  and an integer  $n > 0$ . There is then an even periodic theory  $K(p, n)$  with  $K(p, n)^*(1) = \mathbb{F}_p[u, u^{-1}]$ . This is called *Morava K-theory*.
- ▶ The  $K(p, n)$ 's together carry roughly the same information as  $MP$ .

## Formal groups — what are they good for?

- ▶ Every even periodic theory  $E$  gives a formal group  $P_E$ .
- ▶ The functor  $E \mapsto P_E$  is not too far from being an equivalence.
- ▶ The most elementary examples of formal groups are the additive and multiplicative formal groups; these correspond to  $HP$  and  $KU$ . (Here  $HP^i(X) = \prod_j H^{i+2j}(X)$ .)
- ▶ Steenrod operations in  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and Adams operations in  $KU^0(X)$  are closely related to endomorphisms of the associated formal groups.
- ▶ The ring  $MP^0(1)$  is naturally isomorphic to the Lazard ring, which plays a central role in formal group theory.
- ▶ The Morava  $K$ -theories  $K(p, n)$  all have different formal groups.
- ▶ Together with  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{Q})$  this gives all formal groups over fields up to Galois twisting.
- ▶ For many spaces  $X$  the scheme  $X_E$  can be described naturally in terms of  $P_E$ . For example, if  $X = BU(n) = \{n\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^\infty\}$  then  $X_E = (P_E^n)/\Sigma_n$ .

## Formal groups — what are they good for?

- ▶ Every even periodic theory  $E$  gives a formal group  $P_E$ .
- ▶ The functor  $E \mapsto P_E$  is not too far from being an equivalence.
- ▶ The most elementary examples of formal groups are the additive and multiplicative formal groups; these correspond to  $HP$  and  $KU$ . (Here  $HP^i(X) = \prod_j H^{i+2j}(X)$ .)
- ▶ Steenrod operations in  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and Adams operations in  $KU^0(X)$  are closely related to endomorphisms of the associated formal groups.
- ▶ The ring  $MP^0(1)$  is naturally isomorphic to the Lazard ring, which plays a central role in formal group theory.
- ▶ The Morava  $K$ -theories  $K(p, n)$  all have different formal groups.
- ▶ Together with  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{Q})$  this gives all formal groups over fields up to Galois twisting.
- ▶ For many spaces  $X$  the scheme  $X_E$  can be described naturally in terms of  $P_E$ . For example, if  $X = BU(n) = \{n\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^\infty\}$  then  $X_E = (P_E^n)/\Sigma_n$ .

## Formal groups — what are they good for?

- ▶ Every even periodic theory  $E$  gives a formal group  $P_E$ .
- ▶ The functor  $E \mapsto P_E$  is not too far from being an equivalence.
- ▶ The most elementary examples of formal groups are the additive and multiplicative formal groups; these correspond to  $HP$  and  $KU$ . (Here  $HP^i(X) = \prod_j H^{i+2j}(X)$ .)
- ▶ Steenrod operations in  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and Adams operations in  $KU^0(X)$  are closely related to endomorphisms of the associated formal groups.
- ▶ The ring  $MP^0(1)$  is naturally isomorphic to the Lazard ring, which plays a central role in formal group theory.
- ▶ The Morava  $K$ -theories  $K(p, n)$  all have different formal groups.
- ▶ Together with  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{Q})$  this gives all formal groups over fields up to Galois twisting.
- ▶ For many spaces  $X$  the scheme  $X_E$  can be described naturally in terms of  $P_E$ . For example, if  $X = BU(n) = \{n\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^\infty\}$  then  $X_E = (P_E^n)/\Sigma_n$ .

## Formal groups — what are they good for?

- ▶ Every even periodic theory  $E$  gives a formal group  $P_E$ .
- ▶ The functor  $E \mapsto P_E$  is not too far from being an equivalence.
- ▶ The most elementary examples of formal groups are the additive and multiplicative formal groups; these correspond to  $HP$  and  $KU$ . (Here  $HP^i(X) = \prod_j H^{i+2j}(X)$ .)
- ▶ Steenrod operations in  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and Adams operations in  $KU^0(X)$  are closely related to endomorphisms of the associated formal groups.
- ▶ The ring  $MP^0(1)$  is naturally isomorphic to the Lazard ring, which plays a central role in formal group theory.
- ▶ The Morava  $K$ -theories  $K(p, n)$  all have different formal groups.
- ▶ Together with  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{Q})$  this gives all formal groups over fields up to Galois twisting.
- ▶ For many spaces  $X$  the scheme  $X_E$  can be described naturally in terms of  $P_E$ . For example, if  $X = BU(n) = \{n\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^\infty\}$  then  $X_E = (P_E^n)/\Sigma_n$ .

## Formal groups — what are they good for?

- ▶ Every even periodic theory  $E$  gives a formal group  $P_E$ .
- ▶ The functor  $E \mapsto P_E$  is not too far from being an equivalence.
- ▶ The most elementary examples of formal groups are the additive and multiplicative formal groups; these correspond to  $HP$  and  $KU$ . (Here  $HP^i(X) = \prod_j H^{i+2j}(X)$ .)
- ▶ Steenrod operations in  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and Adams operations in  $KU^0(X)$  are closely related to endomorphisms of the associated formal groups.
- ▶ The ring  $MP^0(1)$  is naturally isomorphic to the Lazard ring, which plays a central role in formal group theory.
- ▶ The Morava  $K$ -theories  $K(p, n)$  all have different formal groups.
- ▶ Together with  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{Q})$  this gives all formal groups over fields up to Galois twisting.
- ▶ For many spaces  $X$  the scheme  $X_E$  can be described naturally in terms of  $P_E$ . For example, if  $X = BU(n) = \{n\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^\infty\}$  then  $X_E = (P_E^n) / \Sigma_n$ .

## Formal groups — what are they good for?

- ▶ Every even periodic theory  $E$  gives a formal group  $P_E$ .
- ▶ The functor  $E \mapsto P_E$  is not too far from being an equivalence.
- ▶ The most elementary examples of formal groups are the additive and multiplicative formal groups; these correspond to  $HP$  and  $KU$ . (Here  $HP^i(X) = \prod_j H^{i+2j}(X)$ .)
- ▶ Steenrod operations in  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and Adams operations in  $KU^0(X)$  are closely related to endomorphisms of the associated formal groups.
- ▶ The ring  $MP^0(1)$  is naturally isomorphic to the Lazard ring, which plays a central role in formal group theory.
- ▶ The Morava  $K$ -theories  $K(p, n)$  all have different formal groups.
- ▶ Together with  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{Q})$  this gives all formal groups over fields up to Galois twisting.
- ▶ For many spaces  $X$  the scheme  $X_E$  can be described naturally in terms of  $P_E$ . For example, if  $X = BU(n) = \{n\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^\infty\}$  then  $X_E = (P_E^n)/\Sigma_n$ .

## Formal groups — what are they good for?

- ▶ Every even periodic theory  $E$  gives a formal group  $P_E$ .
- ▶ The functor  $E \mapsto P_E$  is not too far from being an equivalence.
- ▶ The most elementary examples of formal groups are the additive and multiplicative formal groups; these correspond to  $HP$  and  $KU$ . (Here  $HP^i(X) = \prod_j H^{i+2j}(X)$ .)
- ▶ Steenrod operations in  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and Adams operations in  $KU^0(X)$  are closely related to endomorphisms of the associated formal groups.
- ▶ The ring  $MP^0(1)$  is naturally isomorphic to the Lazard ring, which plays a central role in formal group theory.
- ▶ The Morava  $K$ -theories  $K(p, n)$  all have different formal groups.
- ▶ Together with  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{Q})$  this gives all formal groups over fields up to Galois twisting.
- ▶ For many spaces  $X$  the scheme  $X_E$  can be described naturally in terms of  $P_E$ . For example, if  $X = BU(n) = \{n\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^\infty\}$  then  $X_E = (P_E^n)/\Sigma_n$ .



## Formal groups — what are they good for?

- ▶ Every even periodic theory  $E$  gives a formal group  $P_E$ .
- ▶ The functor  $E \mapsto P_E$  is not too far from being an equivalence.
- ▶ The most elementary examples of formal groups are the additive and multiplicative formal groups; these correspond to  $HP$  and  $KU$ . (Here  $HP^i(X) = \prod_j H^{i+2j}(X)$ .)
- ▶ Steenrod operations in  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and Adams operations in  $KU^0(X)$  are closely related to endomorphisms of the associated formal groups.
- ▶ The ring  $MP^0(1)$  is naturally isomorphic to the Lazard ring, which plays a central role in formal group theory.
- ▶ The Morava  $K$ -theories  $K(p, n)$  all have different formal groups.
- ▶ Together with  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{Q})$  this gives all formal groups over fields up to Galois twisting.
- ▶ For many spaces  $X$  the scheme  $X_E$  can be described naturally in terms of  $P_E$ . For example, if  $X = BU(n) = \{n\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^\infty\}$  then  $X_E = (P_E^n)/\Sigma_n$ .

## Formal groups — what are they good for?

- ▶ Every even periodic theory  $E$  gives a formal group  $P_E$ .
- ▶ The functor  $E \mapsto P_E$  is not too far from being an equivalence.
- ▶ The most elementary examples of formal groups are the additive and multiplicative formal groups; these correspond to  $HP$  and  $KU$ . (Here  $HP^i(X) = \prod_j H^{i+2j}(X)$ .)
- ▶ Steenrod operations in  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and Adams operations in  $KU^0(X)$  are closely related to endomorphisms of the associated formal groups.
- ▶ The ring  $MP^0(1)$  is naturally isomorphic to the Lazard ring, which plays a central role in formal group theory.
- ▶ The Morava  $K$ -theories  $K(p, n)$  all have different formal groups.
- ▶ Together with  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $HP^0(X; \mathbb{Q})$  this gives all formal groups over fields up to Galois twisting.
- ▶ For many spaces  $X$  the scheme  $X_E$  can be described naturally in terms of  $P_E$ . For example, if  $X = BU(n) = \{n\text{-dimensional subspaces of } \mathbb{C}^\infty\}$  then  $X_E = (P_E^n)/\Sigma_n$ .

## Examples of formal groups

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent}\}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent}\}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent}\}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

## Examples of formal groups

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent}\}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

## Examples of formal groups

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent}\}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

## Examples of formal groups

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent}\}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .



## Examples of formal groups

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent}\}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

## Examples of formal groups

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent}\}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

## Examples of formal groups

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent} \}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent} \}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent} \}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

## Examples of formal groups

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent}\}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent}\}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

## Examples of formal groups

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent} \}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent} \}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent} \}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

## Examples of formal groups

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent} \}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent} \}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent} \}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

- ▶ For any ring  $R$  we define commutative groups as follows:
  - ▶  $G_a(R) = \{a \in R \mid a \text{ is nilpotent} \}$  (under addition)
  - ▶  $G_m(R) = \{u \in R \mid u - 1 \text{ is nilpotent} \}$  (under multiplication)
  - ▶  $G_r(R) = \{A = \begin{bmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(R) \mid c^2 + s^2 = 1, c - 1 \text{ nilpotent} \}$
  - ▶  $G_e(R) = \{(u, v) \in \text{Nil}(R)^2 \mid v - u^3 + uv^2 = 0\}$  (an elliptic curve)
- ▶ These are all functorial in  $R$ .
- ▶ We can define natural bijections  $x_i: G_i(R) \rightarrow \text{Nil}(R)$  by  $x_a(a) = a$  and  $x_m(u) = u - 1$  and  $x_r(A) = s/c$  and  $x_e(u, v) = u$ .
- ▶ One can check that  $x_i(a * b) = F_i(x_i(a), x_i(b))$  where  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$  and  $F_m(s, t) = s + t + st$  and  $F_r(s, t) = (s + t)/(1 - st) = \sum_{i \geq 0} s^i t^i (s + t)$ . (One cannot be so explicit for  $F_e$ .)
- ▶ The functors  $G_i$  are *formal groups*; the power series  $F_i$  are *formal group laws*.
- ▶ Axioms:  $F(s, 0) = s$ ,  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  and  $F(F(s, t), u) = F(s, F(t, u))$ .
- ▶ More general version: we have a ground ring  $k$ , and  $G(R)$  is only functorial for  $k$ -algebras, and  $F(s, t) \in k[[s, t]]$ .
- ▶ Example: for any  $a \in k$  we have an FGL  $F(s, t) = s + t + ast$  over  $k$ .

## Formal groups from even periodic theories

- ▶  $P = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times = \{1 - \dim \text{ subspaces of } \mathbb{C}[t]\} = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .
- ▶ This is a commutative topological monoid (with inverses up to homotopy).
- ▶ So  $P_E$  is a formal group scheme over  $1_E = \text{spec}(E^0(1))$ .
- ▶ We can calculate  $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  by induction on  $n$  using Mayer-Vietoris. It follows that there exists  $x$  with  $E^0(P) = E^0(1)[[x]]$  (but there is no canonical choice of  $x$ ).
- ▶ This gives  $E^0(P \times P) = E^0(1)[[x_1, x_2]]$ . The multiplication map  $\mu: P \times P \rightarrow P$  has  $\mu^*(x) = F(x_1, x_2)$  for some formal group law  $F$ .
- ▶ Now fix a prime  $p$  and let  $\pi: P \rightarrow P$  be the  $p$ 'th power map and put  $B = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}_p$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $p = 0$  in  $E^0(1)$ . Under some conditions that are often satisfied, we have  $E^0(B) = E^0(1)[[x]]/\pi^*(x)$  and this is free of finite rank over  $E^0(1)$ . If so, then the rank is always  $p^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , called the *height*.
- ▶ For  $E = K(p, n)$  we have  $\pi^*(x) = x^{p^n}$  and the height is  $n$ .
- ▶ Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , any two formal groups of the same height are isomorphic.



## Formal groups from even periodic theories

- ▶  $P = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times = \{1 - \dim \text{ subspaces of } \mathbb{C}[t]\} = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .
- ▶ This is a commutative topological monoid (with inverses up to homotopy).
- ▶ So  $P_E$  is a formal group scheme over  $1_E = \text{spec}(E^0(1))$ .
- ▶ We can calculate  $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  by induction on  $n$  using Mayer-Vietoris. It follows that there exists  $x$  with  $E^0(P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket$  (but there is no canonical choice of  $x$ ).
- ▶ This gives  $E^0(P \times P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket$ . The multiplication map  $\mu: P \times P \rightarrow P$  has  $\mu^*(x) = F(x_1, x_2)$  for some formal group law  $F$ .
- ▶ Now fix a prime  $p$  and let  $\pi: P \rightarrow P$  be the  $p$ 'th power map and put  $B = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}_p$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $p = 0$  in  $E^0(1)$ . Under some conditions that are often satisfied, we have  $E^0(B) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket/\pi^*(x)$  and this is free of finite rank over  $E^0(1)$ . If so, then the rank is always  $p^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , called the *height*.
- ▶ For  $E = K(p, n)$  we have  $\pi^*(x) = x^{p^n}$  and the height is  $n$ .
- ▶ Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , any two formal groups of the same height are isomorphic.

## Formal groups from even periodic theories

- ▶  $P = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times = \{1 - \dim \text{ subspaces of } \mathbb{C}[t]\} = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .
- ▶ This is a commutative topological monoid (with inverses up to homotopy).
- ▶ So  $P_E$  is a formal group scheme over  $1_E = \text{spec}(E^0(1))$ .
- ▶ We can calculate  $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  by induction on  $n$  using Mayer-Vietoris. It follows that there exists  $x$  with  $E^0(P) = E^0(1)[[x]]$  (but there is no canonical choice of  $x$ ).
- ▶ This gives  $E^0(P \times P) = E^0(1)[[x_1, x_2]]$ . The multiplication map  $\mu: P \times P \rightarrow P$  has  $\mu^*(x) = F(x_1, x_2)$  for some formal group law  $F$ .
- ▶ Now fix a prime  $p$  and let  $\pi: P \rightarrow P$  be the  $p$ 'th power map and put  $B = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}_p$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $p = 0$  in  $E^0(1)$ . Under some conditions that are often satisfied, we have  $E^0(B) = E^0(1)[[x]]/\pi^*(x)$  and this is free of finite rank over  $E^0(1)$ . If so, then the rank is always  $p^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , called the *height*.
- ▶ For  $E = K(p, n)$  we have  $\pi^*(x) = x^{p^n}$  and the height is  $n$ .
- ▶ Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , any two formal groups of the same height are isomorphic.

## Formal groups from even periodic theories

- ▶  $P = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times = \{1 - \dim \text{ subspaces of } \mathbb{C}[t]\} = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .
- ▶ This is a commutative topological monoid (with inverses up to homotopy).
- ▶ So  $P_E$  is a formal group scheme over  $1_E = \text{spec}(E^0(1))$ .
- ▶ We can calculate  $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  by induction on  $n$  using Mayer-Vietoris. It follows that there exists  $x$  with  $E^0(P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket$  (but there is no canonical choice of  $x$ ).
- ▶ This gives  $E^0(P \times P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket$ . The multiplication map  $\mu: P \times P \rightarrow P$  has  $\mu^*(x) = F(x_1, x_2)$  for some formal group law  $F$ .
- ▶ Now fix a prime  $p$  and let  $\pi: P \rightarrow P$  be the  $p$ 'th power map and put  $B = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/C_p$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $p = 0$  in  $E^0(1)$ . Under some conditions that are often satisfied, we have  $E^0(B) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket/\pi^*(x)$  and this is free of finite rank over  $E^0(1)$ . If so, then the rank is always  $p^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , called the *height*.
- ▶ For  $E = K(p, n)$  we have  $\pi^*(x) = x^{p^n}$  and the height is  $n$ .
- ▶ Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , any two formal groups of the same height are isomorphic.

## Formal groups from even periodic theories

- ▶  $P = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times = \{1 - \dim \text{ subspaces of } \mathbb{C}[t]\} = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .
- ▶ This is a commutative topological monoid (with inverses up to homotopy).
- ▶ So  $P_E$  is a formal group scheme over  $1_E = \text{spec}(E^0(1))$ .
- ▶ We can calculate  $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  by induction on  $n$  using Mayer-Vietoris. It follows that there exists  $x$  with  $E^0(P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket$  (but there is no canonical choice of  $x$ ).
- ▶ This gives  $E^0(P \times P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket$ . The multiplication map  $\mu: P \times P \rightarrow P$  has  $\mu^*(x) = F(x_1, x_2)$  for some formal group law  $F$ .
- ▶ Now fix a prime  $p$  and let  $\pi: P \rightarrow P$  be the  $p$ 'th power map and put  $B = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}_p$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $p = 0$  in  $E^0(1)$ . Under some conditions that are often satisfied, we have  $E^0(B) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket/\pi^*(x)$  and this is free of finite rank over  $E^0(1)$ . If so, then the rank is always  $p^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , called the *height*.
- ▶ For  $E = K(p, n)$  we have  $\pi^*(x) = x^{p^n}$  and the height is  $n$ .
- ▶ Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , any two formal groups of the same height are isomorphic.

## Formal groups from even periodic theories

- ▶  $P = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times = \{1 - \dim \text{ subspaces of } \mathbb{C}[t]\} = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .
- ▶ This is a commutative topological monoid (with inverses up to homotopy).
- ▶ So  $P_E$  is a formal group scheme over  $1_E = \text{spec}(E^0(1))$ .
- ▶ We can calculate  $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  by induction on  $n$  using Mayer-Vietoris. It follows that there exists  $x$  with  $E^0(P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket$  (but there is no canonical choice of  $x$ ).
- ▶ This gives  $E^0(P \times P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket$ . The multiplication map  $\mu: P \times P \rightarrow P$  has  $\mu^*(x) = F(x_1, x_2)$  for some formal group law  $F$ .
- ▶ Now fix a prime  $p$  and let  $\pi: P \rightarrow P$  be the  $p$ 'th power map and put  $B = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}_p$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $p = 0$  in  $E^0(1)$ . Under some conditions that are often satisfied, we have  $E^0(B) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket/\pi^*(x)$  and this is free of finite rank over  $E^0(1)$ . If so, then the rank is always  $p^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , called the *height*.
- ▶ For  $E = K(p, n)$  we have  $\pi^*(x) = x^{p^n}$  and the height is  $n$ .
- ▶ Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , any two formal groups of the same height are isomorphic.

## Formal groups from even periodic theories

- ▶  $P = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times = \{1 - \dim \text{ subspaces of } \mathbb{C}[t]\} = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .
- ▶ This is a commutative topological monoid (with inverses up to homotopy).
- ▶ So  $P_E$  is a formal group scheme over  $1_E = \text{spec}(E^0(1))$ .
- ▶ We can calculate  $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  by induction on  $n$  using Mayer-Vietoris. It follows that there exists  $x$  with  $E^0(P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket$  (but there is no canonical choice of  $x$ ).
- ▶ This gives  $E^0(P \times P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket$ . The multiplication map  $\mu: P \times P \rightarrow P$  has  $\mu^*(x) = F(x_1, x_2)$  for some formal group law  $F$ .
- ▶ Now fix a prime  $p$  and let  $\pi: P \rightarrow P$  be the  $p$ 'th power map and put  $B = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}_p$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $p = 0$  in  $E^0(1)$ . Under some conditions that are often satisfied, we have  $E^0(B) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket/\pi^*(x)$  and this is free of finite rank over  $E^0(1)$ . If so, then the rank is always  $p^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , called the *height*.
- ▶ For  $E = K(p, n)$  we have  $\pi^*(x) = x^{p^n}$  and the height is  $n$ .
- ▶ Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , any two formal groups of the same height are isomorphic.

## Formal groups from even periodic theories

- ▶  $P = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times = \{1 - \dim \text{ subspaces of } \mathbb{C}[t]\} = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .
- ▶ This is a commutative topological monoid (with inverses up to homotopy).
- ▶ So  $P_E$  is a formal group scheme over  $1_E = \text{spec}(E^0(1))$ .
- ▶ We can calculate  $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  by induction on  $n$  using Mayer-Vietoris. It follows that there exists  $x$  with  $E^0(P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket$  (but there is no canonical choice of  $x$ ).
- ▶ This gives  $E^0(P \times P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket$ . The multiplication map  $\mu: P \times P \rightarrow P$  has  $\mu^*(x) = F(x_1, x_2)$  for some formal group law  $F$ .
- ▶ Now fix a prime  $p$  and let  $\pi: P \rightarrow P$  be the  $p$ 'th power map and put  $B = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}_p$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $p = 0$  in  $E^0(1)$ . Under some conditions that are often satisfied, we have  $E^0(B) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket/\pi^*(x)$  and this is free of finite rank over  $E^0(1)$ . If so, then the rank is always  $p^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , called the *height*.
- ▶ For  $E = K(p, n)$  we have  $\pi^*(x) = x^{p^n}$  and the height is  $n$ .
- ▶ Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , any two formal groups of the same height are isomorphic.

## Formal groups from even periodic theories

- ▶  $P = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times = \{1 - \dim \text{ subspaces of } \mathbb{C}[t]\} = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .
- ▶ This is a commutative topological monoid (with inverses up to homotopy).
- ▶ So  $P_E$  is a formal group scheme over  $1_E = \text{spec}(E^0(1))$ .
- ▶ We can calculate  $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  by induction on  $n$  using Mayer-Vietoris. It follows that there exists  $x$  with  $E^0(P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket$  (but there is no canonical choice of  $x$ ).
- ▶ This gives  $E^0(P \times P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket$ . The multiplication map  $\mu: P \times P \rightarrow P$  has  $\mu^*(x) = F(x_1, x_2)$  for some formal group law  $F$ .
- ▶ Now fix a prime  $p$  and let  $\pi: P \rightarrow P$  be the  $p$ 'th power map and put  $B = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/C_p$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $p = 0$  in  $E^0(1)$ . Under some conditions that are often satisfied, we have  $E^0(B) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket/\pi^*(x)$  and this is free of finite rank over  $E^0(1)$ . If so, then the rank is always  $p^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , called the *height*.
- ▶ For  $E = K(p, n)$  we have  $\pi^*(x) = x^{p^n}$  and the height is  $n$ .
- ▶ Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , any two formal groups of the same height are isomorphic.



## Formal groups from even periodic theories

- ▶  $P = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}^\times = \{1 - \dim \text{ subspaces of } \mathbb{C}[t]\} = \mathbb{C}P^\infty$ .
- ▶ This is a commutative topological monoid (with inverses up to homotopy).
- ▶ So  $P_E$  is a formal group scheme over  $1_E = \text{spec}(E^0(1))$ .
- ▶ We can calculate  $E^*(\mathbb{C}P^n)$  by induction on  $n$  using Mayer-Vietoris. It follows that there exists  $x$  with  $E^0(P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket$  (but there is no canonical choice of  $x$ ).
- ▶ This gives  $E^0(P \times P) = E^0(1)\llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket$ . The multiplication map  $\mu: P \times P \rightarrow P$  has  $\mu^*(x) = F(x_1, x_2)$  for some formal group law  $F$ .
- ▶ Now fix a prime  $p$  and let  $\pi: P \rightarrow P$  be the  $p$ 'th power map and put  $B = (\mathbb{C}[t] \setminus \{0\})/\mathbb{C}_p$ .
- ▶ Suppose that  $p = 0$  in  $E^0(1)$ . Under some conditions that are often satisfied, we have  $E^0(B) = E^0(1)\llbracket x \rrbracket / \pi^*(x)$  and this is free of finite rank over  $E^0(1)$ . If so, then the rank is always  $p^n$  for some  $n > 0$ , called the *height*.
- ▶ For  $E = K(p, n)$  we have  $\pi^*(x) = x^{p^n}$  and the height is  $n$ .
- ▶ Over an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ , any two formal groups of the same height are isomorphic.

# The Lazard ring

- ▶ Consider a formal power series  $F(s, t) = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} s^i t^j \in k[[s, t]]$ .  
When is this an FGL?
- ▶ For  $F(s, 0) = s$  we need  $b_{i0} = \delta_{i,1}$ . For  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  we need  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ .
- ▶ Now  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + b_{11}st + b_{12}(st^2 + s^2t) + b_{22}s^2t^2 + b_{13}(st^3 + s^3t) + O(5)$$
- ▶ Using this we get  
$$F(F(s, t), u) - F(s, F(t, u)) = (2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22})(s - u)stu + O(5)$$
- ▶ For an FGL we must have  $2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22} = 0$ . In terms of the parameters  $a_1 = b_{11}$  and  $a_2 = b_{12}$  and  $a_3 = b_{22} - b_{13}$  we get  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + a_1st + a_2st(s+t) + 2(a_3 - a_1a_2)st(s^2 + st + t^2) + a_3s^2t^2 + O(5).$$
- ▶ There are no more relations: any power series of the above form satisfies the FGL conditions up to errors of order 5.
- ▶ Lazard's theorem: we can continue to define  $a_4, a_5, \dots$  so that  $F(s, t)$  can be expressed in terms of the  $a_i$ , and no further relations are required to make the associativity axiom hold.
- ▶ Reformulation: over the Lazard ring  $L = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$  there is a universal formal group law  $F_u$  such that the resulting map  $\text{Rings}(L, k) \rightarrow \text{FGL}(k)$  is bijective for all  $k$ .

- ▶ Consider a formal power series  $F(s, t) = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} s^i t^j \in k[[s, t]]$ .  
When is this an FGL?
- ▶ For  $F(s, 0) = s$  we need  $b_{i0} = \delta_{i,1}$ . For  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  we need  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ .
- ▶ Now  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + b_{11}st + b_{12}(st^2 + s^2t) + b_{22}s^2t^2 + b_{13}(st^3 + s^3t) + O(5)$$
- ▶ Using this we get  
$$F(F(s, t), u) - F(s, F(t, u)) = (2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22})(s - u)stu + O(5)$$
- ▶ For an FGL we must have  $2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22} = 0$ . In terms of the parameters  $a_1 = b_{11}$  and  $a_2 = b_{12}$  and  $a_3 = b_{22} - b_{13}$  we get  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + a_1st + a_2st(s+t) + 2(a_3 - a_1a_2)st(s^2 + st + t^2) + a_3s^2t^2 + O(5).$$
- ▶ There are no more relations: any power series of the above form satisfies the FGL conditions up to errors of order 5.
- ▶ Lazard's theorem: we can continue to define  $a_4, a_5, \dots$  so that  $F(s, t)$  can be expressed in terms of the  $a_i$ , and no further relations are required to make the associativity axiom hold.
- ▶ Reformulation: over the Lazard ring  $L = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$  there is a universal formal group law  $F_u$  such that the resulting map  $\text{Rings}(L, k) \rightarrow \text{FGL}(k)$  is bijective for all  $k$ .

# The Lazard ring

- ▶ Consider a formal power series  $F(s, t) = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} s^i t^j \in k[[s, t]]$ .  
When is this an FGL?
- ▶ For  $F(s, 0) = s$  we need  $b_{i0} = \delta_{i,1}$ . For  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  we need  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ .
- ▶ Now  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + b_{11}st + b_{12}(st^2 + s^2t) + b_{22}s^2t^2 + b_{13}(st^3 + s^3t) + O(5)$$
- ▶ Using this we get  
$$F(F(s, t), u) - F(s, F(t, u)) = (2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22})(s - u)stu + O(5)$$
- ▶ For an FGL we must have  $2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22} = 0$ . In terms of the parameters  $a_1 = b_{11}$  and  $a_2 = b_{12}$  and  $a_3 = b_{22} - b_{13}$  we get  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + a_1st + a_2st(s+t) + 2(a_3 - a_1a_2)st(s^2 + st + t^2) + a_3s^2t^2 + O(5).$$
- ▶ There are no more relations: any power series of the above form satisfies the FGL conditions up to errors of order 5.
- ▶ Lazard's theorem: we can continue to define  $a_4, a_5, \dots$  so that  $F(s, t)$  can be expressed in terms of the  $a_i$ , and no further relations are required to make the associativity axiom hold.
- ▶ Reformulation: over the Lazard ring  $L = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$  there is a universal formal group law  $F_u$  such that the resulting map  $\text{Rings}(L, k) \rightarrow \text{FGL}(k)$  is bijective for all  $k$ .

# The Lazard ring

- ▶ Consider a formal power series  $F(s, t) = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} s^i t^j \in k[[s, t]]$ .  
When is this an FGL?
- ▶ For  $F(s, 0) = s$  we need  $b_{i0} = \delta_{i,1}$ . For  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  we need  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ .
- ▶ Now  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + b_{11}st + b_{12}(st^2 + s^2t) + b_{22}s^2t^2 + b_{13}(st^3 + s^3t) + O(5)$$
- ▶ Using this we get  
$$F(F(s, t), u) - F(s, F(t, u)) = (2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22})(s - u)stu + O(5)$$
- ▶ For an FGL we must have  $2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22} = 0$ . In terms of the parameters  $a_1 = b_{11}$  and  $a_2 = b_{12}$  and  $a_3 = b_{22} - b_{13}$  we get  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + a_1st + a_2st(s+t) + 2(a_3 - a_1a_2)st(s^2 + st + t^2) + a_3s^2t^2 + O(5).$$
- ▶ There are no more relations: any power series of the above form satisfies the FGL conditions up to errors of order 5.
- ▶ Lazard's theorem: we can continue to define  $a_4, a_5, \dots$  so that  $F(s, t)$  can be expressed in terms of the  $a_i$ , and no further relations are required to make the associativity axiom hold.
- ▶ Reformulation: over the Lazard ring  $L = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$  there is a universal formal group law  $F_u$  such that the resulting map  $\text{Rings}(L, k) \rightarrow \text{FGL}(k)$  is bijective for all  $k$ .

# The Lazard ring

- ▶ Consider a formal power series  $F(s, t) = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} s^i t^j \in k[[s, t]]$ .  
When is this an FGL?
- ▶ For  $F(s, 0) = s$  we need  $b_{i0} = \delta_{i,1}$ . For  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  we need  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ .
- ▶ Now  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + b_{11}st + b_{12}(st^2 + s^2t) + b_{22}s^2t^2 + b_{13}(st^3 + s^3t) + O(5)$$
- ▶ Using this we get  
$$F(F(s, t), u) - F(s, F(t, u)) = (2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22})(s - u)stu + O(5)$$
- ▶ For an FGL we must have  $2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22}$ . In terms of the parameters  $a_1 = b_{11}$  and  $a_2 = b_{12}$  and  $a_3 = b_{22} - b_{13}$  we get  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + a_1st + a_2st(s+t) + 2(a_3 - a_1a_2)st(s^2 + st + t^2) + a_3s^2t^2 + O(5).$$
- ▶ There are no more relations: any power series of the above form satisfies the FGL conditions up to errors of order 5.
- ▶ Lazard's theorem: we can continue to define  $a_4, a_5, \dots$  so that  $F(s, t)$  can be expressed in terms of the  $a_i$ , and no further relations are required to make the associativity axiom hold.
- ▶ Reformulation: over the Lazard ring  $L = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$  there is a universal formal group law  $F_u$  such that the resulting map  $\text{Rings}(L, k) \rightarrow \text{FGL}(k)$  is bijective for all  $k$ .

# The Lazard ring

- ▶ Consider a formal power series  $F(s, t) = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} s^i t^j \in k[[s, t]]$ .  
When is this an FGL?
- ▶ For  $F(s, 0) = s$  we need  $b_{i0} = \delta_{i,1}$ . For  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  we need  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ .
- ▶ Now  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + b_{11}st + b_{12}(st^2 + s^2t) + b_{22}s^2t^2 + b_{13}(st^3 + s^3t) + O(5)$$
- ▶ Using this we get  
$$F(F(s, t), u) - F(s, F(t, u)) = (2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22})(s - u)stu + O(5)$$
- ▶ For an FGL we must have  $2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22}$ . In terms of the parameters  $a_1 = b_{11}$  and  $a_2 = b_{12}$  and  $a_3 = b_{22} - b_{13}$  we get  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + a_1st + a_2st(s+t) + 2(a_3 - a_1a_2)st(s^2 + st + t^2) + a_3s^2t^2 + O(5).$$
- ▶ There are no more relations: any power series of the above form satisfies the FGL conditions up to errors of order 5.
- ▶ Lazard's theorem: we can continue to define  $a_4, a_5, \dots$  so that  $F(s, t)$  can be expressed in terms of the  $a_i$ , and no further relations are required to make the associativity axiom hold.
- ▶ Reformulation: over the Lazard ring  $L = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$  there is a universal formal group law  $F_u$  such that the resulting map  $\text{Rings}(L, k) \rightarrow \text{FGL}(k)$  is bijective for all  $k$ .

# The Lazard ring

- ▶ Consider a formal power series  $F(s, t) = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} s^i t^j \in k[[s, t]]$ .  
When is this an FGL?
- ▶ For  $F(s, 0) = s$  we need  $b_{i0} = \delta_{i,1}$ . For  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  we need  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ .
- ▶ Now  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + b_{11}st + b_{12}(st^2 + s^2t) + b_{22}s^2t^2 + b_{13}(st^3 + s^3t) + O(5)$$
- ▶ Using this we get  
$$F(F(s, t), u) - F(s, F(t, u)) = (2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22})(s - u)stu + O(5)$$
- ▶ For an FGL we must have  $2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22}$ . In terms of the parameters  $a_1 = b_{11}$  and  $a_2 = b_{12}$  and  $a_3 = b_{22} - b_{13}$  we get  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + a_1st + a_2st(s+t) + 2(a_3 - a_1a_2)st(s^2 + st + t^2) + a_3s^2t^2 + O(5).$$
- ▶ There are no more relations: any power series of the above form satisfies the FGL conditions up to errors of order 5.
- ▶ Lazard's theorem: we can continue to define  $a_4, a_5, \dots$  so that  $F(s, t)$  can be expressed in terms of the  $a_i$ , and no further relations are required to make the associativity axiom hold.
- ▶ Reformulation: over the Lazard ring  $L = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$  there is a universal formal group law  $F_u$  such that the resulting map  $\text{Rings}(L, k) \rightarrow \text{FGL}(k)$  is bijective for all  $k$ .



# The Lazard ring

- ▶ Consider a formal power series  $F(s, t) = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} s^i t^j \in k[[s, t]]$ .  
When is this an FGL?
- ▶ For  $F(s, 0) = s$  we need  $b_{i0} = \delta_{i,1}$ . For  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  we need  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ .
- ▶ Now  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + b_{11}st + b_{12}(st^2 + s^2t) + b_{22}s^2t^2 + b_{13}(st^3 + s^3t) + O(5)$$
- ▶ Using this we get  
$$F(F(s, t), u) - F(s, F(t, u)) = (2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22})(s - u)stu + O(5)$$
- ▶ For an FGL we must have  $2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22} = 0$ . In terms of the parameters  $a_1 = b_{11}$  and  $a_2 = b_{12}$  and  $a_3 = b_{22} - b_{13}$  we get  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + a_1st + a_2st(s+t) + 2(a_3 - a_1a_2)st(s^2 + st + t^2) + a_3s^2t^2 + O(5).$$
- ▶ There are no more relations: any power series of the above form satisfies the FGL conditions up to errors of order 5.
- ▶ Lazard's theorem: we can continue to define  $a_4, a_5, \dots$  so that  $F(s, t)$  can be expressed in terms of the  $a_i$ , and no further relations are required to make the associativity axiom hold.
- ▶ Reformulation: over the Lazard ring  $L = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$  there is a universal formal group law  $F_u$  such that the resulting map  $\text{Rings}(L, k) \rightarrow \text{FGL}(k)$  is bijective for all  $k$ .

# The Lazard ring

- ▶ Consider a formal power series  $F(s, t) = \sum_{i,j} b_{ij} s^i t^j \in k[[s, t]]$ .  
When is this an FGL?
- ▶ For  $F(s, 0) = s$  we need  $b_{i0} = \delta_{i,1}$ . For  $F(s, t) = F(t, s)$  we need  $b_{ij} = b_{ji}$ .
- ▶ Now  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + b_{11}st + b_{12}(st^2 + s^2t) + b_{22}s^2t^2 + b_{13}(st^3 + s^3t) + O(5)$$
- ▶ Using this we get  
$$F(F(s, t), u) - F(s, F(t, u)) = (2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22})(s - u)stu + O(5)$$
- ▶ For an FGL we must have  $2b_{11}b_{12} + 3b_{13} - 2b_{22} = 0$ . In terms of the parameters  $a_1 = b_{11}$  and  $a_2 = b_{12}$  and  $a_3 = b_{22} - b_{13}$  we get  
$$F(s, t) = s + t + a_1st + a_2st(s+t) + 2(a_3 - a_1a_2)st(s^2 + st + t^2) + a_3s^2t^2 + O(5).$$
- ▶ There are no more relations: any power series of the above form satisfies the FGL conditions up to errors of order 5.
- ▶ Lazard's theorem: we can continue to define  $a_4, a_5, \dots$  so that  $F(s, t)$  can be expressed in terms of the  $a_i$ , and no further relations are required to make the associativity axiom hold.
- ▶ Reformulation: over the Lazard ring  $L = \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$  there is a universal formal group law  $F_u$  such that the resulting map  $\text{Rings}(L, k) \rightarrow \text{FGL}(k)$  is bijective for all  $k$ .

# Quillen's theorem

- ▶ Recall  $MP^0(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n} X, MP(n)]$  (for  $X$  a finite complex). Both  $P$  and  $MP(n)$  are defined using complex linear algebra so it is not hard to give an explicit  $x$  with  $MP^0(P) = MP^0(1)[[x]]$ . (We do not need to know  $MP^0(1)$  for this.)
- ▶ Using this we get a formal group law  $F$  over  $MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $FGL(k) = \text{Rings}(L, k)$  so we get a ring map  $L \rightarrow MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Quillen's theorem: this is an isomorphism (and  $MP^1(1) = 0$ ).
- ▶ Outline of proof:
  - ▶ Assemble the spaces  $MP(n)$  into a single "spectrum" called  $MP$ . (This is the start of stable homotopy theory.)
  - ▶ There are good methods for calculating the homology of spaces defined using complex linear algebra, and one can use them to prove that

$$H_*(MP) = \mathbb{Z}[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots][[b_0^{-1}]].$$

- ▶ A simple topological construction gives a map  $MP^0(1) \rightarrow H_*(MP)$ . We can push forward the FGL over  $MP^0(1)$  to get an FGL over  $H_*(MP)$ .
- ▶ In fact this is  $F(s, t) = f^{-1}(f(s) + f(t))$ , where  $f(t) = \sum_i b_i t^{i+1}$ . So  $f$  gives an isomorphism from  $F$  to the additive law  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$ .
- ▶ The remaining steps are harder to summarise, but they involve the action of the group  $\text{Aut}(F_a)$ , its relationship with Steenrod operations, and the Adams spectral sequence.

# Quillen's theorem

- ▶ Recall  $MP^0(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n} X, MP(n)]$  (for  $X$  a finite complex). Both  $P$  and  $MP(n)$  are defined using complex linear algebra so it is not hard to give an explicit  $x$  with  $MP^0(P) = MP^0(1)[[x]]$ . (We do not need to know  $MP^0(1)$  for this.)
- ▶ Using this we get a formal group law  $F$  over  $MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $FGL(k) = \text{Rings}(L, k)$  so we get a ring map  $L \rightarrow MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Quillen's theorem: this is an isomorphism (and  $MP^1(1) = 0$ ).
- ▶ Outline of proof:
  - ▶ Assemble the spaces  $MP(n)$  into a single "spectrum" called  $MP$ . (This is the start of stable homotopy theory.)
  - ▶ There are good methods for calculating the homology of spaces defined using complex linear algebra, and one can use them to prove that

$$H_*(MP) = \mathbb{Z}[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots][[b_0^{-1}]].$$

- ▶ A simple topological construction gives a map  $MP^0(1) \rightarrow H_*(MP)$ . We can push forward the FGL over  $MP^0(1)$  to get an FGL over  $H_*(MP)$ .
- ▶ In fact this is  $F(s, t) = f^{-1}(f(s) + f(t))$ , where  $f(t) = \sum_i b_i t^{i+1}$ . So  $f$  gives an isomorphism from  $F$  to the additive law  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$ .
- ▶ The remaining steps are harder to summarise, but they involve the action of the group  $\text{Aut}(F_a)$ , its relationship with Steenrod operations, and the Adams spectral sequence.

# Quillen's theorem

- ▶ Recall  $MP^0(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n} X, MP(n)]$  (for  $X$  a finite complex). Both  $P$  and  $MP(n)$  are defined using complex linear algebra so it is not hard to give an explicit  $x$  with  $MP^0(P) = MP^0(1)[[x]]$ . (We do not need to know  $MP^0(1)$  for this.)

- ▶ Using this we get a formal group law  $F$  over  $MP^0(1)$ .

- ▶ Recall that  $FGL(k) = \text{Rings}(L, k)$  so we get a ring map  $L \rightarrow MP^0(1)$ .

- ▶ Quillen's theorem: this is an isomorphism (and  $MP^1(1) = 0$ ).

- ▶ Outline of proof:

- ▶ Assemble the spaces  $MP(n)$  into a single "spectrum" called  $MP$ . (This is the start of stable homotopy theory.)
- ▶ There are good methods for calculating the homology of spaces defined using complex linear algebra, and one can use them to prove that

$$H_*(MP) = \mathbb{Z}[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots][[b_0^{-1}]].$$

- ▶ A simple topological construction gives a map  $MP^0(1) \rightarrow H_*(MP)$ . We can push forward the FGL over  $MP^0(1)$  to get an FGL over  $H_*(MP)$ .
- ▶ In fact this is  $F(s, t) = f^{-1}(f(s) + f(t))$ , where  $f(t) = \sum_i b_i t^{i+1}$ . So  $f$  gives an isomorphism from  $F$  to the additive law  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$ .
- ▶ The remaining steps are harder to summarise, but they involve the action of the group  $\text{Aut}(F_a)$ , its relationship with Steenrod operations, and the Adams spectral sequence.

# Quillen's theorem

- ▶ Recall  $MP^0(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n} X, MP(n)]$  (for  $X$  a finite complex). Both  $P$  and  $MP(n)$  are defined using complex linear algebra so it is not hard to give an explicit  $x$  with  $MP^0(P) = MP^0(1)[[x]]$ . (We do not need to know  $MP^0(1)$  for this.)
- ▶ Using this we get a formal group law  $F$  over  $MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $FGL(k) = \text{Rings}(L, k)$  so we get a ring map  $L \rightarrow MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Quillen's theorem: this is an isomorphism (and  $MP^1(1) = 0$ ).

## ▶ Outline of proof:

- ▶ Assemble the spaces  $MP(n)$  into a single "spectrum" called  $MP$ . (This is the start of stable homotopy theory.)
- ▶ There are good methods for calculating the homology of spaces defined using complex linear algebra, and one can use them to prove that

$$H_*(MP) = \mathbb{Z}[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots][[b_0^{-1}]].$$

- ▶ A simple topological construction gives a map  $MP^0(1) \rightarrow H_*(MP)$ . We can push forward the FGL over  $MP^0(1)$  to get an FGL over  $H_*(MP)$ .
- ▶ In fact this is  $F(s, t) = f^{-1}(f(s) + f(t))$ , where  $f(t) = \sum_i b_i t^{i+1}$ . So  $f$  gives an isomorphism from  $F$  to the additive law  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$ .
- ▶ The remaining steps are harder to summarise, but they involve the action of the group  $\text{Aut}(F_a)$ , its relationship with Steenrod operations, and the Adams spectral sequence.

# Quillen's theorem

- ▶ Recall  $MP^0(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n} X, MP(n)]$  (for  $X$  a finite complex). Both  $P$  and  $MP(n)$  are defined using complex linear algebra so it is not hard to give an explicit  $x$  with  $MP^0(P) = MP^0(1)[[x]]$ . (We do not need to know  $MP^0(1)$  for this.)
- ▶ Using this we get a formal group law  $F$  over  $MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $FGL(k) = \text{Rings}(L, k)$  so we get a ring map  $L \rightarrow MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Quillen's theorem: this is an isomorphism (and  $MP^1(1) = 0$ ).

- ▶ Outline of proof:

- ▶ Assemble the spaces  $MP(n)$  into a single "spectrum" called  $MP$ . (This is the start of stable homotopy theory.)
- ▶ There are good methods for calculating the homology of spaces defined using complex linear algebra, and one can use them to prove that

$$H_*(MP) = \mathbb{Z}[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots][[b_0^{-1}]].$$

- ▶ A simple topological construction gives a map  $MP^0(1) \rightarrow H_*(MP)$ . We can push forward the FGL over  $MP^0(1)$  to get an FGL over  $H_*(MP)$ .
- ▶ In fact this is  $F(s, t) = f^{-1}(f(s) + f(t))$ , where  $f(t) = \sum_i b_i t^{i+1}$ . So  $f$  gives an isomorphism from  $F$  to the additive law  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$ .
- ▶ The remaining steps are harder to summarise, but they involve the action of the group  $\text{Aut}(F_a)$ , its relationship with Steenrod operations, and the Adams spectral sequence.

- ▶ Recall  $MP^0(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n} X, MP(n)]$  (for  $X$  a finite complex). Both  $P$  and  $MP(n)$  are defined using complex linear algebra so it is not hard to give an explicit  $x$  with  $MP^0(P) = MP^0(1)[[x]]$ . (We do not need to know  $MP^0(1)$  for this.)
- ▶ Using this we get a formal group law  $F$  over  $MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $FGL(k) = \text{Rings}(L, k)$  so we get a ring map  $L \rightarrow MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Quillen's theorem: this is an isomorphism (and  $MP^1(1) = 0$ ).
- ▶ Outline of proof:
  - ▶ Assemble the spaces  $MP(n)$  into a single "spectrum" called  $MP$ . (This is the start of stable homotopy theory.)
  - ▶ There are good methods for calculating the homology of spaces defined using complex linear algebra, and one can use them to prove that

$$H_*(MP) = \mathbb{Z}[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots][[b_0^{-1}]].$$

- ▶ A simple topological construction gives a map  $MP^0(1) \rightarrow H_*(MP)$ . We can push forward the FGL over  $MP^0(1)$  to get an FGL over  $H_*(MP)$ .
- ▶ In fact this is  $F(s, t) = f^{-1}(f(s) + f(t))$ , where  $f(t) = \sum_i b_i t^{i+1}$ . So  $f$  gives an isomorphism from  $F$  to the additive law  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$ .
- ▶ The remaining steps are harder to summarise, but they involve the action of the group  $\text{Aut}(F_a)$ , its relationship with Steenrod operations, and the Adams spectral sequence.



# Quillen's theorem

- ▶ Recall  $MP^0(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n} X, MP(n)]$  (for  $X$  a finite complex). Both  $P$  and  $MP(n)$  are defined using complex linear algebra so it is not hard to give an explicit  $x$  with  $MP^0(P) = MP^0(1)[[x]]$ . (We do not need to know  $MP^0(1)$  for this.)
- ▶ Using this we get a formal group law  $F$  over  $MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $FGL(k) = \text{Rings}(L, k)$  so we get a ring map  $L \rightarrow MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Quillen's theorem: this is an isomorphism (and  $MP^1(1) = 0$ ).
- ▶ Outline of proof:
  - ▶ Assemble the spaces  $MP(n)$  into a single "spectrum" called  $MP$ . (This is the start of stable homotopy theory.)
  - ▶ There are good methods for calculating the homology of spaces defined using complex linear algebra, and one can use them to prove that

$$H_*(MP) = \mathbb{Z}[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots][[b_0^{-1}]].$$

- ▶ A simple topological construction gives a map  $MP^0(1) \rightarrow H_*(MP)$ . We can push forward the FGL over  $MP^0(1)$  to get an FGL over  $H_*(MP)$ .
- ▶ In fact this is  $F(s, t) = f^{-1}(f(s) + f(t))$ , where  $f(t) = \sum_i b_i t^{i+1}$ . So  $f$  gives an isomorphism from  $F$  to the additive law  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$ .
- ▶ The remaining steps are harder to summarise, but they involve the action of the group  $\text{Aut}(F_a)$ , its relationship with Steenrod operations, and the Adams spectral sequence.

# Quillen's theorem

- ▶ Recall  $MP^0(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n} X, MP(n)]$  (for  $X$  a finite complex). Both  $P$  and  $MP(n)$  are defined using complex linear algebra so it is not hard to give an explicit  $x$  with  $MP^0(P) = MP^0(1)[[x]]$ . (We do not need to know  $MP^0(1)$  for this.)
- ▶ Using this we get a formal group law  $F$  over  $MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $FGL(k) = \text{Rings}(L, k)$  so we get a ring map  $L \rightarrow MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Quillen's theorem: this is an isomorphism (and  $MP^1(1) = 0$ ).
- ▶ Outline of proof:
  - ▶ Assemble the spaces  $MP(n)$  into a single "spectrum" called  $MP$ . (This is the start of stable homotopy theory.)
  - ▶ There are good methods for calculating the homology of spaces defined using complex linear algebra, and one can use them to prove that

$$H_*(MP) = \mathbb{Z}[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots][b_0^{-1}].$$

- ▶ A simple topological construction gives a map  $MP^0(1) \rightarrow H_*(MP)$ . We can push forward the FGL over  $MP^0(1)$  to get an FGL over  $H_*(MP)$ .
- ▶ In fact this is  $F(s, t) = f^{-1}(f(s) + f(t))$ , where  $f(t) = \sum_i b_i t^{i+1}$ . So  $f$  gives an isomorphism from  $F$  to the additive law  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$ .
- ▶ The remaining steps are harder to summarise, but they involve the action of the group  $\text{Aut}(F_a)$ , its relationship with Steenrod operations, and the Adams spectral sequence.

- ▶ Recall  $MP^0(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n} X, MP(n)]$  (for  $X$  a finite complex). Both  $P$  and  $MP(n)$  are defined using complex linear algebra so it is not hard to give an explicit  $x$  with  $MP^0(P) = MP^0(1)[[x]]$ . (We do not need to know  $MP^0(1)$  for this.)
- ▶ Using this we get a formal group law  $F$  over  $MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $FGL(k) = \text{Rings}(L, k)$  so we get a ring map  $L \rightarrow MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Quillen's theorem: this is an isomorphism (and  $MP^1(1) = 0$ ).
- ▶ Outline of proof:
  - ▶ Assemble the spaces  $MP(n)$  into a single "spectrum" called  $MP$ . (This is the start of stable homotopy theory.)
  - ▶ There are good methods for calculating the homology of spaces defined using complex linear algebra, and one can use them to prove that

$$H_*(MP) = \mathbb{Z}[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots][b_0^{-1}].$$

- ▶ A simple topological construction gives a map  $MP^0(1) \rightarrow H_*(MP)$ . We can push forward the FGL over  $MP^0(1)$  to get an FGL over  $H_*(MP)$ .
- ▶ In fact this is  $F(s, t) = f^{-1}(f(s) + f(t))$ , where  $f(t) = \sum_i b_i t^{i+1}$ . So  $f$  gives an isomorphism from  $F$  to the additive law  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$ .
- ▶ The remaining steps are harder to summarise, but they involve the action of the group  $\text{Aut}(F_a)$ , its relationship with Steenrod operations, and the Adams spectral sequence.

- ▶ Recall  $MP^0(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n} X, MP(n)]$  (for  $X$  a finite complex). Both  $P$  and  $MP(n)$  are defined using complex linear algebra so it is not hard to give an explicit  $x$  with  $MP^0(P) = MP^0(1)[[x]]$ . (We do not need to know  $MP^0(1)$  for this.)
- ▶ Using this we get a formal group law  $F$  over  $MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $FGL(k) = \text{Rings}(L, k)$  so we get a ring map  $L \rightarrow MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Quillen's theorem: this is an isomorphism (and  $MP^1(1) = 0$ ).
- ▶ Outline of proof:
  - ▶ Assemble the spaces  $MP(n)$  into a single "spectrum" called  $MP$ . (This is the start of stable homotopy theory.)
  - ▶ There are good methods for calculating the homology of spaces defined using complex linear algebra, and one can use them to prove that

$$H_*(MP) = \mathbb{Z}[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots][b_0^{-1}].$$

- ▶ A simple topological construction gives a map  $MP^0(1) \rightarrow H_*(MP)$ . We can push forward the FGL over  $MP^0(1)$  to get an FGL over  $H_*(MP)$ .
- ▶ In fact this is  $F(s, t) = f^{-1}(f(s) + f(t))$ , where  $f(t) = \sum_i b_i t^{i+1}$ . So  $f$  gives an isomorphism from  $F$  to the additive law  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$ .
- ▶ The remaining steps are harder to summarise, but they involve the action of the group  $\text{Aut}(F_a)$ , its relationship with Steenrod operations, and the Adams spectral sequence.

- ▶ Recall  $MP^0(X) = \lim_{\rightarrow n} [\Sigma^{2n} X, MP(n)]$  (for  $X$  a finite complex). Both  $P$  and  $MP(n)$  are defined using complex linear algebra so it is not hard to give an explicit  $x$  with  $MP^0(P) = MP^0(1)[[x]]$ . (We do not need to know  $MP^0(1)$  for this.)
- ▶ Using this we get a formal group law  $F$  over  $MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Recall that  $FGL(k) = \text{Rings}(L, k)$  so we get a ring map  $L \rightarrow MP^0(1)$ .
- ▶ Quillen's theorem: this is an isomorphism (and  $MP^1(1) = 0$ ).
- ▶ Outline of proof:
  - ▶ Assemble the spaces  $MP(n)$  into a single "spectrum" called  $MP$ . (This is the start of stable homotopy theory.)
  - ▶ There are good methods for calculating the homology of spaces defined using complex linear algebra, and one can use them to prove that

$$H_*(MP) = \mathbb{Z}[b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots][b_0^{-1}].$$

- ▶ A simple topological construction gives a map  $MP^0(1) \rightarrow H_*(MP)$ . We can push forward the FGL over  $MP^0(1)$  to get an FGL over  $H_*(MP)$ .
- ▶ In fact this is  $F(s, t) = f^{-1}(f(s) + f(t))$ , where  $f(t) = \sum_i b_i t^{i+1}$ . So  $f$  gives an isomorphism from  $F$  to the additive law  $F_a(s, t) = s + t$ .
- ▶ The remaining steps are harder to summarise, but they involve the action of the group  $\text{Aut}(F_a)$ , its relationship with Steenrod operations, and the Adams spectral sequence.

# The chromatic filtration

- ▶ Fact: if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $m < n$  (including  $K(p, 0)_*(X) = H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ).
- ▶ Also, if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $n$  then  $X = 0$ .
- ▶ Say  $X$  has *type*  $n$  at  $p$  if  $K(p, n)_*(X) \neq 0$  and  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for  $m < n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$  be the category of  $X$  of type at least  $n$  at  $p$ .
- ▶ Nilpotence theorem: if  $u: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  and  $K(p, n)_*(u) = 0$  for all  $(p, n)$  then  $u^k = 0: \Sigma^{dk} X \rightarrow X$  for  $k \gg 0$ .
- ▶ Periodicity theorem: if  $X \in \mathcal{F}(p, n)$  with  $n > 0$  then there is a map  $v: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  (for some  $d > 0$ ) giving an isomorphism on  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  (and having a number of other properties, making it “almost unique”).
- ▶ Thick subcategory theorem: if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying some natural conditions, then it must be one of the categories  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$ .
- ▶ Chromatic convergence theorem:  $\pi_*^S(X) = \mathcal{F}(S^*, X)$  can be built up in layers. The difference between layers  $n$  and  $n - 1$  is in some sense controlled by  $K(p, n)$ , and consists of families that are periodic of period  $2(p^n - 1)p^k$  for large  $k$ .

## The chromatic filtration

- ▶ Fact: if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $m < n$  (including  $K(p, 0)_*(X) = H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ).
- ▶ Also, if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $n$  then  $X = 0$ .
- ▶ Say  $X$  has type  $n$  at  $p$  if  $K(p, n)_*(X) \neq 0$  and  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for  $m < n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$  be the category of  $X$  of type at least  $n$  at  $p$ .
- ▶ Nilpotence theorem: if  $u: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  and  $K(p, n)_*(u) = 0$  for all  $(p, n)$  then  $u^k = 0: \Sigma^{dk} X \rightarrow X$  for  $k \gg 0$ .
- ▶ Periodicity theorem: if  $X \in \mathcal{F}(p, n)$  with  $n > 0$  then there is a map  $v: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  (for some  $d > 0$ ) giving an isomorphism on  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  (and having a number of other properties, making it “almost unique”).
- ▶ Thick subcategory theorem: if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying some natural conditions, then it must be one of the categories  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$ .
- ▶ Chromatic convergence theorem:  $\pi_*^S(X) = \mathcal{F}(S^*, X)$  can be built up in layers. The difference between layers  $n$  and  $n - 1$  is in some sense controlled by  $K(p, n)$ , and consists of families that are periodic of period  $2(p^n - 1)p^k$  for large  $k$ .

## The chromatic filtration

- ▶ Fact: if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $m < n$  (including  $K(p, 0)_*(X) = H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ).
- ▶ Also, if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $n$  then  $X = 0$ .
- ▶ Say  $X$  has type  $n$  at  $p$  if  $K(p, n)_*(X) \neq 0$  and  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for  $m < n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$  be the category of  $X$  of type at least  $n$  at  $p$ .
- ▶ Nilpotence theorem: if  $u: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  and  $K(p, n)_*(u) = 0$  for all  $(p, n)$  then  $u^k = 0: \Sigma^{dk} X \rightarrow X$  for  $k \gg 0$ .
- ▶ Periodicity theorem: if  $X \in \mathcal{F}(p, n)$  with  $n > 0$  then there is a map  $v: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  (for some  $d > 0$ ) giving an isomorphism on  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  (and having a number of other properties, making it “almost unique”).
- ▶ Thick subcategory theorem: if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying some natural conditions, then it must be one of the categories  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$ .
- ▶ Chromatic convergence theorem:  $\pi_*^S(X) = \mathcal{F}(S^*, X)$  can be built up in layers. The difference between layers  $n$  and  $n - 1$  is in some sense controlled by  $K(p, n)$ , and consists of families that are periodic of period  $2(p^n - 1)p^k$  for large  $k$ .



# The chromatic filtration

- ▶ Fact: if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $m < n$  (including  $K(p, 0)_*(X) = H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ).
- ▶ Also, if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $n$  then  $X = 0$ .
- ▶ Say  $X$  has *type*  $n$  at  $p$  if  $K(p, n)_*(X) \neq 0$  and  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for  $m < n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$  be the category of  $X$  of type at least  $n$  at  $p$ .
- ▶ Nilpotence theorem: if  $u: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  and  $K(p, n)_*(u) = 0$  for all  $(p, n)$  then  $u^k = 0: \Sigma^{dk} X \rightarrow X$  for  $k \gg 0$ .
- ▶ Periodicity theorem: if  $X \in \mathcal{F}(p, n)$  with  $n > 0$  then there is a map  $v: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  (for some  $d > 0$ ) giving an isomorphism on  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  (and having a number of other properties, making it “almost unique”).
- ▶ Thick subcategory theorem: if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying some natural conditions, then it must be one of the categories  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$ .
- ▶ Chromatic convergence theorem:  $\pi_*^S(X) = \mathcal{F}(S^*, X)$  can be built up in layers. The difference between layers  $n$  and  $n - 1$  is in some sense controlled by  $K(p, n)$ , and consists of families that are periodic of period  $2(p^n - 1)p^k$  for large  $k$ .

# The chromatic filtration

- ▶ Fact: if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $m < n$  (including  $K(p, 0)_*(X) = H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ).
- ▶ Also, if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $n$  then  $X = 0$ .
- ▶ Say  $X$  has *type*  $n$  at  $p$  if  $K(p, n)_*(X) \neq 0$  and  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for  $m < n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$  be the category of  $X$  of type at least  $n$  at  $p$ .
- ▶ Nilpotence theorem: if  $u: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  and  $K(p, n)_*(u) = 0$  for all  $(p, n)$  then  $u^k = 0: \Sigma^{dk} X \rightarrow X$  for  $k \gg 0$ .
- ▶ Periodicity theorem: if  $X \in \mathcal{F}(p, n)$  with  $n > 0$  then there is a map  $v: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  (for some  $d > 0$ ) giving an isomorphism on  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  (and having a number of other properties, making it “almost unique”).
- ▶ Thick subcategory theorem: if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying some natural conditions, then it must be one of the categories  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$ .
- ▶ Chromatic convergence theorem:  $\pi_*^S(X) = \mathcal{F}(S^*, X)$  can be built up in layers. The difference between layers  $n$  and  $n - 1$  is in some sense controlled by  $K(p, n)$ , and consists of families that are periodic of period  $2(p^n - 1)p^k$  for large  $k$ .

# The chromatic filtration

- ▶ Fact: if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $m < n$  (including  $K(p, 0)_*(X) = H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ).
- ▶ Also, if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $n$  then  $X = 0$ .
- ▶ Say  $X$  has *type*  $n$  at  $p$  if  $K(p, n)_*(X) \neq 0$  and  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for  $m < n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$  be the category of  $X$  of type at least  $n$  at  $p$ .
- ▶ Nilpotence theorem: if  $u: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  and  $K(p, n)_*(u) = 0$  for all  $(p, n)$  then  $u^k = 0: \Sigma^{dk} X \rightarrow X$  for  $k \gg 0$ .
- ▶ Periodicity theorem: if  $X \in \mathcal{F}(p, n)$  with  $n > 0$  then there is a map  $v: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  (for some  $d > 0$ ) giving an isomorphism on  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  (and having a number of other properties, making it “almost unique”).
- ▶ Thick subcategory theorem: if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying some natural conditions, then it must be one of the categories  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$ .
- ▶ Chromatic convergence theorem:  $\pi_*^S(X) = \mathcal{F}(S^*, X)$  can be built up in layers. The difference between layers  $n$  and  $n - 1$  is in some sense controlled by  $K(p, n)$ , and consists of families that are periodic of period  $2(p^n - 1)p^k$  for large  $k$ .

# The chromatic filtration

- ▶ Fact: if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $m < n$  (including  $K(p, 0)_*(X) = H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ).
- ▶ Also, if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $n$  then  $X = 0$ .
- ▶ Say  $X$  has *type*  $n$  at  $p$  if  $K(p, n)_*(X) \neq 0$  and  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for  $m < n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$  be the category of  $X$  of type at least  $n$  at  $p$ .
- ▶ Nilpotence theorem: if  $u: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  and  $K(p, n)_*(u) = 0$  for all  $(p, n)$  then  $u^k = 0: \Sigma^{dk} X \rightarrow X$  for  $k \gg 0$ .
- ▶ Periodicity theorem: if  $X \in \mathcal{F}(p, n)$  with  $n > 0$  then there is a map  $v: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  (for some  $d > 0$ ) giving an isomorphism on  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  (and having a number of other properties, making it “almost unique”).
- ▶ Thick subcategory theorem: if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying some natural conditions, then it must be one of the categories  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$ .
- ▶ Chromatic convergence theorem:  $\pi_*^S(X) = \mathcal{F}(S^*, X)$  can be built up in layers. The difference between layers  $n$  and  $n - 1$  is in some sense controlled by  $K(p, n)$ , and consists of families that are periodic of period  $2(p^n - 1)p^k$  for large  $k$ .

## The chromatic filtration

- ▶ Fact: if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$ , then  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $m < n$  (including  $K(p, 0)_*(X) = H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ).
- ▶ Also, if  $K(p, n)_*(X) = 0$  for all  $p$  and  $n$  then  $X = 0$ .
- ▶ Say  $X$  has *type*  $n$  at  $p$  if  $K(p, n)_*(X) \neq 0$  and  $K(p, m)_*(X) = 0$  for  $m < n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$  be the category of  $X$  of type at least  $n$  at  $p$ .
- ▶ Nilpotence theorem: if  $u: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  and  $K(p, n)_*(u) = 0$  for all  $(p, n)$  then  $u^k = 0: \Sigma^{dk} X \rightarrow X$  for  $k \gg 0$ .
- ▶ Periodicity theorem: if  $X \in \mathcal{F}(p, n)$  with  $n > 0$  then there is a map  $v: \Sigma^d X \rightarrow X$  (for some  $d > 0$ ) giving an isomorphism on  $K(p, n)_*(X)$  (and having a number of other properties, making it “almost unique”).
- ▶ Thick subcategory theorem: if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfying some natural conditions, then it must be one of the categories  $\mathcal{F}(p, n)$ .
- ▶ Chromatic convergence theorem:  $\pi_*^S(X) = \mathcal{F}(S^*, X)$  can be built up in layers. The difference between layers  $n$  and  $n - 1$  is in some sense controlled by  $K(p, n)$ , and consists of families that are periodic of period  $2(p^n - 1)p^k$  for large  $k$ .

# The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.

## The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.

## The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.



## The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.

## The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.

## The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.

## The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.

## The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.

## The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.

## The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.

## The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.



## The Telescope Conjecture again

- ▶ Fix  $(p, n)$  and put  $\mathcal{C} = \{X \mid K(p, n)_*(X) = 0\}$ .
- ▶ Say  $X \in \mathcal{C}^f \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  if  $X$  is a filtered colimit of finite spectra in  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ Put  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}} = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}$  and  $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f = \{Y \mid [\mathcal{C}^f, Y] = 0\} \simeq \mathcal{S}/\mathcal{C}^f$ . There are localisation functors  $\widehat{L}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\widehat{L}^f: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^f$ .
- ▶ TC is equivalent to  $\widehat{L} \simeq \widehat{L}^f$ ; so we need to find  $X$  with  $\widehat{L}X \not\simeq \widehat{L}^fX$ .
- ▶ Mahowald, Ravenel and Schick used a particular  $X$  which seemed very promising; but recent progress uses a different type of example.
- ▶ For a sufficiently nice ring spectrum  $R$ , we have an algebraic  $K$ -theory spectrum  $K(R)$ , closely related to the nerve of the category of  $R$ -modules.
- ▶ Redshift conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes: if  $R$  has chromatic height  $n$ , then  $K(R)$  should have height  $n + 1$ . Various formulations are now proved.
- ▶ There are spectra called  $BP\langle n \rangle$  with  $\pi_*(BP\langle n \rangle) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, \dots, v_n]$  where  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . These satisfy redshift.
- ▶ TC turns out to be true for  $K(BP\langle n - 1 \rangle)$ .
- ▶ However, there is an action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on  $BP\langle n - 1 \rangle$  and we can define  $B(n - 1) = BP\langle n - 1 \rangle^{h\mathbb{Z}}$ . The new counterexample is  $K(B(n - 1))$ .
- ▶ The proof involves higher cyclotomic Galois extensions of ring spectra.